

[redacted]

From: [redacted]
Sent: Friday, January 16, 2015 1:17 PM
To: [redacted]
Cc:
Subject: RE: 2015-00004-1 - (U) FOIA Case F15-0006 - Request for Search and Review (Greenewald) --- ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

(b)(3)

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~
=====

I'd be glad to send you a big box of sunshine ... but...um, its overcast and freezing outside! Had to being out my coat, it was a freezing 55 this morning! ☺

[redacted]

From: [redacted]
Sent: Friday, January 16, 2015 1:14 PM
To: [redacted]
Cc:
Subject: FW: 2015-00004-1 - (U) FOIA Case F15-0006 - Request for Search and Review (Greenewald) --- ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~
Importance: High

(b)(3)

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~
=====

[redacted]

Thank you! Appreciate your help keeping this on track. We will gladly accept all the help we can get! By the way, I really, really don't like winter. Can you pack up a box of warm and send it this way?

(b)(3)

[redacted]

From: [redacted]
Sent: Friday, January 16, 2015 11:13 AM
To: [redacted]
Subject: FW: 2015-00004-1 - (U) FOIA Case F15-0006 - Request for Search and Review (Greenewald) --- ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~
Importance: High

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~
=====

[redacted]

Below is the case we spoke about in the meeting. Please review so we can discuss.

V/r,

[redacted]

(b)(3)

From: [redacted]
Sent: Friday, January 16, 2015 10:18 AM
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: RE: 2015-00004-1 - (U) FOIA Case F15-0006 - Request for Search and Review (Greenewald) ---
~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~
Importance: High

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~
 =====

[redacted]

[redacted] supports all Evolved Expendable Launch Vehicle Program launches for mission assurance purposes. -- Submitted by: [redacted]

(b)(3)

Per FOIA law, all employees assigned to the NRO are required to comply with FOIA by giving access to federal records. NRO Instruction (NI) 56-2-1 documents the policies and responsibilities for the FOIA Program; IRRG's FOIA responsibility is to comply with federal regulation, to include search and treating responsive records. Any documents in [redacted] control that has been discovered pertaining to this specific FOIA request will need to be provided to IRRG. Specific annotation of the classification and association risks should be noted, BUT IRRG must review it. IRRG WILL NOT release any information that is classified, but to comply with the above stated regulations and direction of the NRO; it is required that all responsive records to FOIA requests be provided to IRRG.

(b)(3)

[redacted]

If you have any specific questions, please let me. As the [redacted] Information Manager, I have created a potentially responsive FOIA records collection in [redacted]. This collection is locked down and is only assessable by me currently for this FOIA request. No record within this collection will be deleted and the collection will remain in whole per good records management practices. Furthermore, the [redacted] is a certified electronic records management system, per DoD5015. Once all [redacted] records have been discovered, I will need to transfer those records into this record collection. When you have the total number of records and approximate size, please let me know and I will work with you to copy the records to [redacted].

(b)(3)

(b)(3)

From: [redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, January 14, 2015 1:25 PM
To: [redacted]
Subject: RE: 2015-00004-1 - (U) FOIA Case F15-0006 - Request for Search and Review (Greenewald) ---
~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

(b)(3)

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~
=====

That will be perfect. Our POC is waiting to hear back from the program. They said will be getting information today so as soon as we get that, we will send our response. I will change the due date for the 16th.

Thank you,

[redacted]

From: [redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, January 14, 2015 10:55 AM
To: [redacted]
Subject: RE: 2015-00004-1 - (U) FOIA Case F15-0006 - Request for Search and Review (Greenewald) ---
~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

(b)(3)

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~
=====

[redacted]
I have called twice and IM'd once and I still haven't heard an answer. It is due on the 16th so I could push out our date until that day. Would that help?

(b)(3)

[redacted]

Life doesn't have to be perfect to be wonderful


From: [redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, January 14, 2015 12:43 PM
To: [redacted]
Subject: RE: 2015-00004-1 - (U) FOIA Case F15-0006 - Request for Search and Review (Greenewald) ---
~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

(b)(3)

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~
=====

Hi [redacted]

Any word on the extension?



From: [Redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, January 14, 2015 8:18 AM
To: [Redacted]
Cc: [Redacted]
Subject: RE: 2015-00004-1 - (U) FOIA Case F15-0006 - Request for Search and Review (Greenewald) ---
~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~


(b)(3)

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~
=====



I'll call the POC as soon as he gets in the office to ask for the extension.

(b)(3)



Life doesn't have to be perfect to be wonderful

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, January 13, 2015 4:44 PM
To: [Redacted]
Cc: [Redacted]
Subject: 2015-00004-1 - (U) FOIA Case F15-0006 - Request for Search and Review (Greenewald) ---
~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

(b)(3)

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~
=====

Good Afternoon,
My name is [Redacted] from [Redacted] and we would like an extension on the due date for this TIER. It is currently due by COB, 14 Jan.
We are currently working with other offices to gather the required information.

Thank you,

(b)(3)



****Do you have any documents to work?****
It's easy to check at the following link: [DOC - My Docs](#)

=====
Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

=====
Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

=====
Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

=====
Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

=====
Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

=====
Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

=====
Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

=====
Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

=====
Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

[redacted] (b)(3)

From: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, January 20, 2015 8:29 AM
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: Media Inquiry/BPO Rec Mangement Tasking Requested: TIER Action: 2015-00178 (New), (U) FOIA Case F15-0034 - Request for Search (Greenewald) --- ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~
Importance: High

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~
=====

Mr. John Greenewald, Jr, freelance television producer is requesting yet another FOIA request – this time on UVB-76/Buzzer.

Deadline for document search: Feb 2.

Asking IRRG to tasks to [redacted]

VR,
[redacted] (b)(3)

The IRRG is in receipt of a FOIA request from Mr. John Greenewald Jr. He is requesting *"a copy of records, electronic or otherwise, pertaining to: UVB-76, also known as The Buzzer...."* His request is attached for reference.

(U) Request your office conduct a search for any records responsive to Mr. Greenewald's request. Should records be located, please continue with review and treatment, and provide the IRRG with both clean and treated documents. Please advise if you believe any other NRO offices may have records that have not been tasked in this case.

From: [redacted] (b)(3)
Sent: Sunday, January 18, 2015 8:35 AM

[redacted]

Subject: TIER Action: 2015-00178 (New), (U) FOIA Case F15-0034 - Request for Search (Greenewald)

~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

TIER

NATIONAL RECONNAISSANCE OFFICE

A new action has been created by that requires your attention.

Assigned To:

(b)(3)

Please select the following link to view this action 2015-00178, (U) FOIA Case F15-0034 - Request for Search (Greenwald)

~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

=====
Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

[redacted]

From: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, January 20, 2015 10:05 AM
To: [redacted] CIO-IMSO-IRRG-FOIA
Subject: FW: TIER Action: 2015-00178 (Reply), 2015-00178 - (U) FOIA Case F15-0034 - Request for Search (Greenewald) --- UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO

(b)(3)

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~
=====

Patty,

(U) Please see the latest from OPA, in their preliminary response to the referenced TIER action:

01/20/2015 08:30 -- Please place [redacted] on this FOIA request. Also, please note if the request is being made by media or journalists, like Mr. Greenewald states in his letter -- would help flag these types of requests. -- Submitted by: [redacted]

(b)(3)

I vote "no." It's generally pretty clear in the request letter (which is included in the TIER actions) when we're dealing with a legitimate "media" requester. When the fee category is a material factor in case processing (limiting search time due to fees, for example), we make that point in the TIER description; otherwise, from a pure review and release standpoint, the fee category is largely beside the point. I don't believe we need to specifically point out information that is already being provided. I'm assuming, of course, that the reading skills are better than the typing skills. :-O

Note that this came from [redacted] not from [redacted] ... don't even know if a response to this request is required.

(b)(3)

[redacted]

From: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, January 20, 2015 9:52 AM
To: [redacted]
Subject: FW: TIER Action: 2015-00178 (Reply), 2015-00178 - (U) FOIA Case F15-0034 - Request for Search (Greenewald) --- UNCLASSIFIED

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
=====

[redacted]

Please see BPO's comments in the TIER action below.

V/r,

(b)(3)

From: [redacted]

Sent: Tuesday, January 20, 2015 8:30 AM

[redacted] (b)(3)

Subject: TIER Action: 2015-00178 (Reply), 2015-00178 - (U) FOIA Case F15-0034 - Request for Search (Greenewald)

UNCLASSIFIED

TIER | NATIONAL RECONNAISSANCE OFFICE

You have received a reply from [redacted]

Assigned By [redacted] (b)(3)

Please select the following link to view this response [2015-00178 - \(U\) FOIA Case F15-0034 - Request for Search \(Greenewald\)](#)

UNCLASSIFIED

=====

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

=====

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

[Redacted]
From: [Redacted]
Sent: Thursday, January 22, 2015 1:35 PM
To: CIO-IMSO-IRRG-FOIA
Subject: RE: TIER Action: 2015-00139 (New), (U) FOIA Case F13-0112 - Request for FINAL REVIEW of FY2013 CBJB (Greenewald) --- ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

(b)(3)

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~
=====

And there was much rejoicing.....

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Thursday, January 22, 2015 11:54 AM

(b)(3)

[Redacted]
Subject: TIER Action: 2015-00139 (New), (U) FOIA Case F13-0112 - Request for FINAL REVIEW of FY2013 CBJB (Greenewald)

~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

TIER | NATIONAL RECONNAISSANCE OFFICE

A new action has been created by that requires your attention.

Please select the following link to view this action [2015-00139, \(U\) FOIA Case F13-0112 - Request for FINAL REVIEW of FY2013 CBJB \(Greenewald\)](#)

~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~
=====

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

[redacted]
[redacted] (b)(3)
From: [redacted]
Sent: Friday, January 30, 2015 11:11 AM
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: Due Monday -- Please Redirect Action to [redacted] - 2015-00178 COI (b)(3)
Case F-15-0034 REquest for Search (Greenewald) --- UNCLASSIFIED (b)(5)
Importance: High
Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
=====

[redacted] (b)(3)
Back on 1/20 I indicated in response to place [redacted] on this FOIA request. This action is due (b)(3)
on Monday and (b)(5)
I do not see [redacted] tasked yet....

VR,
[redacted] (b)(3)

=====
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

[redacted]

From: [redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, February 04, 2015 9:32 AM
To: [redacted]
Subject: FW: Status on NRO F12-0139 --- UNCLASSIFIED
Attachments: [redacted]

(b)(3)

(b)(3)

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

FYI

[redacted]
From: [redacted]
Sent: Monday, December 22, 2014 2:25 PM
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: RE: Status on NRO F12-0139 --- UNCLASSIFIED (U)

(b)(3)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

[redacted]
Attached is the final response to your FOIA consultation.

Sincerely,

[redacted]
From: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, December 02, 2014 12:13 PM
To: [redacted]
Subject: FW: Status on NRO F12-0139 --- UNCLASSIFIED (U)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

(b)(3)

[redacted] - FY Audit Trail. VR [redacted]


From: [redacted]
Sent: Thursday, November 20, 2014 1:52 PM
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: Status on NRO F12-0139 --- UNCLASSIFIED

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Please provide a status on the following:

NRO case number: F12-0139

John Greenewald

 sent via NMIS on 4-2-13

(b)(3)

Thank you.

(b)(3)

=====
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

=====
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

[redacted]
From: [redacted] (b)(3)
Sent: Thursday, February 05, 2015 12:28 PM
To: [redacted]
Cc: CIO-IMSO-IRRG-FOIA
Subject: FW: Next Steps for FOIA Cases F15-0030 and F15-0006 --- UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO
Attachments: [redacted] (b)(3)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO
=====

We'll talk about this at today's meeting.....

From: [redacted]
Sent: Thursday, February 05, 2015 12:23 PM

[redacted] (b)(3)
Subject: Next Steps for FOIA Cases F15-0030 and F15-0006 --- UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO
=====

[redacted] et al,

COMM/IMSO/IRRG has requested [redacted] provide clean copies of all potentially responsive documents for FOIA (b)(3)
Cases F15-0030 and F15-0006. To maintain [redacted] record integrity over the record collections, I request that the (b)(3)
FOIA Case Officers ([redacted]) apply for and be given approval for [redacted] and (b)(3)
[redacted] for document retrieval, review, and assessment of the respective cases. Once
[redacted] have been created and agreements reviewed and signed, please have
the team ensure both [redacted] have access to the collections.

For reference:

Tier Action 2015-00053 (FOIA Case F15-0030-Request for Search and Review (Cardaci))

[redacted] (b)(3)
[redacted] (b)(5)

Tier Action 2015-00004 (FOIA Case F15-0006-Request for Search and Review (Greenewald))

[redacted] (b)(3)
[redacted] (b)(5)

[Redacted] (b)(3)
(b)(5)

[Redacted]

(b)(3)

[Redacted] (b)(3)

[Redacted] (b)(3)

records and mail the original to:

Please make a copy for your

[Redacted]

At any time for specific questions and help with [Redacted] the System Administrators (b)(3)
can be reached through the following:

- [Redacted]
- [Redacted]
- [Redacted]

(b)(3)

[Redacted]

=====
Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

=====
Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

[Redacted]

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, March 04, 2015 12:39 PM
To: [Redacted]
Cc: CIO-IMSO-IRRG-FOIA
Subject: FOIA Case F13-0112 (FY2013 CBJ) - Request for ODNI Consultation -- ~~TOP~~
~~SECRET//SI//TK//NOFORN~~
Attachments: F13-0112_Request for Consult.pdf; Att 1_Greenewald Request.pdf; Att 2a_CBJ_part1_Clean.pdf; Att 2b_CBJ_part 2_Clean.pdf
Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

(b)(3)

Classification: ~~TOP SECRET//SI//TK//NOFORN~~

Upon removal of attachment(s), this document is ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

Classified By: [Redacted]
Derived From: INCG dated 20120213
Declassify On: 20401231
=====

(U) Good Afternoon [Redacted]

(b)(3)

(U) The NRO Information Review and Release Group (IRRG) has completed a review of our FY2013 Congressional Budget Justification (CBJ). It has been our policy to forward it to the ODNI for a final review and approval prior to submission to the requester. We will also forward to our DOD contact as a significant FOIA notification.

(U) Due to size limitations via e-mail, I may need to send this document to you in parts. A breakdown of the attachments can be found in the request letter attached. We ask that you please acknowledge receipt of all the attachments referenced.

(U) In this e-mail I will send you our 1) Request for Consultation; 2) Att 1_FOIA request from Mr. Greenewald; 3) Att 2a_Part 1 - Clean copy of the CBJ & Part 2b_Clean copy of the CBJ. More to follow...

(b)(3)

[Redacted]

=====
Classification: ~~TOP SECRET//SI//TK//NOFORN~~

[redacted]

From: [redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, March 11, 2015 4:39 PM
To: [redacted]
Cc: CIO-IMSO-IRRG-FOIA
Subject: You can almost hear the knees jerking!!! --- ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~
Attachments: F15-0052_responsive-1a.pdf

(b)(3)

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~
=====

The following response

(b)(5)

(b)(3)
(b)(5)

(U) I'm going to have to put together a "nice" reply explaining that we are not doing a DIF on this document, nor do we get to pick and choose which responsive documents we decide to work with.

(U) Its OK to have the blind leading..... as long as we're not required to follow them.

(b)(3)

=====
Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]

Sent:

Wednesday, March 25, 2015 11:31 AM

To:

Cc: [REDACTED]

Subject:

FW: TIER Actions 2015-00004 [(U) FOIA Case F15-0006 - Request for Search and Review (Greenewald)] and 2015-00053 [(U) FOIA Case F15-0030 - Request for Search (Cardaci)]
~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

(b)(3)

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~
=====

Hi [REDACTED]

~~(U//FOUO)~~ Sorry for the delays on this end w/regard to these TIER actions... my fault, not [REDACTED] It will be most helpful if we could have the responsive documents in both cases moved to accessible shared folders. I've created a dedicated folder for each of these actions at [REDACTED]

(b)(3)

(U) Once the documents are in a location that is accessible to the entire FOIA analyst team and managers, [REDACTED] can accept the responses and close the actions.

Thanks.

[REDACTED]

(b)(3)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Wednesday, March 25, 2015 10:23 AM

To: [REDACTED]

Subject: TIER Actions 2015-00004 [(U) FOIA Case F15-0006 - Request for Search and Review (Greenewald)] and 2015-00053 [(U) FOIA Case F15-0030 - Request for Search (Cardaci)] --- UNCLASSIFIED

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

=====

[redacted]

I was wondering if you could "Accept" [redacted] response to these two actions so they won't show up on the [redacted] Outstanding Actions List each week.
Thanks for your help with this!

(b)(3)

[redacted]

(b)(3)

Life doesn't have to be perfect to be wonderful

=====

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

=====

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

=====

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

[REDACTED]
From:

(b)(3)

Sent:

Friday, April 03, 2015 8:45 AM

(b)(3) 50 USC ± 3605

To:

Cc:

Subject:

RE: (U) FW: Status Update Request: NRO Request for NSA Consultation - NRO FOIA Case F09-0095 (Documents 12, 34, 35) --- ~~SECRET//TK~~

Classification: ~~SECRET//TK//REL TO USA, FVEY~~

[REDACTED]
(U) Thanks. I've forwarded the case to our scanners for input into our system.

[REDACTED]
(b)(3)

(b)(3) 50 USC ± 3605

From:

Sent: Thursday, April 02, 2015 3:12 PM

To:

Cc:

CIO-IMSO-IRRG-FOIA

Subject: RE: (U) Fw: Status Update Request: NRO Request for NSA Consultation - NRO FOIA Case F09-0095 (Documents 12, 34, 35) --- ~~SECRET//TK~~

Classification: ~~SECRET//TK~~

Classified By: [REDACTED]

Derived From: INCG dated 20120213

Declassify On: 20401231
=====

[REDACTED]
(b)(3)

(b)(3) 50 USC ± 3605

Thank you for the prompt response. Please see the below message from [REDACTED] indicating receipt of doc #35 on 7 December 2011 (the consult memo is dated 5 December 2011). In case these have been misplaced, I've attached both the consult request, Greenwald's request, a clean copy of the responsive document, and a copy of the responsive document treated for NRO equities. Please verify receipt.

Thanks,
[REDACTED]

From:

Sent: Thursday, April 02, 2015 12:50 PM

To:

Subject: FW: (U) RE: Request for NSA Consultation - NRO FOIA Case #F09-0095, Document #35 ---
~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

(b)(3)

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

Here's a copy of the NSA acknowledgement of receipt, re: F09-0095 Doc #35.

From: [redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, December 07, 2011 11:25 AM

(b)(3)
(b)(3) 50 USC ± 3605

To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: (U) RE: Request for NSA Consultation - NRO FOIA Case #F09-0095, Document #35 --- ~~SECRET//TALENT KEYHOLE//25X1 20611207 RRG dated July 2005~~

Classification: ~~SECRET//TALENT KEYHOLE~~

(U) We have your request and will add it to our queue.

V/R,

(b)(3)
(b)(3) 50 USC ± 3605

***** (U)

From: [redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, December 07, 2011 11:17 AM

To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]

Subject: FW: Request for NSA Consultation - NRO FOIA Case #F09-0095, Document #35 --- ~~SECRET//TALENT KEYHOLE//25X1 20611207 RRG dated July 2005~~

classification: ~~SECRET//TALENT KEYHOLE//25X1 20611207 RRG dated July 2005~~

Good morning!


~~(U//FOUO)~~ The NRO is processing a FOIA request from John Greenwald for certain memorandums of understanding between/among the NRO and other agencies. In the course of processing Mr. Greenwald's request, we located a responsive document that requires NSA review. The NRO is also requesting reviews by [redacted]

(U) The NRO requests that NSA review the subject document for NSA equities, and provide your review findings to the NRO for our response to the requester once all external consultations have been completed. A scanned copy of a signed memorandum from Stephen Glenn, Chief, IART, requesting this review, is included herewith as the first attachment. (b)(3)

(U) A copy of Mr. Greenewald's request is included as the second attachment. Finally, copies of the subject document (clean and treated for NRO equities) are attached.

(U) If you have any questions about this request, please feel free to contact me directly and reference our case number F09-0095, Document #35.

Thank you.



DECL ON: 25X1 20611207 RRG dated July 2005

Derived From: NCG 6.0, 21 May 2005

~~SECRET//TALENT KEYHOLE//25X1 20611207 RRG dated July 2005~~

~~Classification: SECRET//TALENT KEYHOLE~~

From:

Sent: Thursday, April 02, 2015 11:42 AM

To:

Cc:

Subject: RE: (U) FW: Status Update Request: NRO Request for NSA Consultation - NRO FOIA Case F09-0095 (Documents 12, 34, 35) --~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

(b)(3)

(b)(3) 50 USC § 3605

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~



~~(U//FOUO)~~ The status for your cases initiated by John Greenewald NRO Case #F09-0095 are the following:


F09-0095



(b)(3)

(b)(5)

v/r



From: [Redacted]
Sent: Thursday, April 02, 2015 10:34 AM
To: [Redacted]
Cc: [Redacted]
Subject: Status Update Request: NRO Request for NSA Consultation - NRO FOIA Case F09-0095 (Documents 12, 34, 35)
~~--- UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO ---~~

(b)(3)
(b)(3) 50 USC ± 3605

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

Good Morning!


I am the new [Redacted] at NRO for the Information Review and Release Group. As such, I am following up on outstanding coordination review requests. For FOIA case F09-0095, NRO requested NSA consultation for document number 35 sent on 5 December 2011, document number 34 sent on 28 December 2011, and document number 12 sent on 2 January 2014.

(b)(3)

We would appreciate your attention as this is our second oldest FOIA case. Please let us know at your earliest convenience the status of your review of these documents. The review should have been placed in your queue as of the 22 June 2009 request date.

If you have any questions about this request, please contact me via e-mail or phone and reference case #F09-0095 (Documents 12, 34, 35).

Thank you,



(b)(3)

=====
Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

(b)(3)

(b)(3) 50 USC + 3605

=====
Classification: ~~SECRET//TK~~

Classified By:

Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52

Dated: 20070108

Declassify On: 20400401

Classification: ~~SECRET//TK//REL TO USA, FVEY~~

[redacted]

From: [redacted]
Sent: Thursday, April 09, 2015 1:05 PM
To: CIO-IMSO-IRRG-FOIA
Subject: FW: Greenwald FOIA TIER (2015-00004) --- UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO

(b)(3)

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~
 =====

~~(U//FOUO)~~ Wow. I don't know what is going on with [redacted] but I am officially sick of people relying on semantics and coming up with twisted interpretations in order to avoid work. Obviously, attempting to lighten the load for the components just doesn't work. Here are a few points we need to address with them.

(b)(3)

(U) An initial review by knowledgeable component personnel would seem to be a reasonable expectation as a part of the initial search tasking to locate responsive documents when, as in this case, keyword searches turns up random "hits" that seemingly cover any document in which the search subject is even remotely mentioned. Based on the response we have here, and the [redacted] interpretation of the TIER action, I think we should just tell them that we will have to assume that they have not provided nonresponsive documents, and task them to conduct a line-by-line review of EVERYTHING they have provided as "responsive."

(b)(3)

(U) Having this stuff summarily dropped into [redacted] internal folders and expecting us to jump through hoops to get individual access isn't going to work. They need to get responsive information to us. We do not need to figure out how to retrieve it.

(b)(3)

(U) I'm assuming that all of the bizarre stuff coming out of [redacted] is likely the result of internal resource issues(?)

(b)(3)

[redacted]

From: [redacted]
Sent: Thursday, April 09, 2015 12:02 PM
To: [redacted]
Subject: FW: Greenwald FOIA TIER (2015-00004) --- UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO

(b)(3)

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~
 =====

[redacted]
 Would you address [redacted] response?
 Thanks!


(b)(3)

[redacted]

From: [redacted]
Sent: Monday, March 30, 2015 6:13 PM
To: [redacted]
Subject: RE: Greenwald FOIA TIER (2015-00004) --- UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

No, it was expressed that we first needed to find the potentially responsive documents. After IRRG review, I thought then we were to find out if we needed to move further and have a SME review...this was in the TIER directions itself.



From: [Redacted]

Sent: Monday, March 30, 2015 11:51 AM

To: [Redacted]

Subject: Greenwald FOIA TIER (2015-00004) ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

(b)(3)


Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~



has a question about the TIER action above:

(b)(3)

Would you happen to know if the documents have been weeded" by SMEs to determine if they are truly responsive, rather than merely containing reference to X-37B?



Life doesn't have to be perfect to be wonderful

=====
Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

=====
Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

=====
Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

=====
Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

[redacted]
From:
Sent:
To:
Subject:

[redacted]
Monday, April 20, 2015, 10:39 AM

[redacted] was working on --- UNCLASSIFIED

(b)(3)

(b)(3)

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
=====

Hello,

[redacted] was working on TIER action 2015-00053 [(U) FOIA Case F15-0030 - Request for Search (Cardaci)] and 2015-00004 [(U) FOIA Case F15-0006 - Request for Search and Review (Greenewald)] and I have heard that he is leaving his position.

[redacted] has provided IRRG access to the files that respond to these TIER actions so I am asking you to close out these actions.

Thank you for your help with this.

(b)(3)

(b)(3)

Life doesn't have to be perfect to be wonderful.

=====
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

[Redacted]

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2015 4:06 PM
To: [Redacted]
Subject: FOIA Case F15-0052; Tier 2015-00715 --- ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~
Attachments: NRO_SocialMedia_DECISION_BRIEF_8-7-14(BPO Rev).pptx

(b)(3)

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Tracking:

Recipient

Read

Read: 5/13/2015 1:26 PM

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

Hi [Redacted]

(b)(3)

Attached is the Decision Brief, which you attached in response to subj case. We believe this to be the single, responsive document to Mr. Greenwald's request. Please ask an OCPA subj matter expert review this document, so we can move forward with a FINAL REVIEW by OS&CI.

Thanks,

[Redacted]

=====
Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] (b)(3)
Sent: Friday, May 29, 2015 4:00 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE [REDACTED] FOIA Cases - F15-0006, F15-0030, F15-0055, F15-0056 --- ~~SECRET//TK~~ (b)(3)
Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Classification: ~~SECRET//TK~~

Classified By: [REDACTED]
 Derived From: NRC [REDACTED] IPG dated 20090202
 Declassify On: 25X1, 20651231
 =====

[REDACTED] (b)(3)

The following is the current status for the below subject FOIA Requests [REDACTED] has outstanding. As you can see, re-running (b)(3)
 the search criteria for "content-only" instead of "content and metadata" significantly reduced the applicable number of
 responsive records. I have conducted a subject review of the new findings; I have not yet had [REDACTED] security perform it. (b)(3)
 review except for F15-0074 - Request from "David Waltrop" as indicated below.

1. F15-0056 – Request from "Claire Vinocur" *for records of communication between your department... and...Scott Walker, or his office...and any FOIA request... mentioning....Scott Walker.* TIER action 2015-00762-2

[REDACTED]

(b)(3)
 (b)(5)

Updated key word search results based on "Content-only" not "Content and Metadata"

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

(b)(3)

2. F15-0055 - Request from "Claire Vinocur" *for records of communication between your department... and...Marco Rubio, or his office...and any FOIA request... mentioning....Marco Rubio.* TIER action 2015-00762-2

[REDACTED]

(b)(3)
 (b)(5)

Updated key word search results based on "Content-only" not "Content and Metadata"

[REDACTED]

(b)(3)
 (b)(5)

o [Redacted]

(b)(3)

3. F15-0006 - Request from "John Greenewald, Jr" *for mission summaries, mission objectives and all mission reports and any documents that explains (sic) the role of your agency in the mission for the two-year x-37B mission, which recently came to an end when the spacecraft landed at Vandenberg AFB on 10/17/14.* TIER action 2015-0000.

[Redacted]

(b)(3)

(b)(5)

Updated key word search results based on "Content-only" not "Content and Metadata"

[Redacted]

(b)(3)

(b)(5)

4. F15-0030 - Request from "Christopher Cardaci" *for documents containing cost analyses of the first and second stage propulsion system used on the Atlas V and Delta IV launch vehicles, including but not limited to the NRO Cost Analysis Improvement Group independent cost assessment of the RL-10 upper stage engine (report referenced in GAO report 12-822).* TIER action 2015-00053.

[Redacted]

(b)(3)

(b)(5)

Updated key word search results based on "Content-only" not "Content and Metadata"

[Redacted]

(b)(3)

(b)(5)

5. F15-0081 - Request from "Joseph T. Page II" *for releasable digital imagery of NRO Launch Patches for CY 2013 and 2014...as released for CY 2011 & CY2012.* TIER action 2015-01475

- [Redacted] action closed yesterday

(b)(3)

6. F15-0074 - Request from "David Waltrop" *for all documents related to the following three topics: Hexagon Mission 1220 (M1220), which exploded shortly after launch on 18 April 1986, the investigation into the cause(s) of the M1220 explosion and intelligence shortfalls that resulted from the loss of M1220.....* TIER action 2015-01132

[Redacted]

(b)(3)

(b)(5)

Following response provided to [Redacted] on 5/7/15:

(b)(3)

- After re-reviewing and re-assessing TIER Action 2015-01132 (FOIA Case F15-0074 - Request for Search & Review (D. Waltrop)) for information pertaining to the Hexagon Mission 1220 (M1220), [redacted] (b)(3)

[redacted] (b)(5)

by Mr. Waltrop, verses "content and metadata hits" based on M1220, SV-20, and Mission 1220. I have moved these records and video files to the shared drive link you provided. Additionally, I had [redacted] perform a (b)(3) preliminary review - of the items please note that the spacecraft history spreadsheet is SECRET: [redacted] (b)(1)

[redacted] (b)(3)

- In short, from [redacted] (b)(3)

[redacted] (b)(3)
[redacted] (b)(5)

- (S//TK//HX) [redacted] (b)(1)
[redacted] is SECRET [redacted] (b)(3)

7. F15-0073 - Request from "Nicholas Nardini" **for all briefs, emails, records....mentioning or concerning the design, background...and approval of the mission patches for L-6, L-9...etc ...TIER action 2015-01069**

[redacted] (b)(3)
[redacted] (b)(5)

Updated key word search results based on "Content-only" not "Content and Metadata"

[redacted] (b)(3)
[redacted] (b)(5)

[redacted]

[redacted]

(b)(3)

From: [redacted]
Sent: Thursday, May 28, 2015 11:46 AM
To: [redacted]

Subject: RE: OSL FOIA Cases - F15-0006, F15-0030, F15-0055, F15-0056 --- UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

=====

Thank You!

V/r,

[redacted]

(b)(3)

[Redacted]

From: [Redacted]

Sent: Thursday, May 28, 2015 11:29 AM

To: [Redacted]

Cc: [Redacted]

Subject: RE: OSL FOIA Cases - F15-0006, F15-0030, F15-0055, F15-0056 --- ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

=====

[Redacted]

[Redacted] and I spoke with morning – I let her know that I will continue working these requests tomorrow. I am having my team re-run all requests based on “content-only” not “content and metadata-only” to reduce the amount of irrelevant findings. I told [Redacted] I would provide your team a status by the end of the day, Friday.

As for the unclass-side items, I believe they are all formats.

[Redacted]

From: [Redacted]

Sent: Thursday, May 28, 2015 11:07 AM

To: [Redacted]

Cc: [Redacted]

Subject: RE: OSL FOIA Cases - F15-0006, F15-0030, F15-0055, F15-0056 --- ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

=====

(U) Good Morning [Redacted]

(U) What is the status on providing IRRG with the documents for the FOIA cases mentioned above?

(U) Also, what format are the documents on the low side in (word, excel spreadsheets, adobe)?

V/r,

[Redacted]

From: [Redacted]

Sent: Wednesday, May 13, 2015 10:12 AM

To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: RE: [redacted] FOIA Cases - F15-0006, F15-0030, F15-0055, F15-0056 --- ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~
Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~
=====

(b)(3)

[redacted]

(b)(3)

I have been out of the office the past few days – I will work to move records into these folders and ensure IPPC gets [redacted] Please advise how you want the documents on the unclassified network. (b)(3)

[redacted]

From: [redacted]
Sent: Friday, May 08, 2015 4:41 PM
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: OSL FOIA Cases - F15-0006, F15-0030, F15-0055, F15-0056 --- ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~
Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~
=====

(b)(3)

Good Afternoon [redacted]

(U) I've created dedicated folders for each of these (F15-0006, F15-0030, F15-0055, & F15-0056) actions, at:

[redacted]

(U) Please place all documents in their accessible shared folders. In regards to the UMIS documents, we do not have a ShareDrive on the low-side. We can discuss on Monday how to proceed with those items.

V/r,

[redacted]

(b)(3)

=====
Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

=====
Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

=====
Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

=====
Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

=====
Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

=====
Classification: ~~SECRET//TK~~

[redacted]
From:
Sent:
To:
Cc:
Subject:

[redacted]
Tuesday, June 02, 2015 2:17 PM
[redacted]

RE: OSL FOIA Cases - F15-0006, F15-0030, F15-0055, F15-0056 ---~~SECRET//TK~~

Classification: ~~SECRET//TK~~

Classified By: [redacted]
Derived From: NRO [redacted] IPG dated 20090202
Declassify On: 25X1, 20651231
=====

Hi [redacted]

I just wanted to verify that you were able to open the documents that I moved into the folders on your shared drives and to see if you have any questions. If so, please let me know.

Thanks.

[redacted]
From: [redacted]
Sent: Friday, May 29, 2015 4:00 PM
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: RE: [redacted] FOIA Cases - F15-0006, F15-0030, F15-0055, F15-0056 ---~~SECRET//TK~~

Classification: ~~SECRET//TK~~

Classified By: [redacted]
Derived From: NRO [redacted] IPG dated 20090202
Declassify On: 25X1, 20651231
=====

[redacted]
The following is the current status for the below subject FOIA Request [redacted] has outstanding. As you can see, re-run (b)(3) the search criteria for "content-only" instead of "content and metadata" significantly reduced the applicable number of responsive records. I have conducted a subject review of the new findings; I have not yet had [redacted] security perform its (b)(3) review except for F15-0074 - Request from "David Waltrop" as indicated below.

1. F15-0056 - Request from "Claire Vinocur" *for records of communication between your department... and...Scott Walker, or his office...and any FOIA request... mentioning....Scott Walker.* TIER action 2015-00762-2



(b)(3)
(b)(5)

Updated key word search results based on "Content-only" not "Content and Metadata"



(b)(3)
(b)(5)





(b)(3)

2. F15-0055 - Request from "Claire Vinocur" *for records of communication between your department... and...Marco Rubio, or his office...and any FOIA request... mentioning... Marco Rubio.* TIER action 2015-00762-2



(b)(3)
(b)(5)

Updated key word search results based on "Content-only" not "Content and Metadata"

-  (b)(3)
 (b)(5)
-  (b)(3)
 (b)(5)

(b)(3)





3. F15-0006 - Request from "John Greenewald, Jr" *for mission summaries, mission objectives and all mission reports and any documents that explains (sic) the role of your agency in the mission for the two-year x-37B mission, which recently came to an end when the spacecraft landed at Vandenberg AFB on 10/17/14.* TIER action 2015-00004



(b)(3)
(b)(5)


Updated key word search results based on "Content-only" not "Content and Metadata"

(b)(3)
(b)(5)

-  
-  (b)(3)
 (b)(5)

(b)(3)

4. F15-0030 - Request from "Christopher Cardaci" *for documents containing cost analyses of the first and second stage propulsion system used on the Atlas V and Delta IV launch vehicles, including but not limited to the NRO Cost Analysis Improvement Group independent cost assessment of the RL-10 upper stage engine (report referenced in GAO report 12-822).* TIER action 2015-00053



(b)(3)
(b)(5)

- [redacted] (b)(3)
(b)(5)

Updated key word search results based on "Content-only" not "Content and Metadata"

- | | |
|------------|------------------|
| [redacted] | (b)(3)
(b)(5) |
|------------|------------------|

[redacted] (b)(3)

5. F15-0081 – Request from "Joseph T. Page II" *for releasable digital imagery of NRO Launch Patches for CY 2013 and 2014...as released for CY 2011 & CY2012.* TIER action 2015-01475

- [redacted] action closed yesterday (b)(3)
(b)(5)

6. F15-0074 - Request from "David Waltrop" *for all documents related to the following three topics: Hexagon Mission 1220 (M1220), which exploded shortly after launch on 18 April 1986, the investigation into the cause(s) of the M1220 explosion and intelligence shortfalls that resulted from the loss of M1220.....* TIER action 2015-01132

[redacted] (b)(3)
(b)(5)

Following response provided to [redacted] on 5/7/15:

[redacted] (b)(3)
(b)(1)
(b)(3)
(b)(5)

- In short, from [redacted] (b)(3)

[redacted] (b)(1)
(b)(3)
(b)(5)

7. F15-0073 - Request from "Nicholas Nardini" *for all briefs, emails, records....mentioning or concerning the design, backaround...and approval of the mission patches for L-6, L-9...etc ...* TIER action 2015-01069

[redacted] (b)(3)
(b)(5)

Updated key word search results based on "Content-only" not "Content and Metadata"

- [redacted] (b)(3)
- [redacted] (b)(5)

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Thursday, May 28, 2015 11:46 AM
To: [Redacted]
Subject: RE: OSL FOIA Cases - F15-0006, F15-0030, F15-0055, F15-0056 ---UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO---

(b)(3)

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~
=====

Thank You!

V/r,

[Redacted]

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Thursday, May 28, 2015 11:29 AM
To: [Redacted]
Cc: COMM-IMSO-IRRG-FOIA; [Redacted]
Subject: RE: OSL FOIA Cases - F15-0006, F15-0030, F15-0055, F15-0056 ---UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO---

(b)(3)

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~
=====

[Redacted]

[Redacted] and I spoke with morning – I let her know that I will continue working these requests tomorrow. I am having my team re-run all requests based on “content-only” not “content and metadata-only” to reduce the amount of irrelevant findings. I told [Redacted] I would provide your team a status by the end of the day, Friday.

(b)(3)

As for the unclass-side items, I believe they are all formats.

[Redacted]

From: [redacted]
Sent: Thursday, May 28, 2015 11:07 AM
To: [redacted]
Cc: COMM-IMSO-IRRG-FOIA; [redacted]
Subject: RE: OSL FOIA Cases - F15-0006, F15-0030, F15-0055, F15-0056 --- ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

(b)(3)

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~
=====

(U) Good Morning [redacted]

(U) What is the status on providing IRRG with the documents for the FOIA cases mentioned above?

(U) Also, what format are the documents on the low side in (word, excel spreadsheets, adobe)?

V/r,

[redacted]

From: [redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, May 13, 2015 10:12 AM
To: [redacted]
Cc: CIO-IMSO-IRRG-FOIA; [redacted]
Subject: RE: OSL FOIA Cases - F15-0006, F15-0030, F15-0055, F15-0056 --- ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

(b)(3)

(b)(3)

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~
=====

[redacted]

I have been out of the office the past few days – I will work to move records into these folders and ensure IRRG gets [redacted] Please advise how you want the documents on the unclassified network. (b)(3)

n

[redacted]


From: [redacted]
Sent: Friday, May 08, 2015 4:41 PM
To: [redacted]
Cc: CIO-IMSO-IRRG-FOIA; [redacted]
Subject: OSL FOIA Cases - F15-0006, F15-0030, F15-0055, F15-0056 --- ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

(b)(3)

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~
=====

Good Afternoon


(U) I've created dedicated folders for each of these (F15-0006, F15-0030, F15-0055, & F15-0056) actions, at:



(b)(3)

(U) Please place all documents in their accessible shared folders. In regards to the UMIS documents, we do not have a ShareDrive on the low-side. We can discuss on Monday how to proceed with those items.

V/r,



(b)(3)

=====
Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

=====
Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

=====
Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

=====
Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

=====
Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

=====
Classification: ~~SECRET//TK~~

=====
Classification: ~~SECRET//TK~~

[redacted]
From: [redacted]
Sent: Monday, August 03, 2015 9:03 AM
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: RE: TIER Action: 2015-02863 (New), (U) FOIA Case - F15-0006 - Request for Review (Greenewald) --- UNCLASSIFIED

(b)(3)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
=====

[redacted]
Please remove [redacted] from Assigned To: and I'll subtask the action her and the groups per her request.
Thank you.

(b)(3)

Life doesn't have to be perfect to be wonderful

From: [redacted]
Sent: Monday, August 03, 2015 8:58 AM
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: FW: TIER Action: 2015-02863 (New), (U) FOIA Case - F15-0006 - Request for Review (Greenewald) --- UNCLASSIFIED

(b)(3)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
=====

[redacted]
Can you please tell me who is included within [redacted]

Second, please move me to FYI and make the following "Assigned To" - [redacted]
[redacted]

Thank you.

(b)(3)

From: COMM-IMSO-FOIA-[redacted]
Sent: Monday, August 03, 2015 8:52 AM

(b)(3)

~~Subject:~~ TIER ACTION: 2015-02863 (New), (U) FOIA Case - F15-0006 - Request for Review (Greenewald)

~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

TIER | NATIONAL RECONNAISSANCE OFFICE

A new action has been created by that requires your attention.

Please select the following link to view this action 2015-02863, (U) FOIA Case - F15-0006 - Request for Review (Greenewald)

~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

=====
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

=====
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

[REDACTED]

From:

Sent:

Wednesday, September 30, 2015 2:16 PM

To:

Cc:

Subject:

(U) NRO Case F09-0095

Attachments:

Final Response Letter.pdf; ENCL A.pdf; ENCL B.pdf; ENCL C.pdf

(b)(3)

(b)(3) 50 USC ± 3605

Classification: ~~TOP SECRET//TK//REL TO USA, FVEY~~

Greetings!

(U) Attached is the final response to your consult regarding FOIA request from Mr. Greenewald.

Please confirm receipt.

[REDACTED]

(b)(3)

(b)(3) 50 USC ± 3605

Classified By: [REDACTED]

Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52

Dated: 20130930

Declassify On: 20400901

Classification: ~~TOP SECRET//TK//REL TO USA, FVEY~~

[Redacted]

From:

[Redacted]

(b)(3)

Sent:

Tuesday, October 13, 2015 10:40 AM

To:

[Redacted]

Cc:

Subject:

FOIA Consult Response - NRO F13-0112

Attachments:

[Redacted]

(b)(3)

Consult Memo.pdf

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

=====
Ms, Cameresi,

[Redacted] response to NRO F13-0112 is attached. Our case number [Redacted]
any questions.

Please contact me if there are (b)(3)

[Redacted]

(b)(3)

=====
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

[redacted]
From:

Sent:

Thursday, December 03, 2015 9:23 AM

To:

Cc:

Subject:

FOIA Case F13-0112 (FY2013) CBJB - FINAL RELEASE --- ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~
=====

(b)(3)

Hi [redacted]

Below is the link to the "revised" final release of the CBJB for Mr. Greenewald. Rather than print it out (due to printer issues), thought it might be a good idea to review it softcopy and print out any pages that you feel need correction, since this is the second pass. I will bring over the hardcopy document (with your and [redacted] review notes on it) for this re-review.

[redacted]
As mentioned and is noted in case file [redacted] by [redacted] and me. All S//TK detail was totally treated, as well as all tables and charts. Any questions, let me know...

(b)(3)

(b)(3)

(b)(5)

[redacted]
=====
Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] (b)(3)
Sent: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 10:36 AM (b)(3) 50 USC ± 3605
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: (U) RE: RESPONSE REQUIRED: Status Request on 7 of NRO's 10 oldest ---
~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

[REDACTED]

I will email you a status update shortly.

[REDACTED] (b)(3)
(b)(3) 50 USC ± 3605

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 10:31 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: (U) RE: RESPONSE REQUIRED: Status Request on 7 of NRO's 10 oldest --- ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

[REDACTED]

~~(U//FOUO)~~ Sorry, I let your early November query slip through the cracks. My apologies. Our Document Review [REDACTED] will be the main poc for status queries. [REDACTED] is the Team Lead and th [REDACTED] alias gets your email to team. We'll get you an update straight away. v/r - [REDACTED] (b)(3)
(b)(3) 50 USC ± 3605

~~(U//FOUO)~~ [REDACTED] -- Can you put together a status update for NRO please? Thanks very much! [REDACTED]

(b)(3)
(b)(3) 50 USC ± 3605

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] (b)(3)
Sent: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 9:22 AM (b)(3) 50 USC ± 3605
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: RESPONSE REQUIRED: Status Request on 7 of NRO's 10 oldest --- ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

=====

(b)(3)
(b)(3) 50 USC ± 3605

To date, NRO has not received any status updates on the cases listed below.

Please note that requestors have been asking for status updates and as these are dependent only on NSA, we have been unable to provide a response to them.

Please provide a *status update and NSA's estimated completion date* for these cases:

NRO Case Number	Requestor	Original Request Dated	Consult Request Dated
F12-0103	Ravnitzky	27-May-2012	25-Mar-13
F12-0139	Greenewald	13-Sep-2012	1-Apr-13
F13-0014	Richelson	22-Oct-2012	28-Feb-13
F13-0066	Richelson	20-Mar-2013	1-May-13
F13-0070	McElhatton	29-Mar-2013	22-Aug-13
F13-0072	Ravnitzky	28-Mar-2013	13-Sep-13
F13-0084	Richelson	30-Apr-2013	11-Jul-13

A copy of the consult letters are attached for your reference.

Sincerely,

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, November 10, 2015 12:01 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Status Request on 7 of NRO's 10 oldest --- ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

(b)(3)
(b)(3) 50 USC ± 3605

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

A big thank you to your team for the consult responses which enabled us close two of our oldest cases for FY2015!

We are trying to be proactive with this year's 10 oldest as well. Seven of NRO's 10 oldest cases remain in consult with NSA and requestors have been asking for status updates. Would you please provide a *status update and estimated completion date* for these cases:


NRO Case Number	Requestor	Original Request Dated	Consult Request Dated
F12-0103	Ravnitzky	27-May-2012	25-Mar-13
F12-0139	Greenewald	13-Sep-2012	1-Apr-13

F13-0014	Richelson	22-Oct-2012	28-Feb-13
F13-0066	Richelson	20-Mar-2013	1-May-13
F13-0070	McElhatton	29-Mar-2013	22-Aug-13
F13-0072	Ravnitzky	28-Mar-2013	13-Sep-13
F13-0084	Richelson	30-Apr-2013	11-Jul-13

A copy of the consult letters are attached for your reference.

Thank you!

Sincerely,



(b)(3)

=====
Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

=====
Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

(b)(3)

(b)(3) 50 USC ± 3605

From:
Sent:
To:
Cc:
Subject:

Wednesday, December 16, 2015 11:28 AM

RE: (U) RE: RESPONSE REQUIRED: Status Request on 7 of NRO's 10 oldest ---
~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~
=====

Thank you [redacted]. could you please provide an estimated completion date we can pass along to the requestors?

From:

(b)(3)

Sent: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 11:16 AM

(b)(3) 50 USC ± 3605

To:
Cc:

Subject: RE: (U) RE: RESPONSE REQUIRED: Status Request on 7 of NRO's 10 oldest --- ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

Greetings all.

Below is the status of the consults you queried about:

F12-0103 (our case 70374) Ravnitzky - Approval queue awaiting senior review
F12-0139 (our case 70327) Greenewald - Approval queue awaiting senior review
F13-0014 (our case 70013) Richelson - Approval queue awaiting senior review
F13-0066 (our case 70608) Richelson - Backlog
F13-0070 (our case 74487) McElhatton - Approval queue awaiting senior review
F13-0072 (our case 75615) Ravnitzky - Backlog
F13-0084 (our case 73291) Richelson - Backlog

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~
=====

(b)(3) 50 USC ± 3605

A big thank you to your team for the consult responses which enabled us close two of our oldest cases for FY2015!


We are trying to be proactive with this year's 10 oldest as well. Seven of NRO's 10 oldest cases remain in consult with NSA and requestors have been asking for status updates. Would you please provide a *status update and estimated completion date* for these cases:

NRO Case Number	Requestor	Original Request Dated	Consult Request Dated
F12-0103	Ravnitzky	27-May-2012	25-Mar-13
F12-0139	Greenewald	13-Sep-2012	1-Apr-13
F13-0014	Richelson	22-Oct-2012	28-Feb-13
F13-0066	Richelson	20-Mar-2013	1-May-13
F13-0070	McElhatton	29-Mar-2013	22-Aug-13
F13-0072	Ravnitzky	28-Mar-2013	13-Sep-13
F13-0084	Richelson	30-Apr-2013	11-Jul-13

A copy of the consult letters are attached for your reference.

Thank you!

Sincerely,



(b)(3)

=====
Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

=====
Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

=====
Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

From:
Sent:
To:
Cc:
Subject:

Thursday, December 17, 2015 9:25 AM

(b)(3)
(b)(3) 50 USC ± 3605

RE: (U) RE: RESPONSE REQUIRED: Status Request on 7 of NRO's 10 oldest ---
~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

Thank you!

From:

Sent: Thursday, December 17, 2015 9:22 AM

To:

Cc:

Subject: RE: (U) RE: RESPONSE REQUIRED: Status Request on 7 of NRO's 10 oldest --- ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

(U) Estimate completion dates added below. Regards

(b)(3)
(b)(3) 50 USC ± 3605

F12-0103 (our case 70374) Ravnitzky - Approval queue awaiting senior review April 2016
F12-0139 (our case 70327) Greenewald - Approval queue awaiting senior review April 2016
F13-0014 (our case 70013) Richelson - Approval queue awaiting senior review April 2016
F13-0066 (our case 70608) Richelson - Backlog June 2016
F13-0070 (our case 74487) McElhatton - Approval queue awaiting senior review February 2016
F13-0072 (our case 75615) Ravnitzky - Backlog June 2016
F13-0084 (our case 73291) Richelson - Backlog June 2016

From:

Sent: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 11:28 AM

To:

Cc:

Subject: RE: (U) RE: RESPONSE REQUIRED: Status Request on 7 of NRO's 10 oldest --- ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

(b)(3)
(b)(3) 50 USC ± 3605

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

(b)(3) 50 USC + 3605

Thank you could you please provide an estimated completion date we can pass along to the requestors?

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

=====
Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

From:
Sent:
To:
Subject:

Wednesday, December 30, 2015 3:04 PM


RE: (U) Referral from NSA - our case 79665 --- ~~SECRET//TK//NOFORN~~

(b)(3)
(b)(3) 50 USC ± 3605

Classification: ~~SECRET//TK//NOFORN~~

Thank you, [redacted]


Happy new year!



(b)(3)
(b)(3) 50 USC ± 3605

(U) Please forward large files to my dropbox

~~(U//FOUO)~~



~~(U//FOUO)~~

From: [redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, December 30, 2015 2:57 PM
To: [redacted]
Subject: RE: (U) Referral from NSA - our case 79665 --- ~~SECRET//TK//NOFORN~~

Classification: ~~SECRET//TK//NOFORN~~

Classified By: [redacted]
Derived From: INCG dated 20120213
Declassify On: 25X1, 20651231
=====

(b)(3)




(b)(3)
(b)(3) 50 USC ± 3605

(U) We received the email and attachments. We'll get this underway for NRO direct response to Mr. Greenwald.

Thanks!



(b)(3)



From: [Redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, December 30, 2015 2:52 PM
To: COMM-IMSO-IRRG-FOIA
Cc: [Redacted]
Subject: (U) Referral from NSA - our case 79665

(b)(3)
(b)(3) 50 USC ± 3605

Classification: ~~SECRET//TK//NOFORN~~

NRO,

(U) Attached please find an NSA referral of a FOIA request from Mr. John Greenwald for Intellipedia pages on GeoLITE, along with the original request and copies of the responsive document. I would appreciate acknowledgement of your receipt of this email.


(U) If you have any questions about this, please contact me.



(U) Please forward large files to my dropbox

~~(U//FOUO)~~

(b)(3)
(b)(3) 50 USC ± 3605



~~(U//FOUO)~~

Classified By: [Redacted]
Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52
Dated: 20130930
Declassify On: 20401201

Classification: ~~SECRET//TK//NOFORN~~

=====
Classification: ~~SECRET//TK//NOFORN~~

Classified By: [Redacted]
Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52
Dated: 20130930
Declassify On: 20401201

Classification: ~~SECRET//TK//NOFORN~~