SERRE		OFORET
FOR PLEASE INDICATE OPPOSITE	L RECEIVED IN THE LABORATORY ME ACCO	SUNTED SEGNET
CODE DATE INITIALS	POSE REMARKS	
1000 5/1 1		002558
1020 5/6		
1035 516 WD		
4010 5/6 m	V MAY 1959	
5400 5/4/59 Mb	MAY 7, 1959 5 40 3 - W	200
5430 3/31 Bus KA	of may 6, 1959 MAY 1-3 1959 5763	5 100
1435 A 0/13/59 RDW	englitured Rob 29 fl	le
		MIS
	Classified by: BuORd	
4006 41 In	4// Review on: $-29$	
1523A	OFFICIAL CORRESPONDENCE — THIS MUST	F BE RETURNED
	TO THE RECORDS SECTION (ASD) FOR	FILING.
*PURPOSES	FROM BuOrd to CNO Op-91	DIVISIONS DO NOT FILL IN
	DATE OF MATERIAL BRANCH IDENT. SYMBOL	002558
1. FOR INFORMATION	4-29-59  ORIG. IDENT. SYMBOL (MAIL ROOM FILL IN)	DATE MAILED
2. FOR APPROVAL  3. FOR NECESSARY ACTION	Rez-IGP-vc H	FILE OF A
4 FOR SIGNATURE	COPIES REC'D 1 ENCL. REC'D REG. N.3046558 SUBJECT 28	1806-29
5. RETAIN ENCLOSURES	Combination of TRANSIT and TATTLETAL investigation of (C)	TE Payloads;
6. RETAIN COPY		
ROUTE SHEET	CLODET #*	R/S NO. (MAIL RM. FILL IN)

Approved for Release: 2021/04/20 C05025182

MANDLOO26558 BYFMAN DATEOUSYSTEM ONLY



EPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

fg#3046558

N REPLY REFER TO

29 APR 1959

Rez-IGP:vc

**Ser** 00932

1620

SECRET

From: Chief, Bureau of Ordnance

To: Chief of Naval Operations (Op-91)

Department of the Navy
Washington 25, D. C.

Subj: Combination of TRANSIT and TATTLETALE Payloads; investigation of (C)

Ref: (a) CNO Sec 1tr Ser 00182P91 of 20 Apr 1959 to BuOrd 00 25 49

In accordance with the request made by reference (a), the feasibility of combining the TATTLETALE and TRANSIT payloads has been investigated. Since the design specifications for both the TRANSIT payload and the launching vehicle have been finalized and extensive work has been done on the actual fabrication and testing of component units, it is considered impossible to incorporate the TATTLETALE payload and meet the scheduled launching date of NAV-I in August 1959. If it were arbitrarily decided, however, to hold NAV-I until a marriage of the payloads could be accomplished, it is estimated that the launching of NAV-I could be made no earlier than December 1959. It should be noted that this is purely a Bureau of Ordnance estimate and that its acceptability to the Advanced Research Projects Agency and the Ballistic Missile Division has not been ascertained. In consideration of the extremely difficult scheduling problem with which the BMD is confronted, it is entirely possible that re-scheduling NAV-I would result in a delay approaching eight to ten months. With regard to the cost of an extended delay in terms of lost effort, it is estimated that approximately two to three million dollars would be unrecoverable. A third consideration is the unfavorable impact which any delay in the TRANSIT program would have on POLARIS.

2. While the urgency of getting TATTLETALE in orbit is clearly understood and recognized, it is believed that the best course of action is to schedule TATTLETALE as a part of the NAV-II payload which is planned for launching in February-March 1960. The feasibility of this plan has been checked and appears to be satisfactory in all respects.

Accordingly, it is recommended that no attempt be made to include TATTLETALE in the NAV-I payload, but that it be made a part of the NAV-II shot in early 1960.

1959 APR 30 15 42

Copy to:

NRL-MAIL AND RECORDS Briegn tor Besearch

ONR CNO (Op-92

Cl Py Review on: \_\_\_

329 MR 1787

HANDLE V<del>ISEORET</del> QC2558 VS IEN ONLY

Approved for Release: 2021/04/20 C05025182