| C05026303 | - | |
|-----------------|-------------------|--|
| · · · · · | OPTIONAL FORM NO. | Approved for Release: 2024/06/13 C05026303 |
| ۵ ۱ - ۲ | GSA FPMR (41 CFR) | |
| | <i>i</i> . | ATES GOVERNMENT |
| , | Mem | orandum |
| 4 | | |
| то : | | DATE: 17 June 1971 |
| | R. D. M | ayo DATE: 17 June 1971 |
| FROM : | T. Hamm | arstrom II/June/11 |
| | 1. | |
| SUBJECT: | PTF Fea | sibility Program |
| J | | |
| | l. The | following is, as best I can reconstruct, the history |
| | of the | PTF. |
| | | |
| | a. | Initial advocationJuly 1970 |
| | b. | First feasibility work initiated, R. D. Mayo, |
| | <i>D</i> • | E. DemarkJanuary 1971 |
| | | |
| | C. | Halting of feasibility work after comments by |
| | | R. D. MayoFebruary 1971 |
| | _ [| |
| the sector is a | d. | approve NRL R&D money for |
| | | feasibility data collection and interface checkout during August PDE operational testing24 May 1971 |
| | | during August PDE operational testing24 May 1971 |
| | e. | Money received discussions on approach, |
| | | L. Hammarstrom, R. D. Mayo1 June 1971 |
| | | |
| | ` £. | R. D. Mayo typed following work statement3 June 1971 |
| | | |
| | | "Task 12Preliminary PTF Hardware" |
| | | This effort is to provide a feasibility model |
| | • | and interface hardware such that testing can be |
| | | done with the current SIC/PDE to minimize the |
| | | problems associated with interfacing future PTF |
| | | hardware. This effort is to be completed by |
| | 6 . | 31 August 1971. |
| | | |
| | g. | Verbal approval on work statement given to G. Demark4 June 1971 |
| | | G. Demain 4 build 1971 |
| | h. | Technical discussions, R. D. Mayo, D. Wales |
| | | |
| | | B June 1971 Handle Via ByEMAN Control piptern only |
| <u>R</u> | | hand to M an Anna and |
| | 200 | contract only |
| ATT_ | - Olt | |
| | | Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan |
| 5010-108 | | |
| | | |

Approved for Release: 2024/06/13 C05026303

i. Work stop order issued R. D. Mayo--15 June 1971

j. Current status--Limbo--17 June 1971

2. At the time of approval by ______ there was about an 90% probability of being able to meet test schedule. Due to the slow delivery of P.C. cards that probability by the 15th had dropped to about 80%. The work stop order is daily decreasing the probability of meeting the test schedule.

3. Postponing work until some future date will guarantee that whatever PTF is integrated with the PDE's costly reshipment to U.S. and modification of PDE's will be required. Further, the several thousand dollars already spent in February and June will have been wasted.

4. At the same time that we are so strangely <u>selling</u> a new system with the PTF as the very <u>heart</u> of successful performance, I cannot see why we must have such a jerky approach to the feasibility of this system.

5. I have personally advocated as strongly as I know how for PTF feasibility work, but this inconsistent starting and stopping, combined with the fact that prior to halting all work I was not informed in any way(I think I have some role in advanced development) forces me to completely separate myself from any responsibility for the successful meeting of any of the objectives.

2 control system only