

From: [redacted] (OS-SP)
Sent: Tuesday, July 13, 2004 8:12 AM
To: Hall Cargill
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: FW: NRO RRG (Declassification Guide) ~~CONFIDENTIAL//X1~~
classification: ~~CONFIDENTIAL//X1~~
Gargill: See [redacted] comment and proceed accordingly. Any questions please call me.

[redacted]

OS Security Policy

[redacted]

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, July 13, 2004 8:00 AM
To: [redacted] (OS-SP); [redacted]
Subject: RE: NRO RRG (Declassification Guide) ~~CONFIDENTIAL//X1~~

classification: ~~CONFIDENTIAL//X1~~

sorry for the delay...I'd go with your suggested change to "explicit" and I think we're good to go. [redacted]

(b)(3)

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (OS-SP)
Sent: Tuesday, July 13, 2004 7:32 AM
To: [redacted]
Subject: FW: NRO RRG (Declassification Guide) ~~CONFIDENTIAL//X1~~

classification: ~~CONFIDENTIAL//X1~~

[redacted] See Cargills question concerning a decision to change the wording of the RRG re Vulnerabilities.

[redacted]

OS Security Policy

[redacted]

(b)(3)

-----Original Message-----

From: Hall Cargill
Sent: Tuesday, July 13, 2004 6:19 AM
To: [redacted] (OS-SP)
Subject: RE: NRO RRG (Declassification Guide) ~~CONFIDENTIAL//X1~~

classification: ~~CONFIDENTIAL//X1~~

[redacted]

Any further word on wording of vulnerabilities for the RRG?

Cargill Hall

MSO/[redacted]/IART Declassification

[redacted]

(b)(3)

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (OS-SP)
Sent: Thursday, July 08, 2004 3:26 PM
To: [redacted]
Cc: Hall Cargill
Subject: FW: NRO RRG (Declassification Guide) --- ~~CONFIDENTIAL//X1~~

classification: ~~CONFIDENTIAL//X1~~

[redacted] I spoke with Cargill today (8 Jul) and he said he had emailed you and left the wording up to the SecPol and the PSOs. For consideration here is my comment: I agree with Gargill's recommendation. I believe the "specific" might be replaced with "explicit" to coincide with the 5.1 Glass Guide excerpt attached.



OS Security Policy



<< File: Excerpt from NRO Class Guide 5.doc >>

(b)(3)

-----Original Message-----

From: Hall Cargill
Sent: Wednesday, June 30, 2004 11:40 AM
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: NRO RRG (Declassification Guide) --- ~~CONFIDENTIAL//X1~~

classification: ~~CONFIDENTIAL//X1~~



Section 1.7, Vulnerabilities and Countermeasures, in the NRO Review and Redaction Guide currently reads: "Redact: all information." Consequently, redactors in the IART declassification unit apply this literally and, in their review of records, redact any mention that space and ground systems are vulnerable to attack or deception. The fact that space systems are vulnerable to attack and deception, as you know, is acknowledged in public records already released, and I think we would be unable to defend this kind of generic redaction if it is appealed to the Interagency Security Classification Appeals Panel (ISCAP).

With your consent, I would like to reword RRG 1.7 to specify vulnerability particulars, as does the NRO Classification Guide at 18.0. That is:

"Redact: all information that identifies specific vulnerabilities of satellite ground and space systems, deception and denial countermeasures to which space systems are susceptible, and defensive countermeasures taken to minimize damage to space systems."

This, or similar wording, would help us avoid the kind of unthinking redactions that have been applied previously.

Let me know if you concur, and, if so, the preferred wording that should be used.

I appreciate your consideration.

Cargill Hall
MSO [redacted] ART Declassification

[redacted]

(b)(3)
(b)(3)

Warning: This document may not be used as a source of derivative classification.

CL By: [redacted]

CL Reason: 1.4(c)

DECL ON: X1

Derived From: NCG 5.1 01 May 00

~~CONFIDENTIAL//X1~~

Warning: This document may not be used as a source of derivative classification.

CL By: [redacted]

CL Reason: 1.4(c)

DECL ON: X1

Derived From: NCG 5.1 01 May 00

~~CONFIDENTIAL//X1~~

Warning: This document may not be used as a source of derivative classification.

CL By: [redacted]

CL Reason: 1.4(c)

DECL ON: X1

Derived From: NCG 5.1 01 May 00

~~CONFIDENTIAL//X1~~

(b)(3)

Warning: This document may not be used as a source of derivative classification.

CL By: [redacted]

CL Reason: 1.4(c)

DECL ON: X1

Derived From: NCG 5.1 01 May 00

~~CONFIDENTIAL//X1~~

Warning: This document may not be used as a source of derivative classification.

CL By: [redacted]

CL Reason: 1.4(c)

DECL ON: X1

Derived From: NCG 5.1 01 May 00

~~CONFIDENTIAL//X1~~

Warning: This document may not be used as a source of derivative classification.

CL By

CL Reason: 1.4(c)

DECL ON: X1

Derived From: NCG 5.1 01 May 00

~~CONFIDENTIAL//X1~~

(b)(3)