

[Redacted]

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 4:21 PM
To: [Redacted]
Subject: RE: FOIA --- ~~SECRET//TK//REL TO USA, FVEY~~

(b)(3)

Classification: ~~SECRET//TK//REL TO USA, FVEY~~

[Redacted]

(U) I recommend that we redact the [Redacted] as those are either classified or U//FOUO when associated with the NRO. Thanks, [Redacted]

(b)(3)

[Redacted]

CAUTION: THIS EMAIL MAY CONTAIN SENSITIVE PERSONALLY IDENTIFIABLE INFORMATION (PII) OR PERSONAL HEALTH INFORMATION (PHI) PROTECTED BY THE PRIVACY ACT OF 1974 AND HIPPA. DO NOT FORWARD OR OTHERWISE DISSEMINATE TO GOVERNMENT OR NON-GOVERNMENT PERSONNEL WHO DO NOT HAVE AN OFFICIAL NEED FOR INFORMATION. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS E-MAIL IN ERROR, IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY THE SENDER AND THE CIVIL LIBERTIES PROGRAM AT [Redacted] THIS STATEMENT HAS BEEN APPROVED BY THE NRO OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL AND THE PRIVACY AND CIVIL LIBERTIES PROGRAM.

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 1:55 PM
To: [Redacted]
Subject: FOIA --- ~~SECRET//TK//REL TO USA, FVEY~~

(b)(3)

Classification: ~~SECRET//TK//REL TO USA, FVEY~~

[Redacted]

(U) [Redacted] I've attached the file we have been discussing and here are the additional [Redacted] edits to [Redacted] and justification why:

(b)(3)

- (U) Redactions (all highlighted): [Redacted]
- [Redacted]
- [Redacted]

(b)(3)

(b)(1)
(b)(3)

(U) [redacted] I've highlighted some information in the attached PDF, [redacted] wanted to make the sure COMM was OK with releasing this information at an NRO-level (since we're removing references to

[redacted]

(b)(3)

Thank you!

[redacted]

=====
Classification: ~~SECRET//TK//REL TO USA, FVEY~~

=====
Classification: ~~SECRET//TK//REL TO USA, FVEY~~