CEPDE?

17 September 1963

CCRONA J FLIGHT REPORT

FTV 1162 - SYSTEM J1 -/00/

Checked by

Checked by

Checked by

Approved by:

Approved

Declassified and Released by the N R-O

In Accordance with E.O. 12958

NOV 26 1997



SUMMARY

Flight Test Vehicle 1162 was a SaOlA orbital stage employing a SLN+2 booster. The primary payload aboard was J1, a dual recovery reconnaiseance camera system; consisting of panoranic cameras 11h and 115 and stellar index cameras 11h/15/1h and 116/16/16.

A four day camera operational mission followed by a five day onorbit storage period and a second camera operational mission of three days was programmed.

Launch occurred at 5:30 P. PST on 24 August 1963. Ascent and parameters for the first mission is included as Table I.

TABLE I

ORBITAL PARAMETERS

Parameter	Predicted		Actual
Period (Minutes)	90.67	:	90.54
Apogee (N. M.)	." 235. 6	Sign of the second second	236.91
Perigee (N. M.)	99.65		99•77
Eccentricity	0.0189	, v.4.	0.019
Inclination (Degrees)	75	, two	~ ~75.03
Periges Latitude	7 23.2 3rd		48.12

The first phase of the mission was completed on 28 August 1963 and a successful sir-catch recovery was made of the first recovery system on orbit 65s. The makinle was descripted on orbit 70 and the on-orbit



the end of the fifth day of on-orbit storage (orbit 1h9). However, the second phase of the mission was unsuccessful due to a failure in the 100 cycle single phase amplifier which precluded vehicle restablization and instrument operation.

The recovery of the second capsule was attempted on crbit 192 and was unsuccessful.

The paylead system operation, as determined from telemetry and tracking data, is discussed in the following sections of this report.

INSTRUMENTATION AND COMPANDING PERFORMANCE

channels; one for temperature data and the other for payload status monitors. On orbit 41 this commutator failed to run during the acquisition but started running during the acquisition at No further problems were experienced in the first mission.

During the second mission the two channels were clipped above the three collegeal level. This clipping was intermittent between orbits 149 and 160. The commutator failed completely or orbit 160. This clipping deserved appears to be a mode of failure of a commutator, however, the commutator and transmitter are the only two items common to both telemetry channels in the data link.

All real time parameter issued were verified and executed with the



- 3 -

this anomaly.

No other instrumentation or commanding problems were exident.

CAMERA SETTINGS AND FILM TYPES

Panoramic Cameras:

	Master	Slave
Film Type	S0132	S0132
Sit Width	0.200 In.	0.200 In.
Filter Type	Wratten 21	. Wratten 21
izon Optics:		a
e pare en la companya de la companya del companya del companya de la companya de		_

and the second s	Haster - Francisco	Slave.
Aperture 🗀 🗀	ee jaar eg 35 .76.8 1.81.00000	F6.8
.Exposurs.Time	1/100-Sec.	1/100-Sec.
Filter Type	watten 25	Wratten 25

Stellar Index Settings:

and the second s	Stellar Index A	Stellar In	ndex B
	tellar Index	Stellar	Index
Film Type	0102 50130	50102	io130 .
Aperbure.	1.9	71.9 I	di. 5
Exposite time	Sec. Non. 4 3/500-Sec.	2Sec. Non.	1/590-Sec-
Filter Type of Anna N	kne . E Wretten 21	None	irstten 21
* - Dependent on groke.	period of Mester Camera	e la companya di seriesa di serie	se i agraphic and a s





PANORAMIC INSTRUMENT PERFORMANCE (A MISSION)

The dynamic performance appeared normal on all engineering passes monitored on telemetry. The cycle periods were within 1 percent of the preflight nominals at the bottom of the v/h ramp and within $\frac{1}{2}$ percent at the top of the ramp for the slave instrument. The master instrument ran approximately 1 percent slower at the bottom and $\frac{1}{2}$ percent slower at the top of the ramp than the slave instrument.

A lens stow experiment was conducted on orbit 11 over the tracking station. The v/h programmer had not started at the time of the operation. The cycle period was 5 sec./cycle and both lenses stopped just beyond the home position but well within the safety zone.

Vehicle attitude data during the engineering operation on orbit 1/2 is included as Enclosures 1 and 2. No attitude perturbations are evident in these data. No instrument dynamic problems were evident in the data during the first mission.

PANORAMIC INSTRUMENT OPERATION (CUT AND WEAP)

The cut and wrap operation was performed without real-time telemetry coverage. The cassette rotation monitor and the payload status commutator were tape recorded and played back at the next telemetry acquisition.

The cycle counters indicated the master instrument completed four cycles and the slave instrument completed three cycles. The slave instrument cassette rotation monitor indicated correct cassette take-up during the complete operation. The master instrument cassette rotation monitor indicated



the initial cassette rotation at arm was normal but that subsequent instrument cassette rotation was abnormal. Three possible causes are:

- . 1: & Hangup of film in the film bath
 - 2. Incorrect operation of the Cassette
 - 3. Intermittent monitor switch

Several cut and wrap tests have been conducted and inspections have been made of a cassette and the cut and wrap sequence. As a result of these tests, the most probable failure rate appears to be an intermittent monitor which sould have been caused by either a faulty switch or an incorrect switch adjustment. Englosure 3 is the analog record of the telemetry playback showing the multiplexed waveform and the waveform of each cassette along with a waveform that was constructed using a ratio derived from the differences in speed of the two cassettes in completing the first rotation. Other test data has also been compared with the in-flight data all of which point toward an intermittent monitor.

All other out and grap switchover functions appeared normal.

PANGRAMIC INSTRUMENT OPERATION (B MISSION)

unable to species hiring the second mission. However, the instrument system was commanded on in mono mode on orbit 151 and to stereo mode on orbit 157 them off on orbit 160. The on command caused the operate relays to latch and stay latched smarting the instrument system to complete at least one cycle.



- 6 -

This caused a power drain of approximately 6 emps by the system in the stereo mode. This excess power drain stopped during the acquisition at the tracking station on orbit 168 indicating the instrument system had possibly cycled. This was impossible to verify due to the failure of the commutator. The cycle counters did indicate the slave instrument completed two cycles on orbit 159. It has been noted in test that the instrument system will creep without the 400 cycle power if the transistor in the output of the magnetic amplifier leaks.

No other instrument problems were evident in the limited data available.

STELLAR INDEX PERFORMANCE

passes on orbits 9 and 25. However, a failure was apparent in the data for orbit 17. Data for this pass indicated the index shutter opening was out of synchronization. This condition has been attributed to an incorrect adjustment in the shutter wind clutch and has been duplicated in testing. The platen up command caused the clock to be interrogated giving serial outputs on passes 9 and 25.

CLOCK PERFOR'ANCE

The clock performance appeared normal throughout the flight with the accuracy within the reading tolerance of the data. One anomaly did occur on an engineering pass. The clock serial output was only six bits long.

This has also been noted in testing assemble time but apparently has no effect on the performance or accuracy of the clock system.





THERMAL ENVIRONMENT

Thermal data were tape recorded throughout the active portions of the flight. Enclosures is thru 19 are plate of the thermal data which are representative of the indicated thermal environment. Enclosures 20 thru 26 show temp sensor locations. As evidenced by the enclosed plots, data were acquired in sufficient quantity, continuity, and repeatability to define an indicated thermal environment through first recovery. Data for the second active phase of the mission have been processed but not analyzed at this time.

Correlation between the various monitors established a high degree of consistency: size render errors in the calibration of various monitor circuits are small. As an example, refer to Enclosures 16 and 17 which are, respectively, plots of the fourth power time average barrel no. 2 skin temp sensors vs position in degrees and vs position along the barrel diameter between the points of maximum and minimum skin temperature. The curve of the first plot is characterized by symmetry on either side of the shadow line. The curve of the ascond plot is a nearly linear curve which has no inflection point. Both of these curve shapes are of the form that should be expected of the thermal gradient along the skin. Note that in all saces the late points fall sinest exactly on the curves. This fact establishes a very low degree of random errors in the aft barrel skin temp monitor circuits. The establishment of negligible random errors, however does not astablish the slidler of the absolute values of the data although



- 8 -

it does establish the validity of temperature differentials between the monitors. The validity of the absolute values indicated by the data can be established only through continuous engineering analysis of systematric errors is the indirementation system coupled with analysis of data from repeated flights of essentially the same hardware/instrumentation system.

All data parameters were quantified using nominal circuit resistor values and the nominal manufacturing calibration curve for the type transducer_used. Individual_circuit_calibrations_vere_not_performed: _ Frree_one point systems checks were available for the instrument temperature sensors only and mere improporated data the final salibrations, used for quantification the flight data. Two: system shecks were performed by Boston prior to shipment of the instrument at a reported 70 F ambient temperature. The average indicated temperature for this system check was approximately 8207. The AP acceptance test was performed in the J clean room at approximately 70°F ambient; the average indicated temperature was approximately 80°F. which was On the basis of these three one point system checks, the ralibrations of all instrument temp sensors were normal shifted down 10 F. There were no valid one point thacks available for the skin temp sensors; consequently, the the common calibration curves without normal shift were used to quantify the flight contributed the commentate shocker were used labbe for the clock temp sensors, the S/I temp sensors; or the supply cassette temperature sensors which had validity equal to these used to normal shift the instrument temperature sensor calibrations. However, during the pad run; although medient temperature varies saliding the skin of the paylosis, the supply becauting steller index and clock



- 9 -

Pad run data indicated the supply cassette, clock and stablar index temperatures at the approximately 10°K high wither espect to the instrument temperature sensors using the normal shifted surves. On this basis, the clock, stellar index and supply cassette temperatures were normal shifted down 10°F as were the instrument temp sensors. The lack of any valid system checks for the skin temperatures, which are a different type of transducer from the internal temperature sensors, establishes a possible system bias error between external and internal temperatures; however, the lack of such checks in no way proves that such system biases exist. It is felt that the calibrations used to quantify the data represent the most accurate curves that were available at the time of laumbs. As pointed out previously, the true validity of the absolute values of the data can be accurately established only through analysis of future J flights and continued analysis of the temperature instrumentation system.

An attempt was made to analyze the flight data and establish indicated
empirical relationships which could be used for predicting thermal conditions
once such relationships were confirmed by future I flights. Enclosure 19
presents a press plot of the internal temperatures we external temperatures
along the barrel disreter across the points of minimum and maximum skin
temperatures. The slope of this curve indicates that the internal temperature
gradient is .3 of the skin thermal gradient. For Jel there was an average
skin temp gradient of approximately 17% and arginternal temperature gradient
of approximately 53°R. This plots if proves that forms flight date, can



for the J configuration vehicle. At present, prediction of internal temperature, is the result of two theoretical rath models, one used for predicting the skin of the results of the first prediction. Establishment of a high degree of validity of this empirical relationship could contribute to the theoretical predictions for the design of the thermal control system and result in more accurate thermal control.

from telemetry data during the flight.

experience a broad range of urbital thermal environment (2). Preflight
temperature predictions were confined to two extreme 2 conditions. Temperature predictions for intermediate 2 conditions or at 2 values beyond
the bounds analyzed are assumed to be attainable by interpolation or extrapolation.
The two conditions analyzed are:

1. S. 53°; Mr. 150 s.m., No. 1 and No. 2 SRV attached

2. 2 150 s.m., No. 1 5RV removed

above.

In the first condition average main plate temperatures are predicted to approximately 27°F and time-average fourth power skin temperatures (7) were predicted to be ill°F. In the second condition corresponding predictions were approximately 60°F and 10°F respectively.





The grange experienced during the Jel mission was from 610 on , day 1 to 13 con day 10 a .. Therefore, to masses the macuracy of skin temp-20 On this basis; day & skin temperatures are predicted to be, 7 118°F. The Tof the aft barrel for orbit 8 was computed from flight test data to be 123.1°F. The exterior thermal mosaic used on J-1 indicated skin temperatures within 3°F of nominal predictions. Therefore, it appears that the empirical method (=30°F bias using neminal optical properties for exterior surfaces) resulted in very accurate skin temperature predictions. Flight data from depot indicates average main plate temperatures to be approximately 97 F. It would appear that the 200 difference between flight test data and predictions is the result of poor agreement between the mathematical thermal model and the physical system. A possible cause would be stronger thermal coupling, than expected, between the camera subsystem and the skin. This explanation can be additionally supported by considering main plate cross gradients in comparison with preflight predictions. However, another factor to be considered is the optical properties (KFE) of the drum. ... A simplified expression to describe the equilibrium temperature of the interior mans shows that the draw temperature (and its natical properties) has as men influence of the interior temperature earthe skin temperatures. Pefore final conclusions can be stated regarding camera subsystem orbital temperatures, two anamolies must be further investigated; i.e. the supply eassette temperature indicated approximately 78 F and was predicted to be 78 F, and the real time g ... road out bety on will's 171 indicated an exerces instrument temperature of meapproximately 78 F and was predicted to be 78 F.



First Recovery

on orbit 65 on 8/28/63. The recovery system retro events were not acquired on telemetry. The parachute deployment events appeared normal and within telerance. The condition of the recovered capsule was satisfactory with damage limited to normal paint blistering.

Second Recovery

Second mission capsule recovery attempt was made on orbit 192 and was unsuccessful.

The capsule separated and was acquired on telemetry by the recovery force near Hawaii indicating successful separation of the fairing assembly and retro events. No parachute deployment events were monitored and the capsule apparently impacted intact. Enclosure 37 is a plot of the telemetry data acquired.

the batteries were apparently weak at the time of recovery preventing the normal recovery events from occurring. This can be partially attributed to the length and thermal environment of the storage period (21 days) between battery activation and res very. Enclosure 38 is a plot of the on orbit temperatures encountered by the sassette which approximates the battery temperature.

inclosures M. Wand hi indicate the expere hour loss and the allowable orbital







representative states of the state of the st

mission is a result of the 100 coole failure which caused the instrument system operate relays to remain in the latched position. This applies power to the cassette motors which are then in a stalled condition until the instrument system cycles. Under these conditions the cassette dissipates like watts in the mono mode and 28 watts in the stereo mode. This power dissipation caused the recovery system temperatures to rise.

ORBIT ANALYSIS

from lambch is shown in molosure if. The altitude was assentially as predicted, after allowance for the abnormally high 25° northward shift in the location of periges.

The reduction in altitude as a result of period decay was also close to nominal, although as Enclosure h3 shows, the apparent rate of decay in period during the tumble mode was slightly greater than predicted so that the period at time of reactivation on rev. 119 was about 12 seconds less than the nominal value. There were no serious affects on orbit lifetime resulting from this greater decay since predictions at the and of the sixth day of tumbling showed an additional ten days of tumbling life remaining (equivalent to about thirty days of stable life).

At time of stempted reactivation entrey. My the period had decayed and hence the potential coverage in







- 14 -

mominal condition which would have been approximately six or 62-days synchronous. The arbit apparently would not have decayed to the one day synchronous condition until about the time of its demise.

Accurate data for predicting ground tracks during the unstable period were not available until 12 days after deactivation. These data are used for planning purposes in selecting the reactivation pass and selecting the best of the ten alternate programs for coverage. The delay was due to the limited tracking data available during the deactivated period. However, the errors in prediction resulting from the differences in decambetween a stable and a tumbling vehicle do not become excessive (that is, outside the limits of the half the distance between the alternate programs) until about the third day after deactivation. Therefore, this delay caused no great difficulties and resulted in no change in the rough planning factors for selection of the reactivation pass and program, even though the plots for ground track showed marked changes between the stable and tumbling vehicle data.

ATTITUDE DATA VEHICLE 1162 MISSION 1001 ORBIT 47 HORIZON SENSOR PITCH IS DE6.	INSTRUMENT OFF SYSTEM TIME 84751. PITCH SWED OUT PUT 'S D 6. ENCLOSURE NR 1
AT. VEI OR	
4 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	8 46.2 80 . 57
	INSTRUMENT ON SYSTEM TIME
£ 3 3 7 8 7 8	
15 <i>33</i> 29 <i>3</i> 0	<i>533373</i> 0

	1001 2) F F 89757		on the state of			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , 	 			
	DE DATA E 1162 MISSION 1031	±5 DE6	INSTRUMENT OFF SYSTEM TIME 89757		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					NO Z		
	ATTITUDE VEHICLE ORBIT 4	DE BOLL			eroud V	į.	•		4,			
		HORIZON SENSOR			EOLL GYRO OUTPUT		· .		\ a			
		HC			02				JAN	ì		
STANT				;	Section 1	*		,		Links		
						4.	•					
			NT ON TIME 84628						;			
-			INSTRUMENT SHSTEM TIM	:	ille o	_	• ·		en e			
<u>ا</u>		2	Ŋ	10								
-	5 .3	7929	 170		5.7988	⊋ Q	"	59	93930	<u> </u>		



