

~~For Official Use Only~~

Case Information:

Case Number: F-2018-00043
 Creation Date: 01-29-2018
 Close Date: 10-08-2021
 Case Manager:
 Case Type: Freedom of Information Act
 Sub Type:
 Special Handling:
 Case Action: Search
 Case Status: CLOSEDI
 ISCAP: NO
 Appeal with OGA: NO
 Litigation with OGA: NO

(b)(3)

Requester Information:

Requester Name: Russ Kick

Request Information:

Subject: The results of the two most recent audit peer-reviews

Description:

External Agency:
 External Agency ID:
 Receipt Date: 01-29-2018
 Request Date: 01-29-2018
 Status Date: 10-08-2021
 Queue Type: Complex
 Grant Code: Cancelled
 Grant Sub Code: Other

-----Expedite-----

Requested: NO

-----Fee Information-----

Fees: YES Waiver Requested: NO

-----Comments-----

10-08-2021 01:27:29 (b)(3)
 Close case due to the death of requestor.

10-06-2021 03:26:53 (b)(3)
 A cancel memo and obituary were emailed to informing them (b)(3)
 about the passing of the requester and asking them to close out all
 consults and referrals. We received acknowledgement back from both
 Agencies. The responsive documents and all (b)(3)
 correspondence has been added to the case. This case has been Canelled.
 Reassigning to C/IRRG for review and approval to close. No response letter
 needed.

Case Number: F-2018-00043

10-01-2021 09:13:29 [redacted]

(b)(3)

NRO IRRG received notification that the requested had passed away on 12 September 2021. A copy of his obituary will be saved in working papers. We will move forward with closing out any open consults and close the case out.

09-29-2020 03:43:07 [redacted]

Received status update from [redacted] The case is currently in the final stages of review. They could not give an estimated date of completion.

09-28-2020 08:53:50 [redacted]

(b)(3)

Sent another status request to [redacted] A copy of the email will be saved in working papers.

09-28-2020 08:32:56 [redacted]

Requested a status update from [redacted] back in June. They could not provide a estimated date of completion. Per [redacted] the case had been initiated but the review teams had not yet looked at the document.

06-25-2021 03:42:56 [redacted]

Last update from [redacted] was on 4/28/21, they hope to complete their review in this case before the end of the fiscal year.

06-06-2018 01:41:26 [redacted]

Re-sent Consult and referral package to [redacted] as they did not receive it.

(b)(3)

06-03-2019 01:30:11 [redacted]

Reassigning case to [redacted] Case still on hold pending [redacted] consult response.

04-26-2021 09:18:56 [redacted]

Sent status request to [redacted]

04-24-2018 09:53:29 [redacted]

Referral letters reviewed and signed.

04-24-2018 01:51:07 [redacted]

[redacted] referrals, and consult request to [redacted] sent today. Placing this request on hold pending consult response from [redacted]

(b)(3)

04-24-2018 01:35:43 [redacted]

Sent referral and consult package to [redacted]

04-23-2018 07:33:30 [redacted]

[redacted] reviewed consult and referral memos; reassigned to [redacted] for signature.

(b)(3)

04-23-2018 07:22:44 [redacted]

I don't think we need to notify the requester of the referrals until we provide our final response to him after the consult.

04-18-2018 08:23:42 [redacted]

The NRO document [redacted] must be sent as a consult to [redacted] The remaining docs must be sent as referrals to [redacted] The consult doc can be sent in the package as the referrals to [redacted] since it's all part of the same case. The [redacted] referral includes an NRO letter as part of the document (send it all as a referral).

(b)(3)

Case Number: F-2018-00043

04-18-2018 02:27:27 [redacted]

[redacted] Please review the referral memo to [redacted] and the referral/consult memo to [redacted]. Do we need to send a notification of referral letter to the requester ?

04-12-2018 12:54:19 [redacted]

My opinion is that all documents be referred, as noted on the in-work versions. Reassigned to [redacted] for review, and concurrence/questions.

(b)(3)

04-10-2018 04:13:51 [redacted]

[redacted] please confirmed you are satisfied with our proposed determinations regarding NRO equities. Your prior suggestion was to take the [redacted] NRO document and the [redacted] documents be referred to [redacted] for their final release determinations, and that the [redacted] originated documents be referred to [redacted]. Do you still concur?

(b)(3)

04-10-2018 04:11:22 [redacted]

OIG had no additional redactions. Closed TIER. Attached both response and closed TIER to case.

03-30-2018 02:47:57 [redacted]

Sent TIER action to OIG for Final Review Request (due April 13). Attached TIER action to case for record.

(b)(3)

03-28-2018 02:45:42 [redacted]

[redacted] has reviewed documents, added a few redactions (with explanatory notations). Suggest that the entire package be sent back to OIG for their review of our proposed treatments. I don't think it makes much sense to separate the [redacted] NRO originated document from the [redacted] document to which it is attached by context and content. Once we have the OIG review resolved and we are satisfied with our proposed determinations regarding NRO equities, my suggestion is that the [redacted] NRO document and the [redacted] documents be referred to [redacted] for their final release determinations, and that the [redacted] originated documents be referred to [redacted].

(b)(3)

(b)(3)

(b)(3)

03-05-2018 04:07:05 [redacted]

[redacted] per our discussion, please review responsive documents to determine who from NRO should review our equities [redacted] documents are not NRO owned.

(b)(3)

03-01-2018 01:23:34 [redacted]

OIG responded to TIER action and provided responsive documents regarding the results of the last 2 recent audit peer-reviews. Accepted OIG's response and closed TIER. Attached both to CADRE for record. Attached [redacted] responsive documents and [redacted] working documents to case.

(b)(3)

02-15-2018 10:03:11 [redacted]

IG stated that since NRO did not create they document that they cannot provide it. [redacted] explained via a phone conversation that we must produce the responsive records regardless of where they were created. [redacted] responded to IG with this conversation and that TIER will remain open until a search has been conducted and a clean copy has been provided. Attached TIER response

(b)(3)

02-02-2018 02:07:24 [redacted]

Created TIER -Action 2018-00479 Initial Search for OIG - Due Feb 9.

Case Number: F-2018-00043

Attached for record.

02-02-2018 01:41:27

[redacted]

Mailed acceptance letter (for F-2018-00042/00043) on 2/2. Attached signed [redacted] in case F-2018-00042

02-01-2018 12:09:40

[redacted]

Attached signed letter to case. As of TODAY-[redacted] has not mailed letter

(b)(3)

01-30-2018 04:30:13

[redacted]

[redacted] opened case on 1/29. Attached initial request.

01-30-2018 02:56:58

[redacted]

Acceptance letter is under review in F-2018-00042. When that letter is signed, [redacted] please add a copy to this case. Both 000042 and 000043 can be TIERed to OIG for search.