Action Response

*Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Action Title: 2012-05234 - F13-0014 - OS&CI/OSC Final Reviews - Two Journal Articles: "Emerging Roles..." & "...Alphabetic Program Offices"

From: BPO-IART-OSC-OCC-TRACKER
To: CIO-FOIA-Tracker
FYI: 
Response: Final: Concur with Comment
Response Details: 

Documents:
Status: Response has been accepted

Comments: 02/25/2013 16:14 -- (U) Thank you! We'll get our consult packages together for the other agencies.

All (b)(3) redactions on this page pursuant to 10 USC 424
Action Response

*Classification: **TOP SECRET//SI//K//NOFORN**

**Action Title:** 2012-05234 - F13-0014 - OS&CI/OSC Final Reviews - Two Journal Articles: "Emerging Roles..." & "...Alphabetic Program Offices"

**From:** Sec-SPIART-Tracker

**To:** CIO-FOIA-Tracker

**FYI:**

**Response:** Final: Concur with Comment

**Response Details:** 01/09/2013 12:59 -- (U) See attached for NRO employee names to be redacted for (b)(5)

Susan Schultz article indicated in your tasking memo.

Submitted by:

**Documents:**

- OSCI 4| INITIAL TREATED F13-0014 Resposive-57[1][1].pdf

**Status:** Response has been accepted

**Comments:**

01/09/2013 13:05 -- Thanks! I'll take care and get these ready for external consults.

Submitted by:

---

Dynamic Content - Highest Possible Classification: **TOP SECRET//SI//K//NOFORN**

All (b)(3) redactions on this page pursuant to 10 USC 424
Action Details

Classification: TOP SECRET//SI//REL/NOFORN

Action Title: 2012-05234 - F13-0014 - OS&CI/OSC
Final Reviews - Two Journal Articles: "Emerging Roles..." & "...Alphabetic Program Offices"

Assigned By: CIO-FOIA-Tracker
POC: 
Date Due: 1/16/2013 (View Change History)

Assigned To: BPO-IART-OSC-OCC-TRACKER
FYI: 
Sec-SPIART-Tracker

Description: What needs to be done? (U) FOIA Final Reviews, prior to additional
(b)(3)
(b)(3)
(b)(5)
Why it needs to be done? (U) FOIA

Comments:

Attachments: 
1)F13-0014_CASE_SUMMARY.docx
2)Request.pdf

Created By: on December 28, 2012 at 1:34 PM

All (b)(3) redactions on this page pursuant to 10 USC 424

Action Status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date Due</th>
<th>Assigned To</th>
<th>Date Assigned</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Attachments</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01/16/2013</td>
<td>BPO-IART-OSC-OCC-TRACKER</td>
<td>12/28/2012</td>
<td>Pending</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/16/2013</td>
<td>Sec-SPIART-Tracker</td>
<td>12/28/2012</td>
<td>Pending</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b)(3)
Sub-Actions / Forwards

No Subordinate Assignments available.

Warning: This document may not be used as a source of derivative classification.
Page contains dynamic content.
Help: Online Help Desk

Dynamic Content - Highest Possible Classification: Top Secret//SI//NI//FOUO
Action Response

*Classification: Confidential

Action Title: 2012-04410-1 - FOIA Initial Review 13-0014 - two Journal Articles - Emerging Role... & ...Alphabetic Program Offices...

From: ART-Tracker
To: CIO-FOIA-Tracker

Response: Final: Concur with Comment

Response Details:

-- Submitted by:

Documents:
Status: Response has been accepted

Comments:
12/03/2012 11:40 -- (U) Thank you for your prompt review and response! Submitted by:

All (b)(3) redactions on this page pursuant to 10 USC 424
**Action Response**

*Classification: TOP SECRET//SI//NOFORN

**Action Title:** 2012-04410-1 - FOIA Initial Review - F13-0014 - two Journal Articles - Emerging Role.... & ...Alphabetic Program Offices....

From: IIART-Tracker

To: CIO-FOIA-Tracker

FYI:

Response: Final: Concur with Comment

Response Details: 12/03/2012 16:13

(b)(3)

Documents:

Status: Response has been accepted

Comments:

12/21/2012 13:32 - treatment received. -- Submitted by: USA CTR

12/03/2012 16:56 --

I didn't get the attachments. If it's easier, you can just place the treatment at:

Thanks. -- Submitted by:

12/03/2012 16:55 -- Submitted by:

12/03/2012 16:54 -- Submitted by:

More...

(b)(3)
From: [Redacted]
Sent: Monday, December 10, 2012 4:34 PM
To: FOIA (IART)
Cc: 2012-04410-1 - FOIA Initial Review - F13-0014 - two Journal Articles - Emerging Role & ... Alphabetic Program Offices --- UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO

Subject: 2012-04410-1 - FOIA Initial Review - F13-0014 - two Journal Articles - Emerging Role &...Alphabetic Program Offices --- UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO

There were no attachments to your TIER response for this case. If it’s simpler, you can just drop the treatments (b)(3) in the folder I created at [Redacted], I reopened the TIER response, so you should be able to add the files there. Whichever is simpler is fine from this end.

Thanks.

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO

All (b)(3) redactions on this page pursuant to 10 USC 424
Action # 2012-04410-1 has been modified by MSO-FOIA-Tracker

Comments:

11/19/2012 09:21 -- (U) Good morning Can I get a status update on this request? Thanks! Submitted by:

10/25/2012 16:19 -- Added POC
-- Submitted by:

Please select the following link to view this action 2012-04410-1, FOIA Initial Review F13-0014 - two Journal Articles - Emerging Role & ...Alphabetic Program Offices...
From: [Redacted]
Sent: Monday, December 03, 2012 5:55 AM
To: [Redacted]

You have received a reply from [Redacted]

Please select the following link to view this response 2012-04410-1 - FOIA Initial Review - F13-0014 - two Journal Articles - Emerging Role & Alphabetic Program Offices.

All (b)(3) redactions on this page pursuant to 10 USC 424
Action Title: 2012-04410-1 - FOIA Initial Review - 13-0014 - two Journal Articles - Emerging Role... &...Alphabetic Program Offices... 

From: [Redacted]
To: MSO-FOIA-Tracker
FYI:
Response: Final: Concur with Comment
Response Details: 

-- Submitted by: [Redacted]

Documents:
Status: Response has been made
Comments: [Redacted]

Attachments: Browse/Select multiple files, then click [Upload]. To attach uploaded files to the Action, [Submit] the Action. 

All (b)(3) redactions on this page pursuant to 10 USC 424
Note: [Clear List] only removes the documents that are pending upload. Select [Cancel] at the bottom of the Action to prevent attachment of the Uploaded documents.

Uploaded Documents:

Help: Online Help Desk  Warning: This document may not be used as a source of derivative classification. Page contains dynamic content.  Dynamic Content - Highest Possible Classification: TOP SECRET//SI//NOFORN

Action Response

**Classification:** TOP SECRET//SATELLITE IMAGING

**Action Title:** 2012-04410-1 - FOIA Initial Review - F13-0014 - two Journal Articles - Emerging Role... & ...Alphabetic Program Offices....

**From:**

**To:** MSO-FOIA-Tracker

**FYI:**

**Response:** Final: Other

**Response Details:**

**Documents:**


**Status:** Response has been made

**Comments:**

All (b)(3) redactions on this page pursuant to 10 USC 424

**Attachments:**

Browse/Select multiple files, then click [Upload].

To attach uploaded files to the Action, [Submit] the Action.
Note: [Clear List] only removes the documents that are pending upload. Select [Cancel] at the bottom of the Action to prevent attachment of the Uploaded documents.

Uploaded Documents:

Help: Online Help Desk  Warning: This document may not be used as a source of derivative classification. Page contains dynamic content.

Dynamic Content - Highest Possible Classification: TOP SECRET//WRITTEN FORM

Approved for Release: 2017/12/01 C05100844

(b)(3) 10 USC § 424
Action Details

Classification: TOP SECRET//SI//FI//NOFORN

Action Title: 2012-04410-1 - FOIA Initial Review

Lead MSO-FOIA-Tracker

Organization: FOII:

(b)(3)

Assigned By: MSO-FOIA-Tracker

POC:

Date Due: 11/12/2012 (View Change History)

Assigned To:

FYI: MSO-FOIA-Tracker

(b)(3)

Description: What needs to be done? FOIA review of two CSNR articles. Once internal reviews are complete, prior to NRO final release determination.

Why it needs to be done? FOIA

Note: The documents provided for review have already been treated by IART/Declass for 25-year-old information.

Comments:

10/25/2012 16:19 -- Added POC

-- Submitted by:


Created By: ____________ on October 25, 2012 at 4:11 PM

(b)(3)

All (b)(3) redactions on this page pursuant to 10 USC 424
Sub-Actions / Forwards

No Subordinate Assignments available.

Warning: This document may not be used as a source of derivative classification. Page contains dynamic content. Help: Online Help Desk

Dynamic Content - Highest Possible Classification: TOP SECRET / SIOP /kowski

Respond  Create Sub-Action / Forward  Modify Action  Hold Action  Close Action
Action Response

*Classification: *TOP SECRET//SI//NOFORN

**Action Title:** 2012-04410 - FOIA Initial Review, F13-0014 - two Journal Articles - Emerging Role...
& ...Alphabetic Program Offices...

**From:**

**To:** MSO-FOIA-Tracker

**FYI:**

**Response:** Final; Other

**Response Details:**

**Documents:**

F13-0014 Responsive CLEAN-57p.pdf

**Status:** Response has been accepted

**Comments:**

10/25/2012 15:32 -- Thanks! Exactly what I needed to know. Submitted by:

All (b)(3) redactions on this page pursuant to 10 USC 424
Action Details

Classification: TOP SECRET//SI//REL//NOFORN

Action Title: 2012-04410 - FOIA Initial Review, F13-0014 - two Journal Articles - Emerging Role... & ...Alphabetic Program Offices....

Assigned By: MSO-FOIA-Tracker

POC: ____________________________

Date Due: 11/12/2012 (View Change History)

Assigned To: ____________________________

FYI: MSO-FOIA-Tracker

Description: What needs to be done? Initial review of two CSNR articles. Please advise w/regard to appropriate program office reviews/external consults.

Why it needs to be done? FOIA

Comments:

10/22/2012 15:48 --

-- Submitted by: ____________________________


Approved for Release: 2017/12/01 C05100844

Sub-Actions / Forwards

No Subordinate Assignments available.

All (b)(3) redactions on this page pursuant to 10 USC 424
(U) Hi,

(U) Your case is in the queue awaiting assignment to a first level reviewer. Our case number is 70013, which I added to the subject line for reference.

---

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Monday, January 26, 2015 3:04 PM
To: [Redacted]
Cc: CIO-IMSO-IRRG-FOIA
Subject: NRO Case F13-0014 - Request for NSA Consultation (Richelson) -- TOP SECRET//SI//TK//NOFORN

Classification: TOP SECRET//SI//TK//NOFORN

Upon removal of attachment(s), this document is UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO

Classified By: [Redacted]
Derived From: INCG dated 20120213
Declassify On: 20401231

---

Approved for Release: 2017/12/01 C05100844
(U) Good Afternoon

(U) May I please have a status on subject case e-mailed to NSA on 13 March 2013?

(U) Attached is our original request for consultation with NSA and our accompanying e-mail for your reference. Should you require the document and request resent please let me know, or if you have any questions at all, please do not hesitate to contact me.
(U) Contrary to the explanation I provided in my original email, this request (and the single file attached for review) includes BOTH of the articles that were included in Mr. Richelson’s request, included in the single composite file for review. The second article is entitled, “Where Did the Alphabetic Program Offices Go?”

(U) I apologize for the error..... Hope I haven’t confused things too much. In any event, if there are questions, please feel free to contact me.
(U) The NRO Information Review and Release Group (IRRG) is in receipt of a request from Jeffrey Richelson for an article entitled "An Emerging Role for National Reconnaissance in National Security: Getting Beyond the Fallacy of the 'Satellites Versus Humint' Debate." The responsive document (57 pages) has been treated for sensitive NRO information, and it is being forwarded to NSA and, concurrently, to NGA and CIA for further review, treatment, and return to the NRO for our response to the requester.

(U) The attachment to this message includes:
   1) Consultation request memorandum signed on behalf of Douglas J. Davis, Chief, Information Review and Release Group;
   2) Copy of original Richelson request, dated 22 October 2012 (note: this consultation request includes only one of the two articles named in the request);
   3) NRO-Treated responsive document, for NSA review;
   4) Clean copy of the responsive document, for reference.

(U) If you have any questions about this request, please contact me via e-mail or by phone and reference case #F13-0014.

Thank you.
(U) The NRO Information Review and Release Group (IRRG) is in receipt of a request from Jeffrey Richelson for an article entitled “An Emerging Role for National Reconnaissance in National Security: Getting Beyond the Fallacy of the ‘Satellites Versus Humint’ Debate.” The responsive document (57 pages) has been treated for sensitive NRO information, and it is being forwarded to CIA and, concurrently, to NSA and NGA for further review, treatment, and return to the NRO for our response to the requester.
(U) The attachment to this message includes:

1) Consultation request memorandum signed on behalf of Douglas J. Davis, Chief, Information Review and Release Group;
2) Copy of original Richelson request, dated 22 October 2012 (note: this consultation request includes only one of the two articles named in the request);
3) NRO-Treated responsive document, for CIA review;

(U) If you have any questions about this request, please contact me via e-mail or by phone and reference case #F13-0014.

Thank you.

(b)(3) 10 USC § 424


Classification: TOP SECRET//SI//TK//NOFORN
Action Response

Classification: SECRET/NOFORN

Action Title: 2012-04410-1 - FOIA Initial Review - F13-0014 - two Journal Articles - Emerging Role & ...Alphabetic Program Offices...

From: ____________________________

To: CIO-FOIA-Tracker

FYI: ____________________________

Response: Final: Concur with Comment

Response Details: ____________________________

Submitted by: ____________________________

Documents:

Status: Response has been accepted

Comments: 12/03/2012 11:40 -- (U) Thank you for your prompt review and response! Submitted by: ____________________________

Warning: This document may not be used as a source of derivative classification. Page contains dynamic content.

Dynamic Content - Highest Possible Classification: TOP SECRET//SUIT//NOFORN

All (b)(3) redactions on this page pursuant to 10 USC 424

Approved for Release: 2017/12/01 C05100844

3/1/2013
Good morning!

(U) The NRO Information Review and Release Group (IRRG) is in receipt of a request from Jeffrey Richelson for an article entitled "An Emerging Role for National Reconnaissance in National Security: Getting Beyond the Fallacy of the ‘Satellites Versus Humint’ Debate." The responsive document (57 pages) has been treated for sensitive NRO information, and it is being forwarded to NGA and, concurrently, to NSA and CIA for further review, treatment, and return to the NRO for our response to the requester.

(U) The attachment to this message includes:
   1) Consultation request memorandum signed on behalf of Douglas J. Davis, Chief, Information Review and Release Group;
   2) Copy of original Richelson request, dated 22 October 2012 (note: this consultation request includes only one of the two articles named in the request);
   3) NRO-Treated responsive document, for NGA review;

(U) If you have any questions about this request, please contact me via e-mail or by phone and reference case #F13-0014.

Thank you.
All (b)(3) redactions on this page pursuant to 10 USC 424
MEMORANDUM FOR THE CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
INFORMATION AND PRIVACY COORDINATOR

SUBJECT: (U) Request for FOIA Consultation
Mr. Jeffrey Richelson

REFERENCE: (U) National Reconnaissance Office
Case Number F13-0014

(U) In our search for records responsive to a Freedom of Information Act request from Mr. Jeffrey Richelson, dated 22 October 2012, the National Reconnaissance Office (NRO) has identified two documents consisting of a total of fifty-seven pages, which include Central Intelligence Agency equities. These are being provided to you for your review, treatment, and return to the NRO. These documents are also being forwarded for review by the National Security Agency and the National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency prior to our final release determination.

(U) Per Department of Justice guidance, agencies are encouraged to place consultations in their queue based on the date of the initial request, in this instance, 22 October 2012.

(U/FOOU) If you have any questions, please contact the Case Analyst, via email at [redacted] or by phone at [redacted] and reference case number F13-0014.

(b)(3) 10 USC § 424

Douglas J. Davis
Chief, Information Review
and Release Group

Attachments:
1. (U) Richelson request dtd. 3 January 2013
2. (U) Two articles for review (NRO-treated), 57 pages

UNCLASSIFIED//FOOU WHEN SEPARATED FROM ATTACHMENTS

TOP SECRET//SI//TI//NOCFRN

Approved for Release: 2017/12/01 C05100844
MEMORANDUM FOR THE NATIONAL GEOSPATIAL-INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

SUBJECT: (U) Request for FOIA Consultation

Mr. Jeffrey Richelson

REFERENCE: (U) National Reconnaissance Office
Case Number F13-0014

(U) In our search for records responsive to a Freedom of Information Act request from Mr. Jeffrey Richelson, dated 22 October 2012, the National Reconnaissance Office (NRO) has identified two documents consisting of a total of fifty-seven pages, which include National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency equities. These are being provided to you for your review, treatment, and return to the NRO. These documents are also being forwarded for review by the National Security Agency and the Central Intelligence Agency prior to our final release determination.

(U) Per Department of Justice guidance, agencies are encouraged to place consultations in their queue based on the date of the initial request, in this instance, 22 October 2012.

(U) If you have any questions, please contact the Case Analyst, via email or by phone at and reference case number F13-0014.

Douglas J. Davis
Chief, Information Review and Release Group

Attachments:
1. (U) Richelson request dtd. 3 January 2013
2. (U) Two articles for review (NRO-treated), 57 pages

Approved for Release: 2017/12/01 C05100844
MEMORANDUM FOR THE NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY  
Chief, Freedom of Information Act/Privacy Act Office  

SUBJECT: (U) Request for FOIA Consultation  
Mr. Jeffrey Richelson  

REFERENCE: (U) National Reconnaissance Office  
Case Number F13-0014  

(U) In our search for records responsive to a Freedom of Information Act request from Mr. Jeffrey Richelson, dated 22 October 2012, the National Reconnaissance Office (NRO) has identified two documents consisting of a total of fifty-seven pages, which include National Security Agency equities. These are being provided to you for your review, treatment, and return to the NRO. These documents have also been forwarded for review by the National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency and the Central Intelligence Agency prior to our final release determination.  

(U) Per Department of Justice guidance, agencies are encouraged to place consultations in their queue based on the date of the initial request, in this instance, 22 October 2012. (b)(3) 10 USC 424  

(U//FOUO) If you have any questions, please contact the Case Analyst, [REDACTED] via email at [REDACTED] or by phone at [REDACTED] and reference case number F13-0014.  

[Signature]
Douglas J. Davis  
Chief, Information Review and Release Group  

Attachments:  
1. (U) Richelson request dtd. 3 January 2013  
2. (U) Two articles for review (treated for NRO equities), 57 pages  
3. (U) Two responsive articles (clean versions for reference, 57 pages
Good morning,

I have attached the Consultation response to the above subject. I have broken the response down into two emails.

Thanking you in advance for your support.

All (b)(3) redactions on this page pursuant to 10 USC 424
NGA-20140164C

February 3, 2015

Information and Release Group
National Reconnaissance Office
14675 Lee Road
Chantilly, VA 20151-1715

RE: Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) consultation to the National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency (NGA # 20140164C) from National Reconnaissance Office (Case # F13-0014) Jeffrey Richelson

Dear

This letter is in response to the consultation received from the National Reconnaissance Office on February 28, 2013, in which we were asked to review two documents (57 pages) under consideration for release to the requester.
Sincerely,

Public Release Officer and FOIA Initial Denial Authority

3 Enclosures

1. NRO request for consultation (U)
2. Redacted versions of 2 documents provided for consultation (TS//SI//TK//NF)

All (b)(3) redactions on this page pursuant to 10 USC 424