

NATIONAL RECONNAISSANCE OFFICE

Office of Inspector General 14675 Lee Road Chantilly, VA 20151-1715



6 July 2020

under the contract. The OIG's review of the contract, 1 (U) Government property, as defined in 5 CFR 2635.704 (b) (1), includes the services of contractor personnel. 2 (U//FOUC) (b)(3) (b)(7)(c) 3 (U) The OIG did not assess the total amount of time performed by the	6 July 2020	
(U//FOUG) The National Reconnaissance Office (NRO) Office of Inspector General (OIG) received a complaint in October 2019 alleging (b)(3) (b)(7)(c) used contractor employees serving as special assistants to determine if violated Standards of Ethical Conduct for Employees of the Executive Branch, 5 CFR 2635.704 (b) (17)(c) perform personal errands. The NRO OIG investigated the allegation to determine if violated Standards of Ethical Conduct for Employees of the Executive Branch, 5 CFR 2635.704 (b) (1) and the property, which prohibits an employee from using Government property or allowed its use for other than authorized purposes. (U//FOUG) The OIG interviewed former and current worked under the Both stated they performed occasional personal errands for to include preparing tea, getting lunches from the Westfields cafeteria, scheduling personal papointments, watering plants, withdrawing funds from personal bank account via an automated teller machine for money supporting office celebrations, and obtaining refreshments for office events. Both (b)(3) stated they often volunteered to perform these activities due (b)(7)(c) to busy schedule. However, on some occasions, stated they considered the errands minor and infrequent, but acknowledged the time was charged to the contract since the actions were performed during duty hours. (U//FOUG) The OIG interviewed the NRO contracting officer (CO) for the contract. The OIG's review of the contract, (U)/FOUG) The OIG did not assess the total amount of time performed by the	PRINCIPAL DEPUTY DIRECTOR, NATIONAL RECONNAISSANCE OFFICE	
Inspector General (OIG) received a complaint in October 2019 alleging used contractor employees serving as special assistants to determine if violated Standards of Ethical Conduct for Employees of the Executive Branch, 5 CFR 2635.704 Use of Government property, which prohibits an employee from using Government property or allowed its use for other than authorized purposes. (U//FOUO) The OIG interviewed former and current 2 Both worked under the stated they performed occasional personal errands for to include preparing tea, getting lunches from the Westfields cafeteria, scheduling personal appointments, watering plants, withdrawing funds from personal bank account via an automated teller machine for money supporting office celebrations, and obtaining refreshments for office events. Both stated they often volunteered to perform these activities due (b)(7)(C) to busy schedule. However, on some occasions, tasked them to perform these errands. Both stated they considered the errands minor and infrequent, but acknowledged the time was charged to the contract since the actions were performed during duty hours. (U//FOUO) The OIG interviewed the NRO contracting officer (CO) for the contract. The CO stated personal errands are not authorized under the contract. The OIG's review of the contract. (b)(3) (b)(3) (c) (c) (c) (d) (d) (d) (e) (e)		
perform personal errands. The NRO OIG investigated the allegation to determine if violated Standards of Ethical Conduct for Employees of the Executive Branch, 5 CFR 2635.704 Use of Government property, which prohibits an employee from using Government property' or allowed its use for other than authorized purposes. (U//FOUO) The OIG interviewed former and current both stated they performed occasional personal errands for to include preparing tea, getting lunches from the Westfields cafeteria, scheduling personal pank account via an automated teller machine for money supporting office celebrations, and obtaining refreshments for office events. Both stated they often volunteered to perform these activities due (b)(7)(c) to busy schedule. However, on some occasions, tasked them to perform these errands. Both stated they considered the errands minor and infrequent, but acknowledged the time was charged to the contract since the actions were performed during duty hours. The OIG interviewed the NRO contracting office (CO) for the contract. The CO stated personal errands are not authorized under the contract. The OIG's review of the contract, (b)(3) (b)(3) (b)(7)(c)	Inspector General (OIG) received a complaint in October 2019 alleging	
Both stated they performed occasional personal errands for to include preparing tea, getting lunches from the Westfields cafeteria, scheduling personal appointments, watering plants, withdrawing funds from personal bank account via an automated teller machine for money supporting office celebrations, and obtaining refreshments for office events. Both (b)(3) stated they often volunteered to perform these activities due (b)(7)(c) busy schedule. However, on some occasions, stated they considered the errands minor and infrequent, but acknowledged the time was charged to the contract since the actions were performed during duty hours. (b)(3) (UV/FOUG) The OIG interviewed the NRO contracting officer (CO) for the contract. The CO stated personal errands are not authorized under the contract. The OIG's review of the contract, (b)(3) (b)(3) (b)(3)	perform personal errands. The NRO OIG investigated the allegation to determine if violated Standards of Ethical Conduct for Employees of the Executive Branch, 5 CFR 2635.704 Use of Government property, which prohibits an employee from using Government property or	
occasional personal errands for to include preparing tea, getting lunches from the Westfields cafeteria, scheduling personal appointments, watering plants, withdrawing funds from personal bank account via an automated teller machine for money supporting office celebrations, and obtaining refreshments for office events. Both celebrations, and obtaining refreshments for office events. Both stated they often volunteered to perform these activities due (b)(7)(c) to busy schedule. However, on some occasions, tasked them to perform these errands. Both stated they considered the errands minor and infrequent, but acknowledged the time was charged to the contract since the actions were performed during duty hours. (U//FOUC) The OIG interviewed the NRO contracting officer (CO) for the contract. The CO stated personal errands are not authorized under the contract. The OIG's review of the contract, (b)(3) (b)(3) (b)(3) (b)(7)(c)	2 Both worked under the	
stated they often volunteered to perform these activities due (b)(7)(c) to busy schedule. However, on some occasions, tasked them to perform these errands. Both stated they considered the errands minor and infrequent, but acknowledged the time was charged to the contract since the actions were performed during duty hours. ³ (U//FOUG) The OIG interviewed the NRO contracting officer (CO) for the contract. The CO stated personal errands are not authorized under the contract. The OIG's review of the contract, 1 (U) Government property, as defined in 5 CFR 2635.704 (b) (1), includes the services of contractor personnel. 2 (U//FOUG) (b)(3) (b)(7)(c)	occasional personal errands for	
the contract. The CO stated personal errands are not authorized under the contract. The OIG's review of the contract, 1 (U) Government property, as defined in 5 CFR 2635.704 (b) (1), includes the services of contractor personnel. 2 (U//FOUO) (b)(3) (b)(3) (b)(3)	stated they often volunteered to perform these activities due (b) to busy schedule. However, on some occasions, tasked them to perform these errands. Both stated they considered the errands minor and infrequent, but acknowledged the time was charged to the contract since the actions were performed	
services of contractor personnel.	the contract. The CO stated personal errands are not authorized	(b)(3)
2 (U/ $/FOUO$) (b)(3) (b)(7)(c) (D) The OIG did not assess the total amount of time performed by the		
	•	
LOUILDE DETSONAL ETTANOS	3 (U) The OIG did not assess the total amount of time performed by the on the personal errands.	

Approved for Release: 2021/08/19 C05133777

identified no requirement for to provide errands and services as (b)(a personal convenience.
(U// FOUO) The OIG interviewed regarding alleged use of contractor services for the performance of personal errands.
stated accepted and allowed former and current to perform errands for explained that the often voluntarily took on the tasks. However, on a (b)(3)
few occasions, the errands may have been conducted at request. explained that on some occasions tried to discourage the from performing the errands, but the would (b)(7)(c)
perform the errands anyway. took responsibility for the contractors' actions in consideration of role as the (b)(
(U/ FOUO) The OIG will not further investigate the allegation. We are referring this matter to you for your review and action as appropriate. The OIG notified the NRO's (b)(within the Office of General Counsel (OGC) of this referral. The
is prepared to provide guidance in this matter to NRO senior managers as appropriate. We request that you inform the OIG of any action taken within 45 days of your receipt of this memorandum. Please provide your written response to Special Agent in Charge, OIG Westfields.
(U//FOUO) You may share this information with any parties as necessary to take appropriate action. Questions regarding this memorandum may be directed to (b)(3
Assistant Inspector General For Investigations
SOW requires to maintain calendars, arrange travel and training requests, edit documents, process visitor requests, distribute official
mail, schedule conference rooms, support trouble tickets, and track office work (b)(3)
broducts. 5 (U//FOUCH) The original complaint also contained an allegation that misused position to influence a personnel action within The OIG did not develop any information supporting this claim. (b)(3) (b)(7)(c)