Approved for Release: 2021/08/19 C05133722

UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO-

C	losure F	Recommend	lation Memorandum
Case Number:	19-0232-C		Date of Entry: 28 Sept 2020
Primary Investigator:			(k
Narrative: (U/ /FOUO) This is the contracting company, cont take on a prime cobecame the prime on t	conspired with ntract so w	to charge inflated r	(b)(3)— (b)(7)(ceived information alleging that an NRO affiliated (rates to the NRO. Specifically it was alleged in 2015 alid a vendor, could charge 40% to 60% higher rates. After
Last Investigative Step (U/ /FOUO) Contract do		eview	
Resolution: (U) Unsubstantiated			
		Case Closure Recomm	endation Justification
and potentially engaged in that fact from the gove firm fixed price propos proposal also stated to utilizing subcontract la approach and accepted into how developed	as opened to su That case was defective pricing rnment. The Old al that it was us the government bor from two could the proposal and the price and the second to the price and the pric	ng by charging its own rapid of the standard of the sing its established coming its established coming it was upompanies to perform the submitted. As such, took no exception to it.	regarding a conspiracy between (b)(3) ates. Knowing it was utilizing subcontract labor and hidin (b)(7) commentation that showed told the government in (b)(7) commercial rates to price the contract in question. However, the using its commercial rates to price the contract would be ne actual tasks. The government took no issue to this there can be no defective price if the government had insight. Moreover, the contractor was never required to certify its is a result, this case is recommended for closure as