Not sure what I’m missing... it looks ok to me. Come see me

The attachment appears to have some issues..... Don’t know exactly what’s going on, but there are several errors in the attachment that do not appear in the two letters that I had initially saved to the FOIA correspondence folder. Might want to take a look.....

if you responded to this email, I’ve misplaced it... can you please take a look for us?

Thank you!
Thank you! Hope you’re settling in comfortably.
FW: KBR FOIA request - draft letter --- UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO

If you responded to this email, I've misplaced it... can you please take a look for us?

Thank you!

KBR FOIA request - draft letter --- UNCLASSIFIED

All (b)(3) redactions on this page pursuant to 10 USC 424
attached is a draft of a letter to This is the case that you and I discussed when you were here. We would appreciate your input.

Thank you! Hope you’re settling in comfortably.

FOIA/PA, & Prepub Review
OCIO/Information Management Services Office
Information Review & Release Group

All (b)(3) redactions on this page pursuant to 10 USC 424
Thank you, we will move forward with your letter.

---

Hi,

---

(NRO IT One Team
Chief Information Office
FOIA/PA/Prepub
Information Review and Release Group)
From: [Redacted]
Sent: Thursday, February 07, 2013 4:16 PM
To: [Redacted]
Cc: [Redacted]
Subject: FW: KBR FOIA request - draft letter --- UNCLASSIFIED

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

If you responded to this email, I’ve misplaced it... can you please take a look for us?

Thank you!

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Monday, January 14, 2013 2:26 PM
To: [Redacted]
Cc: [Redacted]
Subject: KBR FOIA request - draft letter --- UNCLASSIFIED

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Attached is a draft of a letter to Kraftson Caudle. This is the case that you and [Redacted] and I discussed when you were here. We would appreciate your input.

Thank you! Hope you’re settling in comfortably.

FOIA/PA, & Prepub Review
OCIO/Information Management Services Office
Information Review & Release Group

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

All (b)(3) redactions on this page pursuant to 10 USC 424

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED/FOIA

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED/FOIA

Approved for Release: 2017/12/01 C05100046
From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, February 27, 2013 3:04 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: TIER Response FYI: FOIA documents in Box #3, Folders 1-9 (KBR and the EPF) --- UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO

Hey, I here for you both, just let me know what to do when. 😊

V/R,

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, February 27, 2013 2:31 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: TIER Response FYI: FOIA documents in Box #3, Folders 1-9 (KBR and the EPF) --- UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO

(U) I'm so sorry!!!! And THANK YOU!!!!!

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, February 27, 2013 2:20 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]

All (b)(3) redactions on this page pursuant to 10 USC 424

Approved for Release: 2017/12/01 C05100046
USA CIV

Subject: TIER Response FYI: FOIA documents in Box #3, Folders 1-9 (KBR and the EPF) --- UNCLASSIFIED

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

(b)(3)

(b)(3)

(b)(5)

V/R,

(b)(3)

All (b)(3) redactions on this page pursuant to 10 USC 424

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOO0

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOO0
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(U) Hope you haven’t put the snow gear away yet!

---

From: 
Sent: Monday, March 04, 2013 12:27 PM
To: 
Cc: 

Classification: SECRET//TK
ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGED INFORMATION

Hello,

I hope you enjoyed your weekend. I have a question about this TIER action.

Does this assign an OGC action to conduct initial document review of responsive material containing OGC equities in this case? Or is this an informational notification this point for OGC, a case that will this come back to OGC for legal review after the components have submitted their responses and IRRG has completed a proposed consolidated response?

Thanks for your guidance.

Kind regards,

---

From: CIO-FOIA-Tracker
Sent: Friday, March 01, 2013 3:20 PM
To: gc-tracker; MSO-IART-Tracker; OSL-IART-Tracker; Contracts-IART-Tracker; CIO-FOIA-(b)(3) Tracker
Cc: CIO-FOIA-Tracker
Subject: TIER Action (New): 2013-00929, F12-0120 - INITIAL FOIA REVIEW - DOCUMENT SET#1
From: [Redacted]
Sent: Monday, March 11, 2013 12:58 PM
To: [Redacted]

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

All (b)(3) redactions on this page pursuant to 10 USC 424
You know, I would only do this for a select few 😊. Makes sense – you know I will ask more questions once I start!

Thank you for interpreting!

V/R,

(b)(3)

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Monday, March 11, 2013 12:02 PM
To: [Redacted]
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

I will be and a person from Security if I am lucky

V/R,

(b)(3)

All (b)(3) redactions on this page pursuant to 10 USC 424
A new action has been created by OSL-IART-Tracker that requires your attention.

Please select the following link to view this action 2013-00929-1, F12-0120 - INITIAL FOIA REVIEW - DOCUMENT SET#1 --- UNCLASSIFIED
From: [redacted]  
Sent: Tuesday, March 19, 2013 8:41 AM  
To: [redacted]  
Attachments: C03. EPF Cost Evolution Briefings.PDF.PDF  
Follow Up Flag: Follow up  
Flag Status: Flagged  

classification: SECRET//TK//PROPIN SOURCE SELECTION SENSITIVE  

How is my favorite? 😊

V/R,  

[redacted]  

From: [redacted]  
Sent: Tuesday, March 19, 2013 8:30 AM  
To: [redacted]  
Cc: [redacted]  
Classification: SECRET//TK//PROPIN SOURCE SELECTION SENSITIVE  

[b](3)

All (b)(3) redactions on this page pursuant to 10 USC 424
Here are my comments on the documents.

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Monday, March 18, 2013 11:47 AM
To: [Redacted]

All (b)(3) redactions on this page pursuant to 10 USC 424
Here is the action and the folders with the information.

**Action Details**

**Classification:** SECRET//TK//PROPIN

**Lead CIO-FOIA-Tracker**

**Organization:**

**Action Title:** 2013-00929-1 - F12-0120 - INITIAL FOIA REVIEW - DOCUMENT SET#1

**Assigned By:** OSL-IART-Tracker

**POC:**

**Date Due:** 3/19/2013

**Assigned To:** OSL-TRACKER

**FYI:**

**Description:** What needs to be done? (U) Individual NRO-component reviews, each for its own sensitive information.

**Why it needs to be done?** (U) FOIA request for a broad-range of information regarding Kellogg, Brown & Root and the Eastern Processing Facility at Cape Canaveral. This action is for initial reviews of the first set of documents provided by OSL. Others will be sent forward for review in separate actions.

(U) In order for the IRRG to correctly apply exemption information as documents are prepared for further processing, please provide a brief explanation of the rationale for withholding any unclassified information that is marked for denial.

**Comments:** 03/01/2013 17:07 - Please take the lead and coordinate with OSL Security on the review of the documents listed in the parent tasker pertaining to KBR and the EPF. All comments concerning information that needs to be redacted should be forwarded to OSL-TRACKER by COB 19 Mar 13, in time to meet OSL's suspense of 20 Mar. Thanks.

All (b)(3) redactions on this page pursuant to 10 USC 424
When I open the Tier, it says I do not have permission to review this folder. Can you send me the documents you need reviewed.

All (b)(3) redactions on this page pursuant to 10 USC 424
From:  
Sent: Monday, March 18, 2013 11:20 AM 
To:  

Classification: SECRET//TK//PROPIN  
SOURCE SELECTION SENSITIVE  

Classified By:  
Derived From: INCG dated 20120213  
Declassify On: 20381231  
======================================================

V/R.  

NROIT  

From:  
Sent: Friday, March 15, 2013 8:25 AM 
To:  

Classification: SECRET//TK//PROPIN  
SOURCE SELECTION SENSITIVE  

Classified By:  
Derived From: INCG dated 20120213  
Declassify On: 20381231  
======================================================

Good morning  

You're way is reviewing for Security...I'll back him up and give them a read also.  

Thanks!  

All (b)(3) redactions on this page pursuant to 10 USC 424  

Approved for Release: 2017/12/01 C05100046
From: [Redacted]
Sent: Thursday, March 14, 2013 4:21 PM
To: [Redacted]

Classification: SECRET//TK//PROPIN
SOURCE SELECTION SENSITIVE

Classified By: [Redacted]
Derived From: INCG dated 20120213
Declassify On: 20381231

Hi [Redacted]

I haven’t had a chance to thoroughly review these documents since the first TIER where I determined which were/were not responsive under FOIA. I know the next steps high-level steps are to review these records for specific information and determine what needs to be withheld from release in order to protect OSL equities – information that might be expected to cause harm to OSL programs or operations if it is released. If harmful information is found, then we need to provide a brief explanation as to why and provide that to IRRG. Do you know who from Security is also looking at this action? I can take my best guess at what could be harmful to OSL, but I by no means am a security expert. Please just let me know.

V/R,

[Redacted]

From: OSL-IART-Tracker
Sent: Friday, March 01, 2013 5:08 PM
To: [Redacted]
Subject: TIER Action (New): 2013-00929-1, F12-0120 - INITIAL FOIA REVIEW - DOCUMENT SET#1

A new action has been created by OSL-IART-Tracker that requires your attention.

Please select the following link to view this action 2013-00929-1, F12-0120 - INITIAL FOIA REVIEW - DOCUMENT SET#1
DOCUMENT SET#1

SECRET//TK//SOURCE SELECTION SENSITIVE, PROPIN

Classification: SECRET//TK//PROPIN

Classification: SECRET//TK//PROPIN

Classification: SECRET//TK//PROPIN

Classification: SECRET//TK//PROPIN

Classification: SECRET//TK//PROPIN

Classification: SECRET//TK//PROPIN

Classification: SECRET//TK//PROPIN

Classification: SECRET//TK//PROPIN

Classification: SECRET//TK//PROPIN
(U) It was great to be able to talk to you about this case. I’ve attached a copy of the original Kraftson request for records along with some initial back-and-forth correspondence from the initial stages of processing. I’m also including a copy of the NRO’s first interim release of previously-released contract documents. To date, this is the extent of our communication with the requester. Additional documents are in review for submitter notification to KBR, a small additional batch is being made ready for our final review process, and others (from Contracts and BPO) will be prepared soon for initial reviews by the appropriate NRO components. Overall, we have well in excess of 2000 pages of potentially responsive documents to weed through.

(U) I’d be more than happy to discuss any aspects of this case.... and, quite frankly, with this one, I am very, very pleased to have the opportunity to have OGC involved throughout the entire process.

All (b)(3) redactions on this page pursuant to 10 USC 424
(U) I'm sure we'll be talking again soon. I've added GC-Tracker as an FYI in the current OS&CI/OSC TEIR action for final review. Once that piece is done, I'd very much appreciate a final look from OGC prior to submitter notification to KBR for this batch..... In particular, I would appreciate guidance/opinion regarding the validity/adequacy of use and citation of the (b)(5) exemption within these documents.

(U) For now, please let me know if I can provide further information.

V/R,

(b)(3) 10 USC § 424

================================================================================================
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO

================================================================================================
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO
Good morning!

(U) I've taken a look at the remaining folders in hard copy box #3 (Folders 26-38) and I can safely say that all of these documents appear to be responsive to the FOIA request. Therefore, I don't feel that the initial “responsiveness review” that we’ve done for the other documents in this box. At some point, you’ll see these documents for an initial review for OSL equities…. but that will come later.

(U) Just thought I’d let you know since we’re deviating slightly from our established practices to date.

All (b)(3) redactions on this page pursuant to 10 USC 424
Good morning!

(U) I’ve taken a look at the remaining folders in hard copy box #3 (Folders 26-38) and I can safely say that all of these documents appear to be responsive to the FOIA request. Therefore, I don’t feel that the initial “responsiveness review” that we’ve done for the other documents in this box. At some point, you’ll see these documents for an initial review for OSL equities... but that will come later.

(U) Just thought I’d let you know since we’re deviating slightly from our established practices to date.
Good afternoon!

Thanks.

[Redacted]

has OC responded to the below request for additional info? Tks

[Redacted]

All (b)(3) redactions on this page pursuant to 10 USC 424
Good afternoon!

(U/FOUO) The IRRG is treating responsive documents in the above-referenced case for further review for final release determination. The attached document was reviewed in the Office of Contracts as part of TIER action 2013-03103.

(U) Can you provide explanations of the rationale for these denials? While the IRRG actually applies the FOIA (b)(5) exemption codes, we need to understand why the reviewers feel this information should be denied in order to apply those exemption codes.

(U) We appreciate your assistance in resolving these questions so we can continue preparing the documents for further processing. Please feel free to contact me if there are any questions about this request.

All (b)(3) redactions on this page pursuant to 10 USC 424
Thanks.

Good afternoon.
All (b)(3) redactions on this page pursuant to 10 USC 424
Good afternoon!

(U//FOUO) The IRRG is treating responsive documents in the above-referenced case for further review for final release determination. The attached document was reviewed in the Office of Contracts as part of TIER action 2013-03103.

(U) Can you provide explanations of the rationale for these denials? While the IRRG actually applies the FOIA exemption codes, we need to understand why the reviewers feel this information should be denied in order to apply those exemption codes.

(U) We appreciate your assistance in resolving these questions so we can continue preparing the documents for further processing. Please feel free to contact me if there are any questions about this request.
Good afternoon!

(U/FOUO) The NRO is in receipt of a FOIA request from Kraftson & Caudle for documents relating to Kellogg, Brown and Root Services, Inc. and construction of the Eastern Processing Facility at Cape Canaveral (see attachment 1).

(U) While interim releases of contract-related information have been made, the search for, and review of documents has revealed responsive information that we believe qualifies for notification in accordance with DFOIPO’s significant FOIA release policy. Ten NRO treated documents (Attachment 2) responsive to this request have been approved by NRO Office of General Counsel for interim release to the requester. These are being forwarded to you for DFOIPO’s approval prior to release to the requester. We anticipate that additional sets of documents will be forwarded for DFOIPO notification and approval as this case progresses.

(U) If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

All (b)(3) redactions on this page pursuant to 10 USC 424
Good morning!

That's great! I'm finishing up my "problem files" for F09-0028, and I'll attempt to email those later today. The work never stops!!!

Thank you I will make sure gets the copies.

account so you can email her from now on and continue to cc me.

Good morning!
(U) Just went back into "Sent Items" and checked the actual files that were forwarded, and they are complete, with nothing missing and nothing cut off. But in any case, here are additional copies of Document #17 from F11-0121, and the last eight pages from F12-0120.

(U) Hope this helps!

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, December 17, 2013 6:41 AM
To: [Redacted]
Cc: CIO-IMSO-IRRG-FOIA
Subject: RE: NRO FOIA Case #F12-0120 _KBR & the EPF --- UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO

I'm happy to say the OGC attorneys took a quick look and made the following comments:

For case F12-0120, there are 3 one-page letters that are cut off at the top. They are consecutive and come in the last 8 pages of the PDF. Could you please re-send those pages? If they are the best available copies, then let us know that.

For case F11-0120, Doc 17 has 9 slides that are cutoff on the right side. Could you please re-send those pages? If they are the best available copies, then let us know that as well.

Please contact [Redacted] if you have questions.

Thanks.

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, November 27, 2013 12:20 PM
To: [Redacted]
Cc: CIO-IMSO-IRRG-FOIA
Subject: NRO FOIA Case #F12-0120 _KBR & the EPF --- UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO

Good afternoon!

(U/FOUO) The NRO is in receipt of a FOIA request from Kraftson & Caudle for documents relating to Kellogg, Brown and Root Services, Inc. and construction of the Eastern Processing Facility at Cape Canaveral (see attachment 1).

(U) While interim releases of contract-related information have been made, the search for, and review of documents has revealed responsive information that we believe qualifies for notification in accordance with DFOIPO's significant FOIA release policy. Ten NRO treated documents (Attachment 2) responsive to this request have been approved by NRO Office of General Counsel for interim release to the requester. These are being forwarded to you for DFOIPO's approval.

All (b)(3) redactions on this page pursuant to 10 USC 424
Approved for Release: 2017/12/01 C05100046
prior to release to the requester. We anticipate that additional sets of documents will be forwarded for DFOIPO notification and approval as this case progresses.

(U) If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

(b)(3) 10 USC § 424