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To: B. F. Knoīe
Subject: Technical Status of the Dorian Payload at the Time of Termination

27 June 1909
From: G. D. Mic Ghee

Reference: General Bleymaier's letter

1. In response to your informal request that the Aerospace Systems Analysis Office furnish an input for the technical evaluation which General Bieymaier requested all Air Force directors to perform, the following summary is offered. Eastman Kodak had made impressive progress on the facilities, fiight hardware, and ground test equipment required to fulfill the objectives of the Dorian Program. At the time of termination they were essentially on schedule with all elements of the program, altiough there were some minor slippages on the order of 2-4 months. Recovery action appears feasible and there were no major show-stoppers. The progress on the hardware and software recuired to support this program can be adequately documented by the monthly progress reports and by on-site inspection of the condition of the facilities ASE and AFE inhouse at this time. It is suggested that Eastman Kodak be encouraged to document the facilities and hardware status at termination in a photographic summary.
2. As is the case with every substantial hardware activity, there were a number of problems in work at the time of the termination. An outline summary of the significant technical problems is incorporated as Attachment 1.
3. The major technical problem appeared to be the camera, which was significantly out of spec from a dynamics standpoint, and which appeared to be extremely marginal from a reliability standpoint. Both of these problems were inwork with a significant tiger team activity at Eastman Kodak and Itek. Both problems were considered by this office to be solvable, although it appeared that the solutions required essentially a complete overhaul of the camera design which suggests that the bulk of the camera development costs were still ahead.


4. Another major problem was the fatiune to produce rms on the 72 -inch lightweight blanks. Although the schedule does not require availability of blanks polished to specification at this time, the importance of demonstrating this capability "by doing" is quite apparent. Specifically, it was felt that by tine time of the next fiscal year's budget review, optics capability would receive much more scrutiny. Recognizing that there were a large number of optical elements in process, EK was asked by an action item at the last Technical Review Meeting to examine the feasibility of working a single set of lightweight elements to an accelerated schedule, giving sufficient priority to ensure that the schedule is met. It was felt by this office that this activity would bring into focus whatever remaining problems might exist in the manufacturing, processing, and particularly measurements area.
5. Attachment 2 is an action log for the Aerospace Systems Analysis Office, current as of the time of termination. It is furnished to indicate the level of activity on minor and major problems. It appears that the bulk of the problems by number were related to laboratory interfaces of the manned equipments.


GDM:nt
Attachments:

1) Significant Problems in Existence at Time of Program Cancellation (2 Pages).
2) Action Ley for the Aerospace Systems Analysis Oîfice (ll Pages).
3) Letter from General Bleymaier dated 17 June 19́9, Technical Evaluation of MOL (I Page).
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## SIGNIFICANA PROBIENS AN EXISTENCE

AT TIME OF PROGRAM CANCELLATION

The following items apply to the conîguration existing at the time of termination of the Program:

1. Camera dynamics and reliabiiity - a considerable amount of work remains to reduce or eliminate internally-generated vibration and to improve reliability.
2. A film with the characteristics defined in the Statement of Work may not have been available to maich predicted resolution requirements. GFE problem.
3. Eastman Kodak comporent qualification was not formally submitted; ye亡tests were proceeding.
4. Activity on redundant IVS provisions was not at a sufficient level to meet schedule demands.
5. Some thermal control modifications may have been needed, based on resulits of COA thermal test.
6. Interface mismatch on tracking mirror ring deflection relative to the trunnions required $r$ esolution.
7. Capability for manufacture or test to the "state-of-the-art" optical requirements of this program had not been physically demonstrated.
8. EMI - Eastman Kodak was in process of questioning requirements and re-opening specification and testing program.
9. The implementation and control of operational computer soittware was not directly accessible to EK via the interface arrangements.
10. Effectiveness shortcomings -
(a) EK contract (and expectation) not in agreement with SP/DR allocation.
(b) Policy regarding review and correction of single point failure modes.
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11. Diagnostic and health check measures for cri-orojt usage had not received adequate atiention and consequently were ill-defined. The same can be said for contingency aralysis.
12. Focus sensor technique was subject to systematic error.
13. Complexity of the interface system causea extreme difficulty in reaching technical agreements, converting such agreements to realizable hardware, and controlling configuration of both development and prime harcware.

|  | OAPPROVEGFOR <br> LEASE f JULY 2015 <br> sysmexds | DOS空 <br> NALYSIS AC | ON LTET | ttachment BIF－107－50 Page 5 | No． 2 to $49.69$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 110 | IREM | ORIGIN | ASS！GNED | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DUE } \\ & \text { DGE } \end{aligned}$ | COMIDETED BY |
|  | VIEWER：JIGHTING APPROACH；WEIGHT POWER NEGOTIATIONS |  | ROBINS | OPEN | \％ |
| $\begin{aligned} & z \\ & i \end{aligned}$ | BRUSH DC MOTORS－WHY NOT BRUSHLESS | SAFETY－ <br> URBAN | MOSKWA | OPEN |  |
| 5 | CONTAMINATION REVISION TO SAFSL 30033 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SAFSI } \\ & 30033 \\ & \text { DEVIATIONS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SL,-6/ } \\ & \text { SCHMIDT } \end{aligned}$ |  | HELD BY SL－6 FOR <br> INCIUUSION WITH REI <br> OF CONTAM．ANAI． |
| $8$ | VIBRATION BUDGETS／EFFORTS－WORKING APPROACH－HALENBECK TIMELINE 9 MAY |  | HOW ARD | OPEN |  |
| $=5$ | Black Pok Pracosiouproforion Mackin SAFSL INTTERNAL EXPLOSION HAZARD | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WHS - } 702 \\ & 68-5010- \\ & \text { SRH-240 } \end{aligned}$ | KERN／ MCGHEF | 4／1／69 | $\cdots$ |
| 0 | VO MAGNIFICATION DRIVE FAILURE－ MANUせAL BACKUP ACCESS | CREW | ROBINS |  |  |
| $\therefore 1$ | AUTOMATIC FOCUS PROPOSAL |  | MCGHEE | OPJGN |  |
| $\therefore 2$. | IVS INTERFACE OPENITEM RESOLUTION | OP＇ITCAL | WATSON | OPEN | WATSON HAS STATUS |
| is | POSTPASS TELEMETRY SIMULATION REQT＇S DIRECTION TO EK | TR\＃3 A．I． | ROBINS／ GIBBS |  |  |
| 3 | VIBRATION AT THE VO EYEPIECE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HOWARD- } \\ & \text { TWXIN } \\ & \text { COORDNAT } \end{aligned}$ | MCGHEE | OPEN | r |
| 冕 | Rerkiolns／Gonss <br> DANEL GLASS HREAK AGE HAZARD | TWX HI-5874 | WOJEEE／ WASSCNKO | $\checkmark$ |  |
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| 110 | ITEM | ORIGIN | ASSIGVED | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DLE } \\ & \text { DATE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { COMPLETED } \\ B Y \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | INTEGRATION TEST REQUIREMENTS SPECIFICATION (IVITRS) | 107-50018-69 | SMITH | OPEN | $7$ |
| 12 | SIGNOFE 711-00143-4, 5, 6 | ILETTER | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DAC/ } \\ & \text { MOSKWA } \end{aligned}$ |  | EKAUTHORIZEDTO PROCEIED PROC. VIA TWX |
| :8 | PROCESSOR MOUNTING - THERMAL ISOLATION | TSOM 9 | HOLTZ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JUNE } \\ & \text { THERMAI } \\ & \text { TEM } \end{aligned}$ | 4 |
| 00 | HUMIDITY CONTROL IN BAYS $1 \& 5$; DAC $60 \%$ RH MAX, EK $30-50 \% \mathrm{RH}$ | TSOM 9 | HOL'Z | OPIEN | \% |
| 101 | LM THERMAL CONTROL REVIEW | TSOM 9 | TMERSON/ HOLTZ. |  |  |
| 10.4 | REVIEW MASS PROPERTIES REPORT CONTRACT CHANGE LISTS | MASS PROP. REPORT | HOLT'Z | OPJM |  |
| 10 s | PROGRESS REPORT |  | DANTA | 10 JUNE |  |
| 109 | CDRL REVIEW |  | DAN'SA | OPEN |  |
| 12.2 | AEROSPACE CORN TARGET RECOMMENDATIONS | - | WATSON | OPEN | We lenas fer: <br> Recmenchoratious. |
| 113 | MMES ACOUSTIC LHEVELS \& HOT SPOTS | $\begin{aligned} & 107.50082-69 \\ & \text { F. FEST } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CARRINGTON } \\ & \text { FEST } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| $1:$ | ALUMINUM JJUMBING TEM | 1以K J.R | MCGHEE: |  |  |
| ir |  <br>  | $\because$-100か1/ |  |  |  |

## NY:30の/
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| 110 | rixM | ORIGIN | ASS:G®2D | $\begin{gathered} \text { DEE } \\ \text { D. } \end{gathered}$ | COMPLENED $B r^{r}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $26$ | OPTICAL TEST REVIEW | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WATSON } \\ & \text { IWX } \end{aligned}$ | MCGFEE | TR 4 | \% |
| $1 \leq 5^{9}$ | LL-1 VERSUS LI-2 DEFLECIIONS |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IISEDA/ } \\ & \text { HOLI'Z } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { REPORT } \\ & \text { DUE } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 150 | PED 17 FLUX SENSOR COATING (THERMAL) | SIL EXCEPT. REPORT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HOLTZI } \\ & \text { EMERSON } \end{aligned}$ |  | DEJETE FROMSTL HANDLJ AS STE |
| $157^{2}$ | DOOR EJECTION SHOCK LOADS - 30033 IMPACT |  | FEST/ CARRINGTON | - | \% |
| $158^{6}$ | R. RIDLEY: SPEC. INTERPRETATION <br> (DYNAMICS ANALYSIS, 2 COMP. POINTS) | H-6637 | MCGHTE | 4/30 | \% |
| 178 | DRC FIOTATION/SINKING-LETTER TO OPS | MAC / EK TEM | MCGHEE |  |  |
| 3 | FOG TESTING EFFORT-ON DAC?-S'ATJUS | HOWARD | WA'TSON |  |  |
| 153 | EG-12 UPDATING REQUIREMENTS | . | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CEI } \\ & \text { MOSKWI } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| $213$ | IVS FLARE M- | $\text { TSOM } 10$ | W ATSON | $\begin{aligned} & \text { T2cru } 11 \\ & -2+6 \pi \\ & 7106 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $214$ | FIL.M AJVANCE AND CAMERA TIMING FLEXIBILJTY | TSOM 10 | ROIBINS / <br> I)ANJA | . |  |
| 110 | VO/ALS DISPLAY JNCOINSH'J LeNCIES | TSOM 10 | ROBINS |  |  |
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| 110 | 15こM | ORIGIN | ASSIGNED | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DUE } \\ & \text { D\&VE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { COMPLETED } \\ B X \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 | FLIGHT CREW TRAINING (INPUT TO FLIGHT CREW TRAINING REQUIREMENTS DATA BANK |  | GIORDANO/ ROBINS | 6/16/69 |  |
| $4$ | SIE EXCEPTIONRPT. I6 MAY 1969 | $2 D-42973$ |  |  |  |
| $4$ | THERMAL DISTQRTION ANASYSIS OF THE COA ALUMINUM BARREL, | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 107-24049-69 \\ & 1 \mathrm{EEDA} \end{aligned}\right.$ |  |  | Auregs |
| $\leq 3$ | SYSTEM EFFECTIVENESS | $\begin{aligned} & 107-50210-69 \\ & \text { EMERSON } \end{aligned}$ | ROBINS |  |  |
| $\therefore 4$ | VO SPAES. CHANGEICN - EXPEDITE GE | TR 3 A.I. | HOWARD |  |  |
| $25$ | DE-34 THERMAL BJANKET ICN - $1.5 \cdot .102$. EXPEDITE TO GE S/O | TR 3 A.I. | HOWARD |  |  |
| $\therefore$ | CONTRAC'TOR TO MAINTAIN EK SIMU LATOR EQUIPMENTS | TR 3 A.J. | Ar/mCChers |  |  |
| $\because 7$ | CONSTANT WEAF GARMENT SAMPLE | TR 3 A.I. | AF/MCGHEE |  |  |
| $\cdots s^{\prime}$ | DATA CORE. COMMENTS ON CORN TARGETS | TR 3 A.I. | AF/MCGHEE |  |  |
| 8" | JOA VS. IF 100 FOR FACIIXTY REQUJREMENTS | TR 3 A.l. | AF/RJNGE |  |  |
| $\cdots$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BIRISR RESPONSE TO A. I. } 3.271 \text { ON VO } \\ & \text { HUMIDITY } \end{aligned}$ | TWX , |  |  | 为 |
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| $1: 0$ | JこM | ORIGIN | ASSIGEED | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{DUE} \\ & \mathrm{DATE} \end{aligned}$ | COMP LETED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 251 | ENVIRONMENTAL ACCEPTANCE OF LM COMPONENTS | TWX | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{K}=2 \mathrm{~N} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 252 | 2A4 STATIC TEST STRUCTURE (STS) DATA REQUIREMENTS | TWX | HOLTZ |  | $\cdots$ |
| 253 | TM LAUNCH LOCK INFORMATION (A.1. 236) | TWX | HOLTL |  | t |
| 254 | POWER SYSTEM PROTECTION RERUIREMENTS AND INT ERPRETATH ONS | TWX | MOSKWA |  | \% |
| 255 | STR REVIEW |  | ROJ311NS |  |  |
| 256 | FLIGHT VEHCIE TIMLINE WORKING GROUP MEETING 15-16 APRIL 1969 | 055-17285-69 | ROSBIN: |  | \% |
| 237 | TRIP REPORT-TR 3-13-14 MAY | $\begin{aligned} & 107-25018-69 \\ & \text { GLAMKOWSKI } \end{aligned}$ NICLIOLSON | WATSON |  |  |
| 058 | DELEIION OF GROUND CONDITIONING ASYMMETRIC HEATING TEST |  | MUMPER |  |  |
| 59 | $400 \% \mathrm{O}_{2}$ TESTING WITH EM - PLANS | TWX | EWZ KERY WATSON |  | TWX NOT' BEING SENT |
| $8:$ | / ON-ORBIT DYNAMIC EXCURSION ANA LYSIS (EXPEDITE) | IR 3 A.J. | HERINDON/ HOWARD | 5/31/69 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
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Frcertives do not requine vechnicai evainution siree ony those


The technicen evaluations need not be Jensiny bissertations anc シーun ou accomplished as soon as pracuicabie.

| - | Sy 00 | NDAC-WD AFPEO (G. Gavora) <br> NDAC-ED NAVEAMTESO (ה. Urks) <br> MW DCASR (P. WOOB) <br> AC Siect AFro (J. Selgua) <br> Na AFPRO (i. MeCabe) <br> Aerojet-Ger AFRRO (G. Gamey) <br> UCS APzRO (C. Kjeniand) <br> GE ATRO (3. Tsaacson) <br> Earc Sừ DCASD (R. Burce) <br> Wamipool DCASR (c. Wozmicki) <br> $\sin \operatorname{CND}(0 N)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
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