



NATIONAL RECONNAISSANCE OFFICE

*Office of Inspector General
14675 Lee Road
Chantilly, VA 20151-1715*



29 September 2020

MEMORANDUM FOR DIRECTOR, OFFICE OF CONTRACTS
EXECUTIVE OFFICER, OFFICE OF SECURITY AND
COUNTERINTELLIGENCE

SUBJECT: (U) Summary Report of Investigation: Labor Mischarging
(Case Number 20-0023-I)

(U//~~FOUO~~) The National Reconnaissance Office (NRO) Office of Inspector General (OIG) initiated an investigation based on information [redacted] mischarged time to an NRO contract. The attached Summary Report of Investigation details the investigation results.

(b)(3)
(b)(7)(c)

(U//~~FOUO~~) The OIG requests that the Executive Officer, Office of Security and Counterintelligence place a copy of this report in the appropriate security file, along with a notation in the appropriate security databases. All other copies are for informational purposes only and should be returned to the OIG.

(U//~~FOUO~~) The OIG asks that the Director, Office of Contracts (D/OC) determine whether debarment of [redacted] pursuant to Federal Acquisition Regulation 9.406, is in the government's interest. The D/OC should report the result of this determination, as well as any action taken or anticipated, to the OIG within 45 days from the date of this report.

(b)(3)
(b)(7)(c)

(U//~~FOUO~~) You may share information contained within this report with those individuals you deem necessary to complete the requested actions. If there are other persons who you believe require access as part of their official duties, please let me know, and I will promptly review your request. Questions regarding this summary may be directed to Special Agent in Charge [redacted] (secure) or to the undersigned at secure [redacted] (secure).

(b)(3)

(b)(3)
(b)(6)

Assistant Inspector General for
Investigations

Attachment:
(U) Summary Report of Investigation
(Case Number 20-0023-I) (U//~~FOUO~~)

cc: General Counsel

SUBJECT: (U) Summary Report of Investigation: Labor Mischarging
(Case Number 20-0023-I)

OIG/[]/29 September 2020

(b)(3)

DISTRIBUTION:

Hard Copy

Director, Office of Contracts

General Counsel

Executive Officer, Office of Security and Counterintelligence

OIG Official Record []

(b)(3)

*(U) National Reconnaissance Office
Office of Inspector General*

(b)(3)

(U) SUMMARY REPORT OF INVESTIGATION

(U) (20-0023-I)

29 September 2020

(U) Section A – Subject:

1. ~~(U//FOUO)~~ Full Name:

Employer:

Former Contract Number:

Job Title:

(b)(3)
(b)(7)(c)

(b)(3)

(U) Section B – Predication:

2. (U//~~FOUO~~) On 24 January 2020, the National Reconnaissance Office (NRO) Office of Inspector General (OIG) received information from an NRO Contracting Officer (CO) in the Systems Engineering Directorate (SED) on the results of an investigation conducted by [redacted] regarding its employee. [redacted] advised the CO that it conducted an analysis to determine if [redacted] improperly recorded hours he did not work as claimed on SED’s contract [redacted] otherwise known as [redacted]. As reported by [redacted] actions potentially violated 18 U.S.C. § 287, *False, Fictitious, and Fraudulent Claims*, which makes it unlawful for anyone to make any claim upon or against the United States, or any department or agency thereof, knowing such claim to be false, fictitious, or fraudulent.

(b)(3)

(b)(3)

(b)(7)(c)

(b)(3)

(U) Section C – Investigative Findings:

3. (U//~~FOUO~~) [redacted] reported to the NRO SED CO that [redacted] admitted to mischarging hours starting in October 2019. After reviewing timesheets, login/logout data, and badge records, [redacted] determined [redacted] mischarged labor hours to the [redacted] contract during the months of October, November and December of 2019. [redacted] did not provide a finding of how many hours [redacted] mischarged; however, [redacted] reported its intent to reimburse the [redacted] contract for all 320 hours invoiced for [redacted] in October and November, which totaled [redacted]. [redacted] also reported that it did not invoice any hours for [redacted] in December. The OIG did not produce any information contrary to the information reported by [redacted].

(b)(3)

(b)(3)

(b)(7)(c)

(b)(3)

(b)(3)

(b)(4)

(b)(3)

(U) Section D – Conclusion:

4. (U//~~FOUO~~) The United States Attorney’s Office, Eastern District of Virginia declined prosecution. The cognizant NRO contracting officer agreed to an administrative settlement with [redacted] reimbursed the NRO for [redacted] October and November hours through a check issued to United States Treasury for [redacted]. The OIG obtained security records reflecting [redacted] was debriefed from access to NRO programs on 14 January 2020. All OIG actions are complete.

(b)(3)

(b)(7)(c)

(b)(3)

(b)(3)

(b)(4)

5. (U//~~FOUO~~) The OIG requests that the Director, Office of Security and Counterintelligence place a copy of this report in [redacted] security file, along with a notation in the appropriate security databases.

(b)(3)

(b)(7)(c)

6. (U//~~FOUO~~) The OIG asks that the Director, Office of Contracts (D/OC) determine whether debarment of [redacted] pursuant to Federal Acquisition Regulation 9.406, is in the government’s interest. The D/OC should report the result of this determination, as well as any action taken or anticipated, to the OIG within 45 days of the date of this report.

(b)(3)

(b)(6)

[redacted signature box]

Special Agent in Charge