

~~TOP SECRET~~



1001
Jul 31 17 57 '64

~~TOP SECRET~~ /311654Z

[REDACTED] CITE [REDACTED]

CORONA

THE FOLLOWING MESSAGE FROM [REDACTED] IS FORWARDED FOR YOUR INFORMATION:

QUOTE: 1. A STUDY WAS MADE TO DETERMINE HOW THE USE OF A WRATTEN 25 FILTER AFFECTED THE PHOTOGRAPHY OF THE MASTER PANORAMIC CAMERA IN MISSION 1007-1. ORIGINAL NEGATIVES FROM THE MASTER PANORAMIC CAMERA WHICH EMPLOYED A WRATTEN 25 FILTER WERE COMPARED WITH ORIGINAL NEGATIVES FROM THE SLAVE PANORAMIC CAMERA WHICH UTILIZED A WRATTEN 21 FILTER. FRAMES CONTAINING THE SAME IMAGERY AND PROCESSING LEVEL WERE SELECTED FOR THIS STUDY. THE ACUITY OF THE SLAVE PHOTOGRAPHY IS BETTER THAN THAT OF THE MASTER PHOTOGRAPHY THROUGHOUT THE MISSION. THIS LOSS OF IMAGE SHARPNESS IS NOT ATTRIBUTED TO THE FILTER. THE DENSITY OF THE MASTER PANORAMIC MATERIAL IS GENERALLY LESS THAN THAT OF THE SLAVE MATERIAL. THIS RESULTS IN A SLIGHT LOSS OF DETAIL IN THE SHADOW AREAS OF THE MASTER PANORAMIC PHOTOGRAPHY. THE APPARENT CONTRAST IS HIGHER IN THAT MATERIAL EXPOSED THROUGH THE WRATTEN 25 FILTER. SINCE THE PROCESSING LEVEL WAS THE SAME ON THE EXAMPLES STUDIED, IT IS FELT THAT THE DIFFERENCE IN FILTERS CONTRIBUTES IN SOME DEGREE TO THE DENSITY AND CONTRAST DIFFERENCES, HOWEVER, SUCH A COMPARISON

Declassified and Released by the NRO

In Accordance with E. O. 12958

on NOV 26 1997

~~TOP SECRET~~

~~TOP SECRET~~

[REDACTED] (PAGE 2)

IS NOT CONCLUSIVE DUE TO THE DIFFERENCE IN RELATIVE SUN
AZIMUTH BETWEEN THE MASTER AND SLAVE PHOTOGRAPHY. NO DIFFERENCE
IN THE HAZE PENETRATING CAPABILITY OF THE FILTERS WAS PERCEIVED.
2. NO INCREASE IN IMAGE QUALITY DUE TO THE USE OF THE WRATTEN 25 FILTER
IN PLACE OF THE WRATTEN 21 FILTER IS APPARENT. UNQUOTE.

~~TOP SECRET~~

END OF MESSAGE

~~TOP SECRET~~