



NATIONAL RECONNAISSANCE OFFICE
Office of Inspector General
14675 Lee Road
Chantilly, VA 20151-1715



18 September 2020

MEMORANDUM FOR DIRECTOR, OFFICE OF CONTRACTS
DIRECTOR, OFFICE OF SECURITY AND COUNTERINTELLIGENCE

SUBJECT: (U) Summary Report of Investigation: Labor Mischarging
(Case Number 19-0036-I)

(U//~~FOUO~~) The National Reconnaissance Office (NRO) Office of Inspector General (OIG) initiated an investigation based on information alleging [redacted] mischarged time to an NRO contract. The attached Summary Report of Investigation (SROI) details the investigation results.

(b)(3)
(b)(7)(c)

(U//~~FOUO~~) The OIG requests that the Director, Office of Security and Counterintelligence place a copy of this report in the appropriate security file, along with a notation in the appropriate security databases. All other copies are for informational purposes only and should be returned to the OIG.

(U//~~FOUO~~) The OIG asks that the Director, Office of Contracts (D/OC) determine whether debarment of [redacted] pursuant to Federal Acquisition Regulation 9.406, is in the government's interest. The D/OC should report the result of this determination, as well as any action taken or anticipated, to the OIG within 45 days from the date of this report.

(b)(3)
(b)(7)(c)

(U//~~FOUO~~) You may share information contained within this report with those individuals you deem necessary to complete the requested actions. If there are other persons who you believe require access as part of their official duties, please let me know, and I will promptly review your request. Questions regarding this summary may be directed to Special Agent in Charge [redacted] (secure) or to the undersigned at [redacted] (secure).

(b)(3)



(b)(3)
(b)(6)

Assistant Inspector General
For Investigations

Attachment:
(U) Summary Report of Investigation
(Case Number 19-0036-I) ~~(S//TK//NF)~~

cc: General Counsel



(b)(3)

UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOUO~~ when separated
from document

SUBJECT: (U) Summary Report of Investigation: Labor Mischarging
(Case Number 19-0036-I)

OIG/[redacted]/18 Sept 2020

(b)(3)

DISTRIBUTION:

Hard Copy

Director, Office of Contracts

General Counsel

[redacted] Office of General Counsel [redacted]

(b)(3)

Director, Office of Security and Counterintelligence

OIG Official Record [redacted]

*(U) National Reconnaissance Office
Office of Inspector General*

[Redacted]

(b)(3)

(U) SUMMARY REPORT OF INVESTIGATION

(U) (19-0036-I)

18 September 2020

(U) Section A – Subject:

1. ~~(S//TK//NF)~~ Full Name: [Redacted]

(b)(3)
(b)(7)(c)

AIN: [Redacted]

(b)(3)

Employer: [Redacted]

Contract Number: [Redacted]

(b)(1)
(b)(3)

Job Title: [Redacted]

(b)(3)

[Redacted]

(b)(3)

(U) Section B – Predication:

2. ~~(S//TK//NF)~~ On 8 May 2019, [redacted] (b)(3)
notified the National Reconnaissance Office (NRO) Office of Inspector General (OIG) that it had
initiated an internal investigation regarding [redacted] based on an allegation that [redacted] (b)(3)
[redacted] improperly recorded hours he did not work. At the time of the allegation, [redacted] was an (b)(7)(c)
[redacted] employee assigned as a technician on the NRO [redacted] (b)(1)
[redacted] As reported by [redacted] [redacted] alleged actions potentially violated 18 U.S.C. § 287, (b)(3)
False, Fictitious, and Fraudulent Claims, which makes it unlawful for anyone to make any claim
upon or against the United States, or any department or agency thereof, knowing such claim to (b)(3)
be false, fictitious, or fraudulent.

(b)(3)
(b)(7)(c)

(U) Section C – Investigative Findings:

3. ~~(S//NF)~~ [redacted] reported to the OIG that from 5 December 2018 through 16 January (b)(1)
2019, [redacted] mischarged approximately 63 hours to the [redacted] [redacted] (b)(3)
reported that during the relevant period, [redacted] came to work late and took extended breaks (b)(3)
during the day without making up the time. [redacted] concluded that [redacted] actions resulted (b)(1)(b)(7)(c)
in [redacted] mischarged to the [redacted]. The OIG’s review did not produce any (b)(3)
information contrary to these findings.

(b)(3)
(b)(4)

(U) Section D – Conclusion:

4. ~~(U//FOUO)~~ The United States Attorney’s Office, District of Colorado, declined (b)(3)
prosecution. The OIG briefed the facts of this case to the cognizant NRO contracting officer, (b)(7)(c)
who subsequently agreed to an administrative settlement with [redacted] terminated (b)(3)
[redacted] employment, and he was subsequently removed from access to all NRO programs on
28 January 2019. [redacted] reimbursed the NRO [redacted] on 27 February 2019.¹ All OIG actions (b)(3)
are complete. (b)(4)

5. ~~(U//FOUO)~~ The OIG requests that the Director, Office of Security and (b)(3)
Counterintelligence place a copy of this report in [redacted] security file, along with a notation (b)(7)(c)
in the appropriate security databases.

6. ~~(U//FOUO)~~ The OIG asks that the Director, Office of Contracts (D/OC) determine
whether debarment of [redacted] pursuant to Federal Acquisition Regulation 9.406, is in the
government’s interest. The D/OC should report the result of this determination, as well as any
action taken or anticipated, to the OIG within 45 days of the date of this report.

[redacted signature box]

Special Agent in Charge

(b)(3)
(b)(6)

¹ ~~(U//FOUO)~~ [redacted] reported all actions to the OIG after the fact.

(b)(3)