

From: [redacted]
Sent: Thursday, July 29, 2004 11:47 AM
To: Hall Cargill
Subject: RE: SIGINT Declassification Issue--Emitter Location, Non Operational System ---

~~SECRET//BYEMAN//X1~~

classification: ~~SECRET//BYEMAN//X1~~
Cargill,

We (SIGINT Security) have no problem with releasing 50-100 mile accuracies from 45 years ago (b)(1)

[redacted] (b)(3)

[redacted] Exposure of the ancient capability poses no mission risk. Our motto is "Silence is the only refuge".

[redacted]

SIGINT Security
"Silentium est unicus castellum"

-----Original Message-----

From: Hall Cargill
Sent: Thursday, July 29, 2004 8:52 AM
To: [redacted]
Subject: SIGINT Declassification Issue--Emitter Location, Non Operational System --- ~~SECRET//BYEMAN//X1~~

(b)(3)

classification: ~~SECRET//BYEMAN//X1~~

[redacted]

I need to make a determination to release/redact information regarding the old Air Force SAMOS Pioneer Ferret payloads (F-1 and F-2). The estimated accuracy of these payloads in geolocating emitters was set at "50-100 miles" on a 1958 briefing chart under review. The contemporary (1959) Navy GRAB ELINT emitter location accuracies are releasable (see Note at top of page four, attached boundary document for POPPY).

Given the technology of the day, I suspect that these two early ELINT satellites shared roughly equivalent geolocation accuracies and that the Ferret estimate is also releasable, but need confirmation from SIGINT security. Appreciate your assistance; please advise.

Cargill Hall
MSO, [redacted] IART Declassification

(b)(3)

(b)(3)

<< File: Boundary Document

27 Feb 2002.doc >>

Warning: This document may not be used as a source of derivative classification.

CL By:
CL Reason: 1.4(c)
DECL ON: X1
Derived From: NCG 5.1 01 May 00
~~SECRET//BYEMAN//X1~~

Warning: This document may not be used as a source of derivative classification.

CL By:
CL Reason: 1.4(c)
DECL ON: X1
Derived From: NCG 5.1 01 May 00
~~SECRET//BYEMAN//X1~~

(b)(3)