

Unclassified

~~TOP SECRET~~

PROFS

HANDLE VIA
BYEMAN
CONTROL SYSTEM
**TALENT-
KEYHOLE**

SS-7 *

~~IS~~ NATIONAL RECONNAISSANCE OFFICE
WASHINGTON, D.C.

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR

September 4, 1969



23

Dr. McLucas,

The attached report of the HEXAGON Review Committee was used as the basis for the briefing given to the NRP Executive Committee on June 20, 1969. The report has been prepared for file to be available for later review.

F. Robert Naka

F. Robert Naka

Attachment
Report of the
HEXAGON Review Committee

~~HEXAGON CORONA GAMBIT~~HANDLE VIA
BYEMAN
CONTROL SYSTEM

TALENT-KEYHOLE

~~TOP SECRET~~

EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC REGRADING

Approved for Release: 2013/11/08 C05109301

CONTROL NO. **BYE-13146-69**COPY 3 OF _____ COPIESPAGE 1A OF 11 PAGES

909 04 009

Unclassified

~~TOP SECRET~~HANDLE VIA
BYEMAN
CONTROL SYSTEMTALENT-
KEYHOLE

REPORT OF THE HEXAGON REVIEW COMMITTEE

June 20, 1969

GENERAL

This survey of the HEXAGON Project responds to a DNRO request of June 4, 1969 to report to the NRP Executive Committee on June 20. The short but intensive review had the objectives of

Assessing the probability that an initial HEXAGON launch date of December 1970 can be met

Determining the confidence of mission success for initial HEXAGON launches

Recommending to the ExCom a plan to optimize existing collection capabilities while minimizing cost.

The Committee consisted of Dr. F. Robert Naka, DDNRO designee, Chairman; [REDACTED], CIA/OSP--the HEXAGON Sensor Subsystem Project Office (SSSPO); and Col. Lewis S. Norman, Jr., Vice Director of SAFSP.

It was clear that in the time available the Committee should not attempt an exhaustive technical review of either the HEXAGON Project or of other projects which could provide a capability for a time-phased collection overlap. The Committee concerned itself with comprehensive evaluation of those aspects of the HEXAGON and other projects which were directly relevant to the review objectives.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Upon completion of its study, the HEXAGON Review Committee reached the following conclusions:

~~HEXAGON CORONA GAMBIT~~HANDLE VIA
BYEMAN TALENT-KEYHOLE
CONTROL SYSTEM~~TOP SECRET~~EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC DECLASSIFICATION
AND DOWNGRADING SCHEDULES
Approved for Release: 2013/11/08 C05109301CONTROL NO. **BYE-13146-69**
COPY _____ OF _____ COPIES
PAGE 1 OF 11 PAGES

Unclassified

~~TOP SECRET~~HANDLE VIA
BYEMAN
CONTROL SYSTEMTALENT-
KEYHOLE

The probability that the date of the initial HEXAGON launch will not be delayed in excess of one month is 50 percent, that the delay will not exceed three months is 75 percent, and that the delay will not exceed six months is 95 percent.

The confidence of mission success for individual initial HEXAGON launches is 75 percent.

In view of these circumstances, adequate collection overlap could be provided if CORONA launches were rescheduled from the currently planned

6 in FY 1970
6 in FY 1971

to

5 in FY 1970
5 in FY 1971
2 in FY 1972.

No new CORONAs need be bought at this time.

Supported by these conclusions, the Committee recommends that:

The HEXAGON Project be funded to the minimum level necessary to meet the December 1970 initial launch date.

The CORONA launch schedule be revised to provide for

5 launches in FY 1970
5 launches in FY 1971
2 launches in FY 1972.

The need for a buy of additional CORONA vehicles be reviewed in December 1969.

HANDLE VIA
BYEMAN TALENT-KEYHOLE
CONTROL SYSTEM~~TOP SECRET~~

EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC REGRADING

Approved for Release: 2018/11/08 C05109301

CONTROL NO. **BYE-13146-69**
COPY _____ OF _____ COPIES
PAGE **2** OF **11** PAGES

Unclassified

~~TOP SECRET~~

HANDLE VIA
BYEMAN
 CONTROL SYSTEM
 TALENT-
 KEYHOLE

SATISFACTION OF COLLECTION REQUIREMENTS

The Committee first directed its attention to the United States Intelligence Board (USIB) collection requirements, specifically those for HEXAGON. In discussions with the NRO/SOC, it was noted that complete satisfaction of current USIB search requirements is not achieved by CORONA alone. Increased satisfaction could be provided by using a combination of CORONAs and GAMBITs; HEXAGON will provide near 100 percent satisfaction. It was not obvious, however, that the user community considered CORONA collection inadequate.

Most of the remaining CORONA vehicles in the inventory would have a mission lifetime approaching 20 days each compared with 15 now generally flown, therefore the mission lifetime of five of the remaining CORONAs is comparable to six or eight of the older CORONAs (approximately 100 mission days per fiscal year). The Committee was convinced that their recommended change in the CORONA launch schedule (see page 2) would maintain the current level of search collection satisfaction and would extend the period of overlap with HEXAGON. The current HEXAGON and recommended revised CORONA schedules would then appear thus.

	CY 1970						CY 1971													
	J	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	A	S	O	N	D	J	F
Current HEXAGON						△			△			△			△					
Revised CORONA		△	△				△		△			△		△			△			

The recommended overlap satisfies several contingent situations involving schedule slips and/or unsuccessful flights. As long as the first successful HEXAGON flight occurs by September 1971 (a 9-month delay from December 1970), the overlap with CORONA will maintain the current level of search collection satisfaction. Extending the CORONA schedule by six months into FY 1972 will require \$14 million of FY 1972 funding. However, these funds would not be required should successful HEXAGON flights occur before all CORONAs have been launched.

BYEMAN TALENT-KEYHOLE
 CONTROL SYSTEM

~~TOP SECRET~~

EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC DECLASSIFICATION

~~TOP SECRET~~HANDLE VIA
BYEMAN
CONTROL SYSTEM
TALENT-
KEYHOLE

The Committee also considered other steps to insure continuance of both search and surveillance collection:

A contingent buy of new CORONAs

Refurbishing and flying the remaining GAMBIT (KH-7) payloads

Buying one or two additional of the current GAMBIT (KH-8) series, flying them at high altitudes against the HEXAGON surveillance targets (with worst resolution equivalent to the best achievable with HEXAGON), and concentrating the remaining CORONAs against the most difficult to satisfy requirement--that of semiannual in-bloc search.

The latter alternative virtually fully satisfies collection requirements. It would cost an estimated \$27 million in FY 1971 for additional vehicles. The seven vehicles in the current program for FY 1970 are in part to provide a backup capability for the GAMBIT Vehicle 23 configuration. If Vehicle 23 is a success, one or more of the FY 1970 GAMBIT vehicles can be flown at high altitude. (No change in the GAMBIT camera is required if photos are taken at altitudes of 110 nautical miles or below. Flights above 110 nm would require a modest one-time change to the camera to extend the operating range of the slant range compensator and of the film drive speed. This cost is estimated at \$3.3 million.) However, as GAMBIT was designed as a surveillance and technical intelligence collector, its camera is not suited to search as are the CORONA and HEXAGON pan cameras. To satisfy both search and surveillance requirements would require continuing CORONA. This option best satisfies a situation where HEXAGON is not used.

The second option, flying the older GAMBIT series payloads, would involve major costs and require at least 19 months' lead time. The Satellite Control Network would have to be reoutfitted to track and command such a vehicle. New Agenas and much out-of-production hardware would be needed. This option was considered clearly uneconomical and would not satisfy search requirements.

The first option is closely allied with the Committee's recommendation to revise the CORONA schedule to insure overlap.

HANDLE VIA
BYEMAN
CONTROL SYSTEM
TALENT-KEYHOLE~~TOP SECRET~~

EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC DEGRADING

CONTROL NO. BYE-13146-69
COPY 4 OF 11 COPIES
PAGE 4 OF 11 PAGES

Unclassified

~~TOP SECRET~~

HANDLE VIA

BYEMAN
CONTROL SYSTEMTALENT-
KEYHOLE

If the revised schedule is adopted, reorder lead time for new CORONAs does not occur until December 1969. In the intervening time HEXAGON will have progressed through several major system level assemblies and tests, and we shall be in a much better position to determine whether a slip in HEXAGON will occur. Having had more testing time on the HEXAGON cameras, a better assessment of reliability could be made. The Committee urges that a decision to procure additional CORONAs be deferred until December 1969. Should a reorder be necessary, three units are estimated to cost a total of \$43 million; six units, \$84 million. \$20 million of FY 1970 funds would probably suffice to cover initial costs of either a three- or six-unit buy.

PROBABILITY OF MEETING A DECEMBER 1970 LAUNCH DATE

Sensor Subsystem (Perkin-Elmer)

The most critical, and indeed the pacing, HEXAGON component is the sensor subsystem. This Committee received detailed briefings at Perkin-Elmer, the sensor subsystem contractor, on progress in design, fabrication, and test. Of particular concern was the film transport system, the servo system, the thermal analysis of both the optical bars and the two-camera assembly, and the structural analysis. Perkin-Elmer has expended considerable effort in these critical areas. In particular, a thorough servo analysis, including the effects of structural and thermally induced disturbances, lends credence to Perkin-Elmer's conviction that successful operation of the sensor is highly probable. Thermal analyses were also exhaustive, resulting in a very good understanding of optical system performance. Work on the film transport system led to considerable refinement and simplification with consequent improved performance. The Committee also noted that in the six months since Dr. A. F. Donovan of Aerospace Corporation had conducted a systems engineering level audit of HEXAGON (at General Martin's request) informal assessment of HEXAGON sensor subsystem progress has been described as "remarkable." In general, the Committee was satisfied that the technical status of the sensor was indeed good.

The Committee also noted that, after considerable effort on the part of the SSSPO, Perkin-Elmer management had streamlined their internal functions to provide tighter and more effective technical management and cost control. The possible exception is in cost management of subcontractors.

HANDLE VIA
BYEMAN
CONTROL SYSTEM

TALENT-KEYHOLE

~~TOP SECRET~~

EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC REGRADING

CONTROL NO BYE-13146-69
COPY _____ OF _____ COPIES
PAGE 5 OF 11 PAGES

Unclassified

~~TOP SECRET~~HANDLE VIA
BYEMAN
CONTROL SYSTEM
**TALENT-
KEYHOLE**

The Committee found no major known problems with the sensor subsystem. Reliability causes continued concern, largely because of the number of electro-mechanical components of which the sensor is composed--many of which cannot be made redundant. Since near perfection through exhaustive testing is the only real approach to high reliability, the Committee believes continued priority emphasis here, as well as with electronic components, is essential.

Important, but of lesser concern, is the question of film properties. Film base thickness variations and film relative humidity pose difficult but, in the Committee's view, solvable problems.

Satellite Basic Assembly (LMSC)

The next most critical HEXAGON system element, the satellite basic assembly (SBA), was then examined. The SBA contractor, Lockheed Missiles and Space Company (LMSC), has had much experience in spacecraft design, manufacture, and test; and few unknown problems are expected. In the case of the SBA, problems are largely engineering in nature and several solutions are available.

The Committee did not consider reliability a major problem, for the SBA is composed of many components that can be made functionally or otherwise redundant. Certain components, such as the orbit adjust engine and the propellant tankage, are not redundant; but they represent current state-of-the-art and can be made demonstrably quite reliable.

Not so obvious are the incipient problems of operating the spacecraft as an entity. Past experience points to the probability that system problems will arise. Time is necessary for their resolution. LMSC, as satellite integrating contractor, is aware of this situation. LMSC and Perkin-Elmer must exhibit close cooperation if major system problems are to be avoided. System level engineering analysis and audit are lagging; should this continue, some system problems may be discovered in terms of damaged hardware from sneak circuits and unsuspected transients rather than from errors on engineering drawings. These circumstances are the greatest cause of uncertainty about meeting the established December 1970 initial launch date.

After considering all factors, the Committee is confident that with adequate funding HEXAGON can meet the initial

HANDLE VIA
BYEMAN TALENT-KEYHOLE
CONTROL SYSTEM~~TOP SECRET~~
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC REGRADINGCONTROL NO BYE-13146-69
COPY _____ OF _____ COPIES
PAGE 6 OF 11 PAGES

Unclassified

~~TOP SECRET~~HANDLE VIA
BYEMAN
CONTROL SYSTEM
TALENT-
KEYHOLE

December 1970 launch date. As stated in the conclusions on page 2, the Committee agreed with the SSSPO evaluation of possible schedule delays. A lesser funding level would immediately produce a launch slip but no greater confidence in a firm initial launch date (for, though emerging problems might be solved during a schedule slip, there is no guarantee that the problems would reveal themselves in a timely fashion). However, only those aspects of the system associated with successful launch and operation of the basic sensor subsystem need be funded at levels necessary to achieve the December 1970 initial launch date. Other elements of the system (such as the terrain camera, associated integration costs, and its operational software costs) can be deferred without any effect on the basic HEXAGON vehicle.

CONFIDENCE OF MISSION SUCCESS

Factors assuring success of the first HEXAGON missions are wedded to those factors assuring achievement of a specified initial launch date. However, before a useful estimate of all-system success can be determined, it is necessary

To examine each major system element for its impact on probable mission success

To assess the critical technology

To evaluate expected launch and flight conditions.

Sensor Subsystem

The sensor subsystem represents the greatest advance in new technology. It is a complex redundant mechanism whose successful operation depends on excellence of functional design and thoroughness of testing. Its most critical components--those associated with the film transport and its allied servo system--are of new, untried designs. We believe the intensive attention given to these system aspects imparts a high confidence of successful operation. The Committee assesses the single shot probability as 88 percent that the sensor will survive the launch environment and operate for 30 days with acceptable optical performance--if not perfectly within specification.

Satellite Basic Assembly

The satellite basic assembly represents current state-of-the-art. However, it is an entirely new structure,

HANDLE VIA
BYEMAN TALENT-KEYHOLE
CONTROL SYSTEM~~TOP SECRET~~

EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC DECLASSIFICATION

Approved for Release: 2018/11/08 C05109301

CONTROL NO. BYE-13146-69
COPY _____ OF _____ COPIES
PAGE 7 OF 11 PAGES

Unclassified

~~TOP SECRET~~HANDLE VIA
BYEMAN
CONTROL SYSTEM
TALENT-
KEYHOLE

containing some heretofore untried hardware--i.e., the propellant tankage with its galleried fuel lines. The basic structure is very long and quite flexible, both in torsion and bending modes. The film supply mounting is statically indeterminate, which defeats rigid structural analysis. Hence, a thorough testing program of flight-quality hardware is essential to structural integrity and to determination of modes of structure movement which might be coupled into the sensor. Fortunately, guidance and control, telemetry, command, power (including solar arrays), and orbit adjust engine have had (or will have) considerable prior flight experience. These systems also are more amenable to functional redundancy. Based on these factors, the Committee assessed the single shot probability that the satellite basic assembly will survive the launch environment and operate acceptably for 30 days in orbit as 90 percent (probably conservative).

Launch Vehicle

The launch vehicle, Titan IIID, is a different configuration of the Titan booster family; its major difference is that the Titan transtage is not used. Other components of the Titan IIID have been thoroughly flight tested and proved. Because of past experience with the launch vehicle, the Committee assesses the single shot probability of successful Titan IIID operation throughout the launch phase as 95 percent (probably conservative).

Recovery Vehicle

The final essential element is the successful functioning and recovery of each recovery vehicle (RV). The HEXAGON RVs are new, but are a scaled up "DISCOVERER" shape. The parachute design has been flown on the PRIME Project and will be scaled for the HEXAGON recovery vehicles. An extensive test program is under way, including high altitude drop tests to simulate all recovery sequences occurring after initial re-entry. Again using experience as a basis, the Committee assesses as 98 percent the single shot probability that each individual recovery vehicle survives the launch environment, operates successfully in orbit for its particular specified lifetime (the last RV must operate 30 days in orbit), and is successfully recovered.

General Mission Success

The Committee calculates as 75 percent the single shot probability that each individual HEXAGON vehicle will survive

HANDLE VIA
BYEMAN
CONTROL SYSTEM

TALENT-KEYHOLE

~~TOP SECRET~~

EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC REGRADING

Unclassified
~~TOP SECRET~~HANDLE VIA
BYEMAN
CONTROL SYSTEM**TALENT-
KEYHOLE**

launch, operate acceptably for 30 days on orbit, and have successful first RV recovery. The assessment for all four recovery vehicles places the probability of success closer to 70 percent. (This latter figure is not directly equatable to mission success in terms of product returned because the first recovery vehicles generally bring back the majority of critical targets as a consequence of target priorities.) The Committee finds that the 75 percent probability figure is for all intents identical with the HEXAGON Project specified probability requirement.

Initial HEXAGON Mission Success

For the initial HEXAGON launch, what is the probability of successfully recovering good photographs in the first RV? The first HEXAGON vehicle will be operated under conditions tending to improve probability of mission success and to provide for recovery of the most significant information; thus, a comprehensive grasp of vehicle performance can be gained. Specifically, the first vehicle will be placed in a "benign" orbit--one which will not task the thermal control system and which has a sufficiently high perigee so that no orbit-sustaining maneuver will be required prior to recovery of the first RV. The first RV itself will be fully instrumented so that its performance can be carefully measured. Under serious consideration is programming the cameras so that film is transported in the forward direction only (and not reversed as is normal between each photo operation). Although some film would not be exposed under these circumstances, there is less chance of a film transport malfunction. This technique would be added insurance that some exposed film would be returned so that actual camera performance on orbit can be measured. Once the first re-entry vehicle is recovered, the film transport system could be operated in the normal forward and reverse cycle and other operations, such as orbit adjust, could be begun. The first few flights will not carry the terrain camera, and the additional weight-carrying capacity will be utilized by the installation of extra batteries. This will help guard against solar array malfunctions and provide sufficient power to insure return of the first re-entry vehicle with its load of film.

The Committee assigned no percentage probability to these techniques, but it is quite clear that they enhance the likelihood of successful return of exposed film in the first recovery vehicle.

HANDLE VIA
BYEMAN
CONTROL SYSTEM
TALENT-KEYHOLE~~TOP SECRET~~

EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC DECLASSIFICATION

Approved for Release: 2013/11/08 C05109301

CONTROL NO. **BYE-13146-69**
COPY _____ OF _____ COPIES
PAGE **9** OF **11** PAGES

~~TOP SECRET~~HANDLE VIA
BYEMAN
CONTROL SYSTEM
TALENT-
KEYHOLEEFFECT OF FUND LIMITATION

The Committee examined the situation which would arise should HEXAGON be funded in FY 1970 at a level less than that recommended. The sensitive element of the system is the sensor. If reduced \$10 million below the requested amount of \$96 million, a six-month program slip would be expected. A \$25 million reduction would result in a twelve-month slip. Other elements of the system which are not pacing at this time appear to be as sensitive to reductions as does the sensor, but definitive figures were difficult to develop. However, the Committee agrees that a combined \$10 million reduction in satellite basic assembly and satellite integrating contractor funding (both LMSC) would result in a six-month slip. The amount of reduction leading to a twelve-month slip was not clearly identified, and considerable work on the part of the contractor would be necessary to develop credible figures. However, in the Committee's view, the launch date sensitivity to funding limitations had been tested enough to expose the problem adequately so no further exploration was conducted. It was evident that fund limitations would have an immediate, unfavorable effect on scheduling.

SUMMARY

Funding at the level required to achieve a December 1970 initial launch date is the preferable option. The probability of a successful HEXAGON flight by the third launch, June 1971, is close to 95 percent under these conditions. The pressure on the collection overlap can be relieved by stretching CORONA launches six months into FY 1972. This would entail expenditures of \$14 million in CORONA launch and operating costs in FY 1972, which may not actually be needed if HEXAGON flies successfully prior to our committing the last two CORONAs to flight. Immediate pressure on FY 1970 funds for a new CORONA buy can be relieved by deferring a decision until December 1969 at which time a more accurate assessment of the HEXAGON program can be made.

The Committee feels strongly that its recommendations are proper at this time. However, the Committee urges that the status of both CORONA and HEXAGON be carefully tracked in the next few months so that any departure from expected conditions can be quickly identified and a new course of action formulated. Such departures might take the form of

HANDLE VIA
BYEMAN
CONTROL SYSTEM
TALENT-KEYHOLE~~TOP SECRET~~EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC DECLASSIFICATION
AND DOWNGRADING SCHEDULES

Approved for Release: 2018/11/08 C05109301

CONTROL NO. **BYE-13146-69**
COPY _____ OF _____ COPIES
PAGE **10** OF **11** PAGES

Unclassified

~~TOP SECRET~~

HANDLE VIA
BYEMAN
CONTROL SYSTEM
TALENT-
KEYHOLE

a major technical problem in HEXAGON which would force a major slippage or any situation which would cause CORONA to be flown at more frequent intervals than those recommended. In any case, a clear determination should be made in December 1969 on whether to initiate a further CORONA buy.



F. Robert Naka
Chairman

HANDLE VIA
BYEMAN TALENT-KEYHOLE
CONTROL SYSTEM

~~TOP SECRET~~

EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC DECLASSIFICATION

CONTROL NO. BYE-13146-69
COPY 11 OF 11 COPIES
PAGE 11 OF 11 PAGES

Unclassified

BYE-13146-69. September 4, 1969

Report of the HEXAGON Review Committee - Jun 20.

Copy 1 Col Norman, AFSCF (Los Angeles)
2 OSP/CIA
3 SS-7 STAR

Working Copies

McLucas
Allen
SS-4
Naka - 2

Working copy of memo for Mcl only
RF

Unclassified