

(b)(3)

**From:** [redacted]  
**Sent:** Friday, February 08, 2013 8:35 AM  
**To:** [redacted]  
**Subject:** RE: KBR FOIA request - draft letter --- UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOUO~~

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOUO~~  
=====

Not sure what I'm missing . . . it looks ok to me. Come see me

**From:** [redacted]  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 07, 2013 5:14 PM  
**To:** [redacted]  
**Subject:** FW: KBR FOIA request - draft letter --- UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOUO~~

(b)(3)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOUO~~  
=====

[redacted] The attachment appears to have some issues..... Don't know exactly what's going on, but there are several errors (b)(3)  
in the attachment that do not appear in the two letters that I had initially saved to the FOIA correspondence  
folder. Might want to take a look.....

**From:** [redacted]  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 07, 2013 4:16 PM  
**To:** [redacted]  
**Cc:** [redacted]  
**Subject:** FW: KBR FOIA request - draft letter --- UNCLASSIFIED

(b)(3)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED  
=====

[redacted] if you responded to this email, I've misplaced it . . . can you please take a look for us?

(b)(3)

Thank you!

**From:** [redacted]  
**Sent:** Monday, January 14, 2013 2:26 PM  
**To:** [redacted]  
**Subject:** KBR FOIA request - draft letter --- UNCLASSIFIED

(b)(3)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED  
=====

All (b)(3) redactions on this page  
pursuant to 10 USC 424

[redacted] attached is a draft of a letter to Kraftson Caudle. This is the case that you and [redacted] and I discussed when you (b)(3)  
were here. We would appreciate your input.

Thank you! Hope you're settling in comfortably.

[Redacted]

(b)(3) 10 USC ± 424

**FOIA/PA, & Prepub Review**  
**OCIO/Information Management Services Office**  
**Information Review & Release Group**

[Redacted]

(b)(3) 10 USC ± 424

=====  
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

=====  
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

=====  
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOUO~~

=====  
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOUO~~

[Redacted]

(b)(3)

**From:**

[Redacted]

(b)(3)

**Sent:**

Friday, February 08, 2013 3:33 PM

**To:**

[Redacted]

(b)(3)

**Cc:**

**Subject:**

FW: KBR FOIA request - draft letter --- UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOUO~~

**Attachments:**

F12-0120 LGL revision.final.docx

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOUO~~

~~ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT/ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGED INFORMATION/DELIBERATIVE~~

~~PROCESS PRIVILEGED DOCUMENT~~

(b)(3)

=====

(b)(5)

[Redacted]

**From:**

[Redacted]

(b)(3)

**Sent:** Thursday, February 07, 2013 4:16 PM

**To:**

[Redacted]

**Cc:**

**Subject:** FW: KBR FOIA request - draft letter --- UNCLASSIFIED

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

=====

[Redacted]

if you responded to this email, I've misplaced it . . . can you please take a look for us?

(b)(3)

Thank you!

**From:**

[Redacted]

(b)(3)

**Sent:** Monday, January 14, 2013 2:26 PM

**To:**

[Redacted]

**Subject:** KBR FOIA request - draft letter --- UNCLASSIFIED

All (b)(3) redactions on this page  
pursuant to 10 USC 424

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

=====

[redacted] attached is a draft of a letter to [redacted] This is the case that you and [redacted] and I discussed when you (b)(3)  
were here. We would appreciate your input.

Thank you! Hope you're settling in comfortably.

[redacted]

(b)(3)

**FOIA/PA, & Prepub Review**

**OCIO/Information Management Services Office**

**Information Review & Release Group**

[redacted]

(b)(3)

=====

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

=====

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

=====

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOUO~~

All (b)(3) redactions on  
this page pursuant to 10  
USC 424

(b)(3)

**From:**

**Sent:**

Friday, February 08, 2013 3:51 PM

**To:**

**Cc:**

**Subject:**

RE: KBR FOIA request - draft letter --- UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOUO~~

~~ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT/ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGED INFORMATION/DELIBERATIVE  
PROCESS PRIVILEGED DOCUMENT~~

Thank you, [redacted] We will move forward with your letter.

(b)(3)



**NRO IT One Team  
Chief Information Office**

[redacted] FOIA/PA/Prepub

Information Review and Release Group

(b)(3)

**From:**

**Sent:** Friday, February 08, 2013 3:33 PM

**To:**

**Cc:**

**Subject:** FW: KBR FOIA request - draft letter --- UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO

(b)(3)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOUO~~

~~ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT/ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGED INFORMATION/DELIBERATIVE  
PROCESS PRIVILEGED DOCUMENT~~

Hi, [redacted]

(b)(3)

(b)(3)

(b)(5)

(b)(3)  
(b)(5)

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 07, 2013 4:16 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** FW: KBR FOIA request - draft letter --- UNCLASSIFIED

(b)(3)  
(b)(5)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED  
 =====

[REDACTED] if you responded to this email, I've misplaced it . . . can you please take a look for us?

(b)(3)  
(b)(5)

Thank you!

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, January 14, 2013 2:26 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** KBR FOIA request - draft letter --- UNCLASSIFIED

(b)(3)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED  
 =====

[REDACTED] attached is a draft of a letter to Kraftson Caudle. This is the case that you and [REDACTED] and I discussed when you were here. We would appreciate your input.

(b)(3)

Thank you! Hope you're settling in comfortably.

[REDACTED]  
**FOIA/PA, & Prepub Review**  
**OCIO/Information Management Services Office**  
**Information Review & Release Group**

(b)(3)

(b)(3)

=====  
 Classification: UNCLASSIFIED  
 =====

=====  
 Classification: UNCLASSIFIED  
 =====

=====  
 Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOUO~~

=====  
 Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOUO~~

All (b)(3)  
 redactions on  
 this page  
 pursuant to 10  
 USC 424

(b)(3)

**From:** [redacted]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 27, 2013 3:04 PM  
**To:** [redacted]  
**Cc:** [redacted]  
**Subject:** RE: TIER Response FYI: FOIA documents in Box #3, Folders 1-9 (KBR and the EPF) --- UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOUO~~

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOUO~~  
=====

Hey, I'm here for you both, just let me know what to do when. ☺

V/R,



[redacted]

(b)(3)

**From:** [redacted]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 27, 2013 2:31 PM  
**To:** [redacted]  
**Cc:** [redacted]  
**Subject:** RE: TIER Response FYI: FOIA documents in Box #3, Folders 1-9 (KBR and the EPF) --- UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOUO~~

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOUO~~  
=====

[redacted] (b)(3)

(U) I'm so sorry!!!! And THANK YOU!!!!

(b)(3)

(b)(5)

[redacted]

**From:** [redacted]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 27, 2013 2:20 PM  
**To:** [redacted]  
**Cc:** [redacted]

(b)(3)

(b)(3)

USA CIV

**Subject:** TIER Response FYI: FOIA documents in Box #3, Folders 1-9 (KBR and the EPF) --- UNCLASSIFIED

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

=====




(b)(3)

(b)(3)  
(b)(5)



V/R,

NRO  
NIT



(b)(3)

All (b)(3)  
redactions on  
this page  
pursuant to 10  
USC 424

=====  
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

=====  
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOUO~~

=====  
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOUO~~



(b)(3)

**From:** [redacted]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 05, 2013 7:40 AM  
**To:** [redacted]  
**Cc:** [redacted]  
**Subject:** RE: TIER Action (New): 2013-00929, F12-0120 - INITIAL FOIA REVIEW - DOCUMENT SET#1 --- ~~SECRET//TK~~

Classification: ~~SECRET//TK~~  
~~ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGED INFORMATION~~

Classified By: [redacted]  
Derived From: INCG dated 20120213  
Declassify On: 20381231

(b)(3)

(b)(3)  
(b)(5)

**From:** [redacted]  
**Sent:** Monday, March 04, 2013 3:44 PM  
**To:** [redacted]  
**Cc:** [redacted]  
**Subject:** RE: TIER Action (New): 2013-00929, F12-0120 - INITIAL FOIA REVIEW - DOCUMENT SET#1 --- ~~SECRET//TK~~

(b)(3)

Classification: ~~SECRET//TK~~  
~~ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGED INFORMATION~~

All (b)(3) redactions on this page pursuant  
to 10 USC 424

Classified By: [redacted]  
(b)(3)

Derived From: INCG dated 20120213

Declassify On: 20381231

(b)(3)

(b)(5)

=====  
(U) Hope you haven't put the snow gear away yet!

(b)(3)

From: [redacted]  
Sent: Monday, March 04, 2013 12:27 PM

(b)(3)

To: [redacted]  
Cc: [redacted]

Subject: RE: TIER Action (New): 2013-00929, F12-0120 - INITIAL FOIA REVIEW - DOCUMENT SET#1 --- ~~SECRET//TK~~

Classification: ~~SECRET//TK~~  
~~ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGED INFORMATION~~

Classified By: [redacted]  
Derived From: INCG dated 20120213  
Declassify On: 20381231  
=====

(b)(3)

Hello, [redacted]

(b)(3)

I hope you enjoyed your weekend. I have a question about this TIER action.

Does this assign an OGC action to conduct initial document review of responsive material containing OGC equities in this case? Or is this an informational notification this point for OGC, a case that will this come back to OGC for legal review after the components have submitted their responses and IRRG has completed a proposed consolidated response?

Thanks for your guidance.

Kind regards,

(b)(3)

From: CIO-FOIA-Tracker [redacted]  
Sent: Friday, March 01, 2013 3:20 PM

(b)(3)

To: gc-tracker; [redacted] MSO-IART-Tracker; OSL-IART-Tracker; Contracts-IART-Tracker; CIO-FOIA-(b)(3)  
Tracker

Cc: CIO-FOIA-Tracker

Subject: TIER Action (New): 2013-00929, F12-0120 - INITIAL FOIA REVIEW - DOCUMENT SET#1

All (b)(3) redactions on this page  
pursuant to 10 USC 424

[Redacted]

(b)(3)

**From:** [Redacted]  
**Sent:** Monday, March 11, 2013 12:58 PM  
**To:** [Redacted]  
**Subject:** RE: TIER Action (New): 2013-00929-1, F12-0120 - INITIAL FOIA REVIEW - DOCUMENT SET#1 --- UNCLASSIFIED

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

=====

[Redacted]

(b)(6)

V/R,

The logo for the National Reconnaissance Office Information Technology (NRO IT) department. It features the letters "NRO" stacked vertically next to "IT", with a stylized swoosh underneath.

[Redacted]

(b)(3)

**From:** [Redacted]  
**Sent:** Monday, March 11, 2013 12:54 PM  
**To:** [Redacted]  
**Subject:** RE: TIER Action (New): 2013-00929-1, F12-0120 - INITIAL FOIA REVIEW - DOCUMENT SET#1 --- UNCLASSIFIED

(b)(3)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

=====

[Redacted]

(b)(3)

(b)(6)

[Redacted]

(b)(3)

**From:** [Redacted]  
**Sent:** Monday, March 11, 2013 12:49 PM  
**To:** [Redacted]  
**Subject:** RE: TIER Action (New): 2013-00929-1, F12-0120 - INITIAL FOIA REVIEW - DOCUMENT SET#1 --- UNCLASSIFIED

(b)(3)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

=====

All (b)(3) redactions on this page pursuant to 10 USC 424

You know, I would only do this for a select few ☺ Makes sense – you know I will ask more questions once I start!

Thank you for interpreting!

V/R,

NRO  
IT



(b)(3)

**From:** [Redacted]

(b)(3)

**Sent:** Monday, March 11, 2013 12:13 PM

**To:** [Redacted]

**Subject:** RE: TIER Action (New): 2013-00929-1, F12-0120 - INITIAL FOIA REVIEW - DOCUMENT SET#1 --- UNCLASSIFIED

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

(b)(3)

(b)(5)

=====



(b)(3)

**From:** [Redacted]

(b)(3)

**Sent:** Monday, March 11, 2013 12:02 PM

**To:** [Redacted]

**Subject:** RE: TIER Action (New): 2013-00929-1, F12-0120 - INITIAL FOIA REVIEW - DOCUMENT SET#1 --- UNCLASSIFIED

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

=====

I will be and a person from Security if I am lucky

NRO  
IT

V/R,



(b)(3)

All (b)(3) redactions on this page pursuant to 10 USC 424

[Redacted]

(b)(3)

**From:** [Redacted]  
**Sent:** Monday, March 11, 2013 12:01 PM  
**To:** [Redacted]  
**Subject:** RE: TIER Action (New): 2013-00929-1, F12-0120 - INITIAL FOIA REVIEW - DOCUMENT SET#1 --- UNCLASSIFIED

(b)(3)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED  
=====

Are they asking you to do the SME review for sensitive information?

**From:** [Redacted]  
**Sent:** Monday, March 11, 2013 12:00 PM  
**To:** [Redacted]  
**Subject:** FW: TIER Action (New): 2013-00929-1, F12-0120 - INITIAL FOIA REVIEW - DOCUMENT SET#1 --- UNCLASSIFIED

(b)(3)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED  
=====

So...I'm just now getting around to this action.....HELP, what exactly are you wanting??? ☺

V/R,

The logo for the National Reconnaissance Office Information Technology (NRO IT) department, featuring the letters "NRO IT" in a stylized font with a swoosh underneath.

[Redacted]

(b)(3)

**From:** OSL-IART-Tracker [Redacted]  
**Sent:** Friday, March 01, 2013 5:08 PM  
**To:** [Redacted]  
**CIV:** [Redacted] OSL-IART-Tracker  
**Subject:** TIER Action (New): 2013-00929-1, F12-0120 - INITIAL FOIA REVIEW - DOCUMENT SET#1

(b)(3)

(b)(3)

~~SECRET//TK//SOURCE SELECTION SENSITIVE, PROPIN~~

All (b)(3) redactions on this page  
pursuant to 10 USC 424

**TIER** | NATIONAL RECONNAISSANCE OFFICE

A new action has been created by OSL-IART-Tracker that requires your attention.

Please select the following link to view this action [2013-00929-1, F12-0120 - INITIAL FOIA REVIEW -](#)

DOCUMENT SET#1

~~SECRET//TK//SOURCE SELECTION SENSITIVE, PROPIN~~

=====  
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

=====  
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

=====  
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

=====  
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

=====  
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

=====  
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

=====  
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

(b)(3)

**From:** [redacted]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 19, 2013 8:41 AM  
**To:** [redacted]  
**Subject:** FW: TIER Action (New): 2013-00929-1, F12-0120 - INITIAL FOIA REVIEW - DOCUMENT SET#1 --- ~~SECRET//TK//PROPIN~~  
**Attachments:** C)3. EPF Cost Evolution Briefings.PDF.PDF  
**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

~~Classification: SECRET//TK//PROPIN~~  
~~SOURCE SELECTION SENSITIVE~~

Classified By: [redacted]  
Derived From: INCG dated 20120213  
Declassify On: 20381231  
=====

(b)(3)

How is my favorite [redacted]? ☺

(b)(3)

(b)(3)  
(b)(5)

V/R,

NOIT

(b)(3)

**From:** [redacted]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 19, 2013 8:30 AM  
**To:** [redacted]  
**Cc:** [redacted]  
**Subject:** RE: TIER Action (New): 2013-00929-1, F12-0120 - INITIAL FOIA REVIEW - DOCUMENT SET#1 --- ~~SECRET//TK//PROPIN~~

(b)(3)

~~Classification: SECRET//TK//PROPIN~~  
~~SOURCE SELECTION SENSITIVE~~

Classified By: [redacted]  
Derived From: INCG dated 20120213  
Declassify On: 20381231  
=====

(b)(3)

(b)(3)

All (b)(3) redactions on this page pursuant to 10 USC 424

(b)(3)  
(b)(5)

Here are my comments on the documents.

(b)(3)

**From:** [redacted]  
**Sent:** Monday, March 18, 2013 11:47 AM  
**To:** [redacted]

(b)(3)

**Subject:** FW: TIER Action (New): 2013-00929-1, F12-0120 - INITIAL FOIA REVIEW - DOCUMENT SET#1 ---  
~~SECRET//TK//PROPIN~~

All (b)(3) redactions on this page pursuant  
to 10 USC 424



Classification: ~~SECRET//TK//PROPIN~~  
~~SOURCE SELECTION SENSITIVE~~

Classified By:   
 Derived From: INCG dated 20120213  
 Declassify On: 20381231  
 =====

(b)(3)

Here is the action and the folders with the information.

## Action Details

Classification: ~~SECRET//TK//SOURCE~~  
~~SELECTION~~  
~~SENSITIVE, PROPIN~~

Lead CIO-FOIA-Tracker  
 Organization:

Action Title: 2013-00929-1 - F12-0120 - INITIAL  
 FOIA REVIEW - DOCUMENT SET#1

FOII:

### Action History

Assigned By: OSL-IART-Tracker

POC:

Date Due: 3/19/2013 ([View Change History](#))

(b)(3)

Assigned To: OSL  TRACKER

FYI:

(b)(3)

(b)(3)

**Description:** What needs to be done? (U) Individual NRO-component reviews, each for its own sensitive information.

**Why it needs to be done?** (U) FOIA request for a broad-range of information regarding Kellogg, Brown & Root and the Eastern Processing Facility at Cape Canaveral. This action is for initial reviews of the first set of documents provided by OSL. Others will be sent forward for review in separate actions.

(U) In order for the IRRG to correctly apply exemption information as documents are prepared for further processing, please provide a brief explanation of the rationale for withholding any unclassified information that is marked for denial.

**Comments:** 03/01/2013 17:07 -  Please take the lead and coordinate with OSL Security on the review of the documents listed in the parent tasker pertaining to KBR and the EPF. All comments concerning information that needs to be redacted should be forwarded to OSL-TRACKER by COB 19 Mar 13, in time to meet OSL's suspense of 20 Mar. Thanks,

(b)(3)

(b)(3)

All (b)(3) redactions on this page  
 pursuant to 10 USC 424

-- Submitted by: 

(b)(3)

More...**Attachments:****Created By:** 

on March 1, 2013 at 5:07 PM (b)(3)

**Action Status**

Date Due	Assigned To	Date Assigned	Status	Response	Attachments	Details
03/19/2013	OS <input type="text"/> RACKER	03/01/2013	Pending	<input type="text"/>	0	<input type="text"/>
03/19/2013	<input type="text"/>	03/01/2013	Pending	<input type="text"/>	0	<input type="text"/>
03/19/2013	<input type="text"/>	03/01/2013	Pending	<input type="text"/>	0	<input type="text"/>
03/19/2013	<input type="text"/>	03/01/2013	Pending	<input type="text"/>	0	<input type="text"/>

(b)(3)

(b)(3)

V/R,

(b)(3)

**From:** **Sent:** Monday, March 18, 2013 11:33 AM**To:** **Subject:** RE: TIER Action (New): 2013-00929-1, F12-0120 - INITIAL FOIA REVIEW - DOCUMENT SET#1 ---  
~~SECRET//TK//PROPIN~~

(b)(3)

~~Classification: SECRET//TK//PROPIN~~  
~~SOURCE SELECTION SENSITIVE~~Classified By: 

Derived From: INCG dated 20120213

Declassify On: 20381231

=====

(b)(3)

(b)(3)

When I open the Tier, it says I do not have permission to review this folder. Can you send me the documents you need reviewed.

(b)(3)

All (b)(3) redactions on this page  
pursuant to 10 USC 424

(b)(3)

From: [redacted]  
Sent: Monday, March 18, 2013 11:20 AM  
To: [redacted]

(b)(3)

Subject: FW: TIER Action (New): 2013-00929-1, F12-0120 - INITIAL FOIA REVIEW - DOCUMENT SET#1 ---  
~~SECRET//TK//PROPIN~~

Classification: ~~SECRET//TK//PROPIN~~  
~~SOURCE SELECTION SENSITIVE~~

Classified By: [redacted]  
Derived From: INCG dated 20120213  
Declassify On: 20381231

(b)(3)

=====

(b)(3)

(b)(5)

V/R.  
[redacted]

NRO  
IT

(b)(3)

From: [redacted]  
Sent: Friday, March 15, 2013 8:25 AM  
To: [redacted]

(b)(3)

Subject: RE: TIER Action (New): 2013-00929-1, F12-0120 - INITIAL FOIA REVIEW - DOCUMENT SET#1 ---  
~~SECRET//TK//PROPIN~~

Classification: ~~SECRET//TK//PROPIN~~  
~~SOURCE SELECTION SENSITIVE~~

Classified By: [redacted]  
Derived From: INCG dated 20120213  
Declassify On: 20381231

(b)(3)

=====

Good morning [redacted]  
[redacted] down your way is reviewing for Security....I'll back him up and give them a read also.

(b)(3)

(b)(3)

Thanks!

All (b)(3) redactions on this  
page pursuant to 10 USC 424

**From:** [redacted] (b)(3)  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 14, 2013 4:21 PM  
**To:** [redacted] (b)(3)  
**Subject:** FW: TIER Action (New): 2013-00929-1, F12-0120 - INITIAL FOIA REVIEW - DOCUMENT SET#1 ---  
~~SECRET//TK//PROPIN~~

~~Classification: SECRET//TK//PROPIN~~  
~~SOURCE SELECTION SENSITIVE~~

Classified By: [redacted] (b)(3)  
Derived From: INCG dated 20120213  
Declassify On: 20381231  
=====

Hi [redacted] (b)(3)

I haven't had a chance to thoroughly review these documents since the first TIER where I determined which were/were not responsive under FOIA. I know the next steps high-level steps are to review these records for specific information and determine what needs to be withheld from release in order to protect OSL equities – information that might be expected to cause harm to OSL programs or operations if it is released. If harmful information is found, then we need to provide a brief explanation as to why and provide that to IRRG. Do you know who from Security is also looking at this action? I can take my best guess at what could be harmful to OSL, but I by no means am a security expert. Please just let me know.

V/R,

[redacted] (b)(3)

**From:** OSL-IART-Tracker [redacted] (b)(3)  
**Sent:** Friday, March 01, 2013 5:08 PM  
**To:** [redacted] (b)(3)  
CIV; OSL; [redacted] TRACKER; OSL-IART-Tracker (b)(3)  
**Subject:** TIER Action (New): 2013-00929-1, F12-0120 - INITIAL FOIA REVIEW - DOCUMENT SET#1

~~SECRET//TK//SOURCE SELECTION SENSITIVE, PROPIN~~

**TIER** | NATIONAL RECONNAISSANCE OFFICE

A new action has been created by OSL-IART-Tracker that requires your attention.

Please select the following link to view this action [2013-00929-1, F12-0120 - INITIAL FOIA REVIEW -](#)

DOCUMENT SET#1

~~SECRET//TK//SOURCE SELECTION SENSITIVE, PROPIN~~

=====  
Classification: ~~SECRET//TK//PROPIN~~

=====  
Classification: ~~SECRET//TK//PROPIN~~

=====  
Classification: ~~SECRET//TK//PROPIN~~

=====  
Classification: ~~SECRET//TK//PROPIN~~

=====  
Classification: ~~SECRET//TK//PROPIN~~

=====  
Classification: ~~SECRET//TK//PROPIN~~

=====  
Classification: ~~SECRET//TK//PROPIN~~

(b)(3)

**From:** [redacted]  
**Sent:** Friday, April 05, 2013 7:36 AM  
**To:** [redacted]  
**Cc:** FOIA (IRRG); Davis Douglas J NRO USA CIV  
**Subject:** RE: FOIA CASE F12-0120 Kraftson request re: KBR & EPF --- UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOUO~~

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOUO~~  
=====

Morning [redacted]  
Thank you for the information you sent.

(b)(3)

With respect to FOIA reviews and application of statutory exemptions [redacted] will be NRO's attorney conducting the legal review.

Cordially,

(b)(3)

**From:** [redacted]  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 04, 2013 4:19 PM  
**To:** [redacted]  
**Cc:** FOIA (IRRG); [redacted]  
**Subject:** FOIA CASE F12-0120 Kraftson request re: KBR & EPF --- UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOUO~~

(b)(3)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOUO~~  
=====

(b)(3)


(U) It was great to be able to talk to you about this case. I've attached a copy of the original Kraftson request for records along with some initial back-and-forth correspondence from the initial stages of processing. I'm also including a copy of the NRO's first interim release of previously-released contract documents. To date, this is the extent of our communication with the requester. Additional documents are in review for submitter notification to KBR, a small additional batch is being made ready for our final review process, and others (from Contracts and BPO) will be prepared soon for initial reviews by the appropriate NRO components. Overall, we have well in excess of 2000 pages of potentially responsive documents to weed through.

(U) I'd be more than happy to discuss any aspects of this case.... and, quite frankly, with this one, I am very, very pleased to have the opportunity to have OGC involved throughout the entire process. All (b)(3) redactions on this page pursuant to 10 USC 424

(U) I'm sure we'll be talking again soon. I've added GC-Tracker as an FYI in the current OS&CI/OSC TEIR action for final review. Once that piece is done, I'd very much appreciate a final look from OGC prior to submitter notification to KBR for this batch..... In particular, I would appreciate guidance/opinion regarding the validity/adequacy of use and citation of the (b)(5) exemption within these documents.

(U) For now, please let me know if I can provide further information.

V/R,



(b)(3) 10 USC § 424

=====  
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOUO~~

=====  
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOUO~~

(b)(3)

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Friday, August 23, 2013 11:44 AM  
**To:** OSL-IART-Tracker; [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** CIO-IMSO-IRRG-FOIA  
**Subject:** Re: F12-0120 - KBR & the EPF --- UNCLASSIFIED

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED  
=====

Good morning!

(U) I've taken a look at the remaining folders in hard copy box #3 (Folders 26-38) and I can safely say that all of these documents appear to be responsive to the FOIA request. Therefore, I don't feel that the initial "responsiveness review" that we've done for the other documents in this box. At some point, you'll see these documents for an initial review for OSL equities.... but that will come later.

(U) Just thought I'd let you know since we're deviating slightly from our established practices to date.

(b)(3)

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

=====  
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

F12-0120  
EMAILS  
FOR F 2016-0000

All (b)(3) redactions on this page pursuant to 10 USC 424



(b)(3)

**From:** [redacted]  
**Sent:** Monday, August 26, 2013 10:42 AM  
**To:** [redacted] OSL-IART-Tracker  
**Cc:** CIO-IMSO-IRRG-FOIA  
**Subject:** RE: Re: F12-0120 - KBR & the EPF --- UNCLASSIFIED

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED  
=====

Good morning [redacted]

Thanks for the heads up...guess I can say that's good news in the immediate, but not so much later! ☺

(b)(3)

V/R,

(b)(3)

**From:** [redacted]  
**Sent:** Friday, August 23, 2013 11:44 AM  
**To:** OSL-IART-Tracker; [redacted]  
**Cc:** CIO-IMSO-IRRG-FOIA  
**Subject:** Re: F12-0120 - KBR & the EPF --- UNCLASSIFIED

(b)(3)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED  
=====

Good morning!

(U) I've taken a look at the remaining folders in hard copy box #3 (Folders 26-38) and I can safely say that all of these documents appear to be responsive to the FOIA request. Therefore, I don't feel that the initial "responsiveness review" that we've done for the other documents in this box. At some point, you'll see these documents for an initial review for OSL equities.... but that will come later.

(U) Just thought I'd let you know since we're deviating slightly from our established practices to date.

(b)(3)

All (b)(3) redactions on this page  
pursuant to 10 USC 424



=====  
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

=====  
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

(b)(3)

**From:** [redacted]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 03, 2013 2:27 PM  
**To:** [redacted]  
**Cc:** [redacted]  
**Subject:** RE: F12-0120 - FOIA review, KBR & the EPF - Questions about document treatment ---  
~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

Classification: ~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

Classified By: [redacted]  
Derived From: INCG dated 20120213  
Declassify On: 25X1, 20631231, RRG 2012  
=====

(b)(3)

(b)(3)  
(b)(5)

Good afternoon!

[redacted]

Thanks.

[redacted]

(b)(3)

**From:** [redacted]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 03, 2013 11:14 AM  
**To:** [redacted]  
**Cc:** [redacted]  
**Subject:** RE: F12-0120 - FOIA review, KBR & the EPF - Questions about document treatment --- ~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

(b)(3)

Classification: ~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

Classified By: [redacted] NRO Office of Contracts  
Derived From: INCG dated 20120213  
Declassify On: 25X1, 20631231, RRG 2012  
=====

(b)(3)

[redacted] has OC responded to the below request for additional info? Tks [redacted]

(b)(3)

[redacted]

All (b)(3) redactions  
on this page  
pursuant to 10 USC  
424

**From:** [redacted] (b)(3)  
**Sent:** Friday, August 23, 2013 1:24 PM  
**To:** Contracts-IART-Tracker  
**Cc:** [redacted] CIO-IMSO-IRRG-FOIA (b)(3)  
**Subject:** F12-0120 - FOIA review, KBR & the EPF - Questions about document treatment --- ~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

Classification: ~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

Classified By: [redacted] (b)(3)  
Derived From: INCG dated 20120213  
Declassify On: 25X1, 20631231, RRG 2012  
=====

Good afternoon!

(U//FOUO) The IRRG is treating responsive documents in the above-referenced case for further review for final release determination. The attached document was reviewed in the Office of Contracts as part of TIER action 2013-03103. [redacted]

(U) Can you provide explanations of the rationale for these denials? While the IRRG actually applies the FOIA exemption codes, we need to understand why the reviewers feel this information should be denied in order to apply those exemption codes. (b)(3) (b)(5)

(U) We appreciate your assistance in resolving these questions so we can continue preparing the documents for further processing. Please feel free to contact me if there are any questions about this request.

[redacted] (b)(3)

All (b)(3) redactions  
on this page  
pursuant to 10 USC  
424

=====  
Classification: ~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

=====  
Classification: ~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

=====  
Classification: ~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

(b)(3)

**From:** [redacted]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 18, 2013 2:06 PM  
**To:** [redacted]  
**Subject:** RE: F12-0120 - FOIA review, KBR & the EPF - Questions about document treatment ---  
~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

Classification: ~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

Classified By: [redacted]  
Derived From: INCG dated 20120213  
Declassify On: 25X1, 20631231, RRG 2012  
=====

(b)(3)

(b)(3)  
(b)(5)

[redacted]  
**From:** [redacted]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 18, 2013 1:10 PM  
**To:** [redacted]  
**Subject:** RE: F12-0120 - FOIA review, KBR & the EPF - Questions about document treatment --- ~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

(b)(3)

(b)(3)

Classification: ~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

Classified By: [redacted]  
Derived From: INCG dated 20120213  
Declassify On: 25X1, 20631231, RRG 2012  
=====

[redacted] - thanks for the insight. This info was definitely helpful.

(b)(3)

**From:** [redacted]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 18, 2013 1:01 PM  
**To:** [redacted]  
**Cc:** [redacted]  
**Subject:** RE: F12-0120 - FOIA review, KBR & the EPF - Questions about document treatment --- ~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

(b)(3)

Classification: ~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

Classified By: [redacted]  
Derived From: INCG dated 20120213

All (b)(3) redactions  
on this page  
pursuant to 10 USC  
424

Declassify On: 25X1, 20631231, RRG 2012

(b)(3)

(b)(5)

From: [redacted]

Sent: Wednesday, September 18, 2013 9:54 AM

To: [redacted]

Cc: [redacted]

Subject: RE: F12-0120 - FOIA review, KBR & the EPF - Questions about document treatment --- ~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

(b)(3)

Classification: ~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

Classified By: [redacted]

Derived From: INCG dated 20120213

Declassify On: 25X1, 20631231, RRG 2012

(b)(3)

(b)(5)

Thanks.

(b)(3)

From: [redacted]

Sent: Wednesday, September 04, 2013 12:54 PM

To: [redacted]

Cc: [redacted]

Subject: RE: F12-0120 - FOIA review, KBR & the EPF - Questions about document treatment --- ~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

(b)(3)

Classification: ~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

Classified By: [redacted]

Derived From: INCG dated 20120213



Declassify On: 25X1, 20631231, RRG 2012

All (b)(3) redactions on this page  
pursuant to 10 USC 424

(b)(3)




(b)(5)

Good afternoon.



(b)(3)

All (b)(3) redactions on this page  
pursuant to 10 USC 424

**From:**   
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 03, 2013 11:14 AM  
**To:**   
**Cc:** 

(b)(3)

**Subject:** RE: F12-0120 - FOIA review, KBR & the EPF - Questions about document treatment --- ~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

Classification: ~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

Classified By: [redacted] NRO Office of Contracts  
Derived From: INCG dated 20120213  
Declassify On: 25X1, 20631231, RRG 2012  
=====

(b)(3)

[redacted] has OC responded to the below request for additional info? Tks [redacted]

(b)(3)

[redacted]

**From:** [redacted]  
**Sent:** Friday, August 23, 2013 1:24 PM  
**To:** Contracts-IART-Tracker  
**Cc:** [redacted] CIO-IMSO-IRRG-FOIA  
**Subject:** F12-0120 - FOIA review, KBR & the EPF - Questions about document treatment --- ~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

(b)(3)

Classification: ~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

Classified By: [redacted]  
Derived From: INCG dated 20120213  
Declassify On: 25X1, 20631231, RRG 2012  
=====

Good afternoon!

(U//~~FOUO~~) The IRRG is treating responsive documents in the above-referenced case for further review for final release determination. The attached document was reviewed in the Office of Contracts as part of TIER action 2013-03103. [redacted]

(b)(3)

(U) Can you provide explanations of the rationale for these denials? While the IRRG actually applies the FOIA exemption codes, we need to understand why the reviewers feel this information should be denied in order to apply those exemption codes. (b)(5)

(U) We appreciate your assistance in resolving these questions so we can continue preparing the documents for further processing. Please feel free to contact me if there are any questions about this request.

(b)(3)

[redacted]

=====  
Classification: ~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

All (b)(3) redactions on this page pursuant to 10 USC 424



=====  
Classification: ~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

=====  
Classification: ~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

=====  
Classification: ~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

=====  
Classification: ~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

=====  
Classification: ~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

=====  
Classification: ~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

(b)(3)

[Redacted]

**From:** [Redacted] (b)(6)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 04, 2013 2:02 PM  
**To:** [Redacted] (b)(3)  
**Cc:** COMM-IMSO-IRRG-FOIA (b)(6)  
**Subject:** RE: NRO FOIA Case #F12-0120 \_KBR & the EPF --- UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOUO~~

**Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOUO~~

[Redacted]

(b)(3)

Received. Thank you

[Redacted]

(b)(6)

**From:** [Redacted]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 27, 2013 12:20 PM  
**To:** [Redacted] (b)(3)  
**Cc:** CIO-IMSO-IRRG-FOIA (b)(6)  
**Subject:** NRO FOIA Case #F12-0120 \_KBR & the EPF --- UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOUO~~  
**Importance:** High

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOUO~~

=====

Good afternoon!

(U//~~FOUO~~) The NRO is in receipt of a FOIA request from Kraftson & Caudle for documents relating to Kellogg, Brown and Root Services, Inc. and construction of the Eastern Processing Facility at Cape Canaveral (see attachment 1).

(U) While interim releases of contract-related information have been made, the search for, and review of documents has revealed responsive information that we believe qualifies for notification in accordance with DFOIPO's significant FOIA release policy. Ten NRO treated documents (Attachment 2) responsive to this request have been approved by NRO Office of General Counsel for interim release to the requester. These are being forwarded to you for DFOIPO's approval prior to release to the requester. We anticipate that additional sets of documents will be forwarded for DFOIPO notification and approval as this case progresses.

(U) If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

[Redacted]

(b)(3)

All (b)(3) redactions on this page  
pursuant to 10 USC 424

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOUO~~

[Redacted]

(b)(3)  
(b)(6)

**From:** [Redacted]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 17, 2013 11:42 AM  
**To:** [Redacted]  
**Cc:** COMM-IMSO-IRRG-FOIA; [Redacted]  
**Subject:** RE: NRO FOIA Case #F12-0120 \_KBR & the EPF --- UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOUO~~

**Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOUO~~

Thanks [Redacted] No it doesn't...keeps me busy and the day flies by! ☺

(b)(3)  
(b)(6)

**From:** [Redacted]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 17, 2013 11:37 AM  
**To:** [Redacted]  
**Cc:** CIO-IMSO-IRRG-FOIA; [Redacted]  
**Subject:** RE: NRO FOIA Case #F12-0120 \_KBR & the EPF --- UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOUO~~

(b)(3)  
(b)(6)

**Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOUO~~

That's great [Redacted] I'm finishing up my "problem files" for F09-0028, and I'll attempt to email those later today. The [Redacted] never stops!!!

(b)(6)

**From:** [Redacted]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 17, 2013 11:29 AM  
**To:** [Redacted]  
**Cc:** CIO-IMSO-IRRG-FOIA; [Redacted]  
**Subject:** RE: NRO FOIA Case #F12-0120 \_KBR & the EPF --- UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOUO~~

(b)(6)  
(b)(3)

**Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOUO~~

Thank you [Redacted] I will make sure [Redacted] gets the copies.

(b)(3)

[Redacted] account so you can email her from now on and continue to cc me.

(b)(6)

**From:** [Redacted]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 17, 2013 9:47 AM  
**To:** [Redacted]  
**Cc:** CIO-IMSO-IRRG-FOIA  
**Subject:** RE: NRO FOIA Case #F12-0120 \_KBR & the EPF --- UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOUO~~

(b)(3)  
(b)(6)

**Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOUO~~

Good morning!

All (b)(3) redactions on this page pursuant to 10 USC 424

(U) Just went back into "Sent Items" and checked the actual files that were forwarded, and they are complete, with nothing missing and nothing cut off. But in any case, here are additional copies of Document #17 from F11-0121, and the last eight pages from F12-0120.

(U) Hope this helps!

[Redacted]

(b)(3)

**From:** [Redacted]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 17, 2013 8:41 AM  
**To:** [Redacted]  
**Cc:** CIO-IMSO-IRRG-FOIA  
**Subject:** RE: NRO FOIA Case #F12-0120 \_KBR & the EPF --- UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOUO~~

(b)(6)

(b)(3)

(b)(6)

**Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOUO~~

[Redacted]

(b)(3)

[Redacted] and the OGC attorneys took a quick look and made the following comments:

(b)(6)

For case F12-0120, there are 3 one-page letters that are cut off at the top. They are consecutive and come in the last 8 pages of the pdf. Could you please re-send those pages? If they are the best available copies, then let us know that.

For case F11-0120, Doc 17 has 9 slides that are cutoff on the right side. Could you please re-send those pages? If they are the best available copies, then let us know that as well.

Please contact [Redacted] if you have questions.

(b)(6)

Thanks.

[Redacted]

(b)(6)

**From:** [Redacted]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 27, 2013 12:20 PM  
**To:** [Redacted]  
**Cc:** CIO-IMSO-IRRG-FOIA  
**Subject:** NRO FOIA Case #F12-0120 \_KBR & the EPF --- UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOUO~~  
**Importance:** High

(b)(3)

(b)(6)

**Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOUO~~

=====

Good afternoon!


(U//~~FOUO~~) The NRO is in receipt of a FOIA request from Kraftson & Caudle for documents relating to Kellogg, Brown and Root Services, Inc. and construction of the Eastern Processing Facility at Cape Canaveral (see attachment 1).

(U) While interim releases of contract-related information have been made, the search for, and review of documents has revealed responsive information that we believe qualifies for notification in accordance with DFOIPO's significant FOIA release policy. Ten NRO treated documents (Attachment 2) responsive to this request have been approved by NRO Office of General Counsel for interim release to the requester. These are being forwarded to you for DFOIPO's approval

prior to release to the requester. We anticipate that additional sets of documents will be forwarded for DFOIPO notification and approval as this case progresses.

(U) If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

(b)(3) 10 USC  $\pm$  424



=====  
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOUO~~

=====  
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOUO~~

=====  
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOUO~~