

From: [REDACTED]
 Sent: Wednesday, February 10, 2016 2:58 PM
 To: [REDACTED]
 Subject: CBJB Cases - Status ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

(b)(3)

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
 Flag Status: Flagged

Tracking:

Recipient

Read

Read: 3/15/2016 9:01 AM

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~
 =====

(b)(3)

Here is an excerpt from the CBJB status (chart below). The top two are shaded and closed for some time. The case highlighted in yellow can move forward to Aftergood; ODNI approval came in mid-Nov 2015 and was placed in the FOIA case file. I will prepare the doc and do the final letter and give it to you, probably on Tuesday.


There are only two cases from Greenewald—F13-0112 is with Patti; the other (F14-0016) is ON HOLD.

You can see the corresp folder for F13-0112 for the latest corresp sent to Greenewald—re asking him to accept the partial review (which he denied).

CBJB RELEASE & STATUS

FY2013	S. Aftergood	FY2013	PARTIAL REVIEW / (Unclass portions)	Released on 4 Dec 2012
FY2014	S. Aftergood	FY2014	PARTIAL REVIEW / (Unclass portions)	Released on 18 Nov 2015
F13-0112	J. Greenewald	FY2013	FULL REVIEW	Completed DOD/ODNI review & approval; Currently with Chief, IRRG for final approval
F14-0016	J. Greenewald	FY2014	FULL REVIEW	ON HOLD – NO ACTION TAKEN
F15-0065	S. Aftergood	FY2016	PARTIAL REVIEW (Unclass portions)	To DOD/ODNI for review & approval (20 Oct 2015) ODNI approved mid-November 2015 Will move forward with closure to requester.

(b)(3)



=====
Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

(b)(3)
(b)(3) 50 USC ± 3605

[redacted]

From: [redacted]
Sent: Friday, March 11, 2016 12:48 PM
To: [redacted]
Cc: COMM-IMSO-IRRG-FOIA
Subject: (U) RESPONSE REQUIRED: Updated completion date of one of NRO's 10 oldest ---
~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~
=====

[redacted]

We really need an updated completion date on this case to pass on to the requestor. F13-0070 (our case 74487) McElhatton - Approval queue awaiting senior review February 2016. Please keep in mind that all agencies (including representatives from NSA) agreed to work on closing out other agency's 10 oldest cases received as consults.

We appreciate your time.

(b)(3)
(b)(3) 50 USC ± 3605

[redacted]

From: [redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, March 02, 2016 10:54 AM
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: RE: (U) RE: RESPONSE REQUIRED: Status Request on 7 of NRO's 10 oldest --- ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

(b)(3)
(b)(3) 50 USC ± 3605

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~
=====

[redacted]

In December, you provided NSA's estimated completion dates for the consults in our 10 oldest cases. You estimated one of these cases would be completed in February. Since we have not yet received NSA's response on this case, would you please provide us an updated estimated response date?

Thanks,

[redacted]

From: [redacted]
Sent: Thursday, December 17, 2015 9:22 AM
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: RE: (U) RE: RESPONSE REQUIRED: Status Request on 7 of NRO's 10 oldest --- ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

[redacted]

(U) Estimate completion dates added below. Regards - [redacted]

(b)(3)
(b)(3) 50 USC ± 3605

F12-0103 (our case 70374) Ravnitzky - Approval queue awaiting senior review April 2016
F12-0139 (our case 70327) Greenewald - Approval queue awaiting senior review April 2016
F13-0014 (our case 70013) Richelson - Approval queue awaiting senior review April 2016
F13-0066 (our case 70608) Richelson - Backlog June 2016
F13-0070 (our case 74487) McElhatton - Approval queue awaiting senior review February 2016
F13-0072 (our case 75615) Ravnitzky - Backlog June 2016
F13-0084 (our case 73291) Richelson - Backlog June 2016

(b)(3)
(b)(3) 50 USC ± 3605

From [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 11:28 AM
To [REDACTED]
Cc [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: (U) RE: RESPONSE REQUIRED: Status Request on 7 of NRO's 10 oldest --- ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~
=====

Thank you [REDACTED]. could you please provide an estimated completion date we can pass along to the requestors?

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~ (b)(3)
(b)(3) 50 USC ± 3605
=====

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~
=====

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

[Redacted]

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Thursday, May 12, 2016 1:23 PM
To: [Redacted]
Subject: RE: Case F-16-00058 - Greenwald FOIA Request --- ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

(b)(3)

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~
=====

Thanks [Redacted] I'll take a look [Redacted]

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Thursday, May 12, 2016 1:22 PM
To: [Redacted]
Subject: Case F-16-00058 - Greenwald FOIA Request --- ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

(b)(3)

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~
=====

[Redacted]-FYI,

I re-assigned subj FOIA case to you with response letter prepared. I elaborated somewhat in the no-records paragraph regarding the linked document he requested. You may want to change the wording.... I added a comment (in red) on COMM's TIER response regarding COMM's search....they went directly to [Redacted] and no records found (see note for details).

(b)(3)

[Redacted]

(b)(3)

=====
Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~
=====

=====
Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~
=====

[redacted]

From: [redacted] (b)(3)
Sent: Tuesday, May 24, 2016 6:55 AM (b)(3) 50 USC ± 3605
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: RE: (U) RESPONSE REQUIRED: Updated completion dates of NRO's 10 oldest ---
~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~
=====

[redacted]

We received all three parts.

Thank you so much for sending them via email!

[redacted] (b)(3)
(b)(3) 50 USC ± 3605

From: [redacted]
Sent: Monday, May 23, 2016 3:54 PM
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: RE: (U) RESPONSE REQUIRED: Updated completion dates of NRO's 10 oldest --- ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

[redacted] please confirm receipt of my three emails! Thanks (b)(3)
(b)(3) 50 USC ± 3605

From: [redacted]
Sent: Monday, May 23, 2016 1:47 PM
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: RE: (U) RESPONSE REQUIRED: Updated completion dates of NRO's 10 oldest --- ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

[redacted]
~~(U//FOUO)~~ We can try. The document is 135 pages so how about we send it to you in two or three parts? [redacted]

[redacted] (b)(3)
(b)(3) 50 USC ± 3605

(b)(3)

(b)(3) 50 USC ± 3605

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Monday, May 23, 2016 1:28 PM

To: [REDACTED]

Cc: [REDACTED]

Subject: RE: (U) RESPONSE REQUIRED: Updated completion dates of NRO's 10 oldest --- ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~
=====

[REDACTED]

We have not received the mailed response for 74487 (it's been about 4 weeks), would it be possible to send it via email to [REDACTED]

Thanks!

(b)(3)

(b)(3) 50 USC ± 3605

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Monday, May 09, 2016 9:02 AM

To: [REDACTED]

Cc: [REDACTED]

Subject: RE: (U) RESPONSE REQUIRED: Updated completion dates of NRO's 10 oldest --- ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

(b)(3)

(b)(3) 50 USC ± 3605

[REDACTED]

(U//FOUO) Response to case 74487 was placed in our outgoing mail on 21 April. It should have left [REDACTED] NLT 25 April so I expect you'll see that one soon. If not, let us know and we will check to see if we received the sign transmittal form from NRO. I've pulled 70374, 70327, and 70013 and will move them along. We'll shoot you an email when they are mailed out. No change in the ECD for the remaining cases. Regards - [REDACTED]

(b)(3)

(b)(3) 50 USC ± 3605

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Monday, May 09, 2016 7:29 AM

To: [REDACTED]

Cc: [REDACTED]

Subject: (U) RESPONSE REQUIRED: Updated completion dates of NRO's 10 oldest --- ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~
=====


In December, you provided NSA's estimated completion dates for the consults in NRO's 10 oldest cases. As recently as March, you estimated some of these cases (highlighted in yellow) would be completed in April. Since we have not yet received NSA's response on these cases, would you please provide us an updated, estimated completion date (ECD)?

70374	F12-0103	Ravnitzky	27 May 2012	6 docs 377 pages	Approval queue awaiting senior review <u>April 2016</u>
70327	F12-0139	Greenewald	13 September 2012	2 docs 13 pages	Approval queue awaiting senior review <u>April 2016</u>
70013	F13-0014	Richelson	22 October 2012	2 docs 57 pages	Approval queue awaiting senior review <u>April 2016</u>
70608	F13-0066	Richelson	20 March 2013	1 doc 6 pages	Backlog <u>June 2016</u>
74487	F13-0070	McElhatton	29 March 2013	3 docs 135 pages	Approval queue awaiting senior review <u>April 2016</u>
75615	F13-0072	Ravnitzky	28 March 2013	5 docs 11 pages	Backlog <u>June 2016</u>
73291	F13-0084	Richelson	30 April 2013	4 docs 12 pages	Backlog <u>June 2016</u>

As you are aware, both ODNI and DOD have recently issued guidance about maintaining separate consult queues so that consult cases (especially those consults that remain in the sending agency's 10 oldest list) do not stagnate in a large backlog of requests.

We look forward to receiving your responses on these cases.

Thanks,



(b)(3)

=====
Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

=====
Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

=====
Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

[redacted]

From: [redacted]
Sent: Friday, June 03, 2016 4:21 PM
To: [redacted]
Subject: RE: (U) consultation inquiry from NSA --- ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~
Attachments: ATT 1 - NSA referral memo 75643R-2.pdf; ATT 2 - NRO F14-0024 RESPONSE (GREENEWALD).pdf

Tracking:

Recipient

Read

(b)(3)
(b)(3) 50 USC ± 3605

Read: 6/6/2016 9:58 AM
Read: 6/13/2016 9:09 AM
Deleted: 7/3/2016 8:09 PM
Deleted: 10/3/2016 8:13 PM


Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~
=====

Hi [redacted]

(b)(3)
(b)(3) 50 USC ± 3605

(U) I've located the referenced case. The Intellink document on CORONA was forwarded to the NRO as a *referral*, for NRO review, treatment and direct response to Mr. Greenwald (see ATT. 1). Accordingly, we responded to Mr. Greenwald on 19 February 2014 (see ATT. 2).

(U) Hope this helps! Any questions, let me know.



From: [redacted]
Sent: Friday, June 03, 2016 2:18 PM
To: COMM-IMSO-IRRG-FOIA
Subject: (U) consultation inquiry from NSA

(b)(3)
(b)(3) 50 USC ± 3605

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

Good afternoon.

~~(U//FOUO)~~ I am writing to follow up on a consultation from NSA that we sent to you via hardcopy on 17 December 2013 – our case number 75643R-2. The document is an article from the UNCLASSIFIED level of Intellipedia on CORONA, which had been requested by Mr. John Greenwald of “The Black Vault”.

~~(U//FOUO)~~ Please provide a status of this request. Additionally, there is another Intellipedia article that is also responsive to this request - an article from the TOP SECRET level of Intellipedia, also on CORONA. That document has been reviewed [redacted] and we will be forwarding it to you to review for your (b)(3) agency's equities. Please inform if you would prefer we sent it via hardcopy or via email.

(U) I look forward to your response.

[redacted]

(b)(3)
(b)(3) 50 USC ± 3605

(U) Please forward large files to my dropbox

~~(U//FOUO)~~

[redacted]

~~(U//FOUO)~~

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

=====
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOUO~~

[redacted]
From: [redacted]
Sent: Monday, June 06, 2016 3:12 PM
To: [redacted]
Subject: RE: (U) consultation inquiry from NSA --- ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

(b)(3)
(b)(3) 50 USC + 3605

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

(U) Thank you! I will have our files updated.

[redacted]
(U) Please forward large files to my dropbox

~~(U//FOUO)~~

[redacted]
(b)(3)
(b)(3) 50 USC + 3605

~~(U//FOUO)~~

From: [redacted]
Sent: Monday, June 06, 2016 3:08 PM
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: RE: (U) consultation inquiry from NSA --- ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~
=====

Hi, [redacted]
~~(U//FOUO)~~ Best primary POC would probably be [redacted]
~~(U//FOUO)~~ You can include me as a second/alternate contact [redacted]
FYI, Patty Cameresi [redacted] replaced Doug Davis as
IRRG Chief.

[redacted]
(b)(3)
(b)(3) 50 USC + 3605

From: [redacted]
Sent: Monday, June 06, 2016 2:55 PM
To: [redacted]
Subject: RE: (U) consultation inquiry from NSA --- UNCLASSIFIED

(b)(3)
(b)(3) 50 USC + 3605

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

[redacted]

~~(U//FOUO)~~ Should we list you as the POC for FOIA correspondence? Or is it still Douglas Davis? We have him as our POC at [redacted]

Thanks for all your help!

[redacted]

(b)(3)
(b)(3) 50 USC + 3605

(U) Please forward large files to my dropbox

~~(U//FOUO)~~

[redacted]

~~(U//FOUO)~~

From: [redacted]
Sent: Friday, June 03, 2016 4:24 PM
To: [redacted]

COMM-IMSO-IRRG-FOIA

(b)(3)
(b)(3) 50 USC + 3605

Subject: RE: (U) consultation inquiry from NSA --- UNCLASSIFIED

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

=====
(U) BTW, [redacted], with regard to the new review document, email to [redacted] is the most efficient means of getting the document to us. For files too large for email, we've had success using Inteldocs.

[redacted]

From: [redacted]
Sent: Friday, June 03, 2016 2:18 PM
To: COMM-IMSO-IRRG-FOIA
Subject: (U) consultation inquiry from NSA

(b)(3)
(b)(3) 50 USC + 3605

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

Good afternoon.


~~(U//FOUO)~~ I am writing to follow up on a consultation from NSA that we sent to you via hardcopy on 17 December 2013 – our case number 75643R-2. The document is an article from the UNCLASSIFIED level of Intellipedia on CORONA, which had been requested by Mr. John Greenwald of “The Black Vault”.

~~(U//FOUO)~~ Please provide a status of this request. Additionally, there is another Intellipedia article that is also responsive to this request - an article from the TOP SECRET level of Intellipedia, also on CORONA. That document has been reviewed by CIA for their equities, and we will be forwarding it to you to review for your agency's equities. Please inform if you would prefer we sent it via hardcopy or via email.

(U) I look forward to your response.



(U) Please forward large files to my dropbox



(b)(3)
(b)(3) 50 USC ± 3605

~~(U//FOUO)~~

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

=====

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

=====

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

[Redacted]

From: [Redacted] (b)(3)
Sent: Monday, June 27, 2016 12:06 PM
To: [Redacted]
Cc: COMM-IMSO-IRRG-FOIA
Subject: F-2016-00054 - NRO FOIA Request for Consultation with OSD/JS --- ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~
Attachments: F-2016-00054_OSD-JS Consultation Request.doc; Att 1-FOIA Request Letter_Greenewald.pdf; Att 2-Responsive Docs 2 , Boxed-Treated.pdf
Importance: High
Categories: Reference Material

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~
=====

(U) Good Afternoon,

(U) Attached is our request for OSD/JS consultation in response to a FOIA request received from Mr. John Greenewald (originally sent to OSD/JS 20 June 2016). Details can be found in the Request for Consultation, attached.

Att 0 - OSD/JS Consultation Request
Att 1 - FOIA Request J. Greenewald
Att 2 - Responsive Doc, Boxed/Treated

(U) The new memo is addressed as requested. Request your acknowledgement of this e-mail and the three (3) attachments. Please provide us with a case number for tracking purposes.

V/r,

[Redacted]

-----Original Message-----

From: [Redacted] (b)(3)
Sent: Friday, June 24, 2016 1:14 PM
To: [Redacted]
Cc: COMM-IMSO-IRRG-FOIA
Subject: RE: F-2016-00054 - NRO Request for Consultation with DOD

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~
=====

I have discussed with [redacted] and I understand that you will give us a call in the future when you have something to be forwarded [redacted] (b)(3)

My point about the address is that there is no such office or position as the [redacted] (b)(3)
[redacted] If you had mailed the package to us under that title it would have not been distributed to our office

-----Original Message-----

From [redacted]

Sent: Tuesday, June 21, 2016 10:34 AM

To: [redacted] (b)(3)

Cc: [redacted]

Subject: RE: F-2016-00054 - NRO Request for Consultation with DOD --- ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

=====

Good morning [redacted]

(U) Sorry for the office misnomer on the consult request; and we do understand that your office does not do FOIA for all DoD entities. Given the content of this particular case, I believe [redacted] intent was quite obvious, and I believe this request has, indeed, arrived in the right place for review. (b)(3)

(U) As a member of the Intelligence Community, the NRO does not generally process FOIA cases via non-secure systems. We are also required to capture and maintain our FOIA administrative records and correspondence on classified systems. [redacted] (b)(3)

[redacted] We have utilized secure email for consultation with OSD/JS FOIA for a number of years with no problem. Would it help, in the future, if we call your office to notify you when we are transmitting something via classified email?

Thanks!

[redacted]

-----Original Message-----

From [redacted] (b)(3)

Sent: Tuesday, June 21, 2016 9:53 AM

To: [redacted]

Cc: COMM-IMSO-IRRG-FOIA

Subject: RE: F-2016-00054 - NRO Request for Consultation with DOD

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

=====

As this consultation is unclassified, you could have sent this via NIPR or fax'd it to our office. As I do not monitor this email account on a regular basis, it could be a week before it is viewed.

I note that your memo is addressed as follows:

[Redacted]

(b)(3)

There actually is no such office. We are the FOIA office for the OSD and the Joint Staff but not for the Department of Defense. If you want our office to review a record, please address it to the OSD/JS Requester Service Center or the OSD/JS Office of Freedom of Information. Our fax number is: [Redacted]

Thank you.

-----Original Message-----

From: [Redacted]

(b)(3)

Sent: Monday, June 20, 2016 12:24 PM

To: [Redacted]

Cc: COMM-IMSO-IRRG-FOIA

Subject: F-2016-00054 - NRO Request for Consultation with DOD ---UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO

=====

(U) Good Afternoon,

(U) Attached is our request for DOD consultation in response to a FOIA request received from Mr. John Greenewald. Details can be found in the Request for Consultation, attached.

Att 0 - DOD Consultation Request

Att 1 - FOIA Request_J. Greenewald

Att 2 - Responsive Docs, Boxed/Treated


(U) Please confirm receipt of request.

V/r,

[Redacted]

(b)(3)

(b)(3)



(b)(3)

=====
Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

=====
Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

=====
Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

=====
Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

=====
Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, July 11, 2016 9:30 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: 2016-02560 (Modify), (U) F-2016-00090 (Greenwald) - FOIA Search for records ---
~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

(b)(3)

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~
 =====

Hi [REDACTED]

Thank you for your email. Just because a document is responsive does not mean it is releasable. The FOIA has nine exemptions under which we can withhold information, of which Exemption 1 protects classified information. In order for BPO [REDACTED] to comply with the request, they just need to provide us with the responsive documents and we will take it from there. We will review the documents and redact any classified information (and other information as appropriate) and coordinate with OS&CI for a security review before the documents are released to the requestor.

(b)(3)

Regards,

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, July 11, 2016 9:06 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: 2016-02560 (Modify), (U) F-2016-00090 (Greenwald) - FOIA Search for records --- ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~
 =====

Hi [REDACTED]

(b)(3)

BPO [REDACTED] has received Subject TIER, *2016-02560 (Modify), (U) F-2016-00090 (Greenwald) - FOIA Search for records*, and is in process of responding but we're unsure how BPO [REDACTED] can comply with this request. The information being requested, *Office of Inspector General Audit of the National Reconnaissance Office Fiscal Year 2014 Financial Statements closed 11/14/14* is classified. I don't think the requestor has the appropriate clearances to receive this document. How do we respond/comply to this request? Any advice/suggestions would be greatly appreciated.

Thanks,

(b)(3)



=====
Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

=====
Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

[redacted]

From: [redacted]
Sent: Monday, July 11, 2016 3:19 PM
To: [redacted]
Subject: RE: (U) Consultation from NSA - our case 75643-R3 --- ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

(b)(3)
(b)(3) 50 USC ± 3605

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

Thank you [redacted]

[redacted]
(b)(3)
(b)(3) 50 USC ± 3605

(U) Please forward large files to my dropbox

~~(U//FOUO)~~

[redacted]

~~(U//FOUO)~~

From: [redacted]
Sent: Monday, July 11, 2016 2:58 PM
To: [redacted]

Subject: RE: (U) Consultation from NSA - our case 75643-R3 --- ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

H [redacted]

(b)(3)
(b)(3) 50 USC ± 3605

(U) I've added this case to our processing queue. The NRO case number is F-2016-00115.

(U) Have a great week!

[redacted]

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Friday, July 08, 2016 11:50 AM

To: COMM-IMSO-IRRG-FOIA

Subject: (U) Consultation from NSA - our case 75643-R3

(b)(3) 50 USC ± 3605

NRO,

(U) Attached please find an NSA consultation on a FOIA request from Mr. John Greenwald for Intellipedia pages on Corona, along with the original request and a copy of the responsive document with noted redactions. Please review the document for NRO equities and respond back to this agency.


(U) I would appreciate acknowledgement of your receipt of this email.

(U) If you have any questions about this, please contact me.



(U) Please forward large files to my dropbox

~~(U//FOUO)~~



(b)(3) 50 USC ± 3605


~~(U//FOUO)~~

=====
Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

~~(U//FOUO)~~

(b)(3) 50 USC ± 3605



~~(U//FOUO)~~

=====

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, July 12, 2016 11:24 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: COMM-IMSO-IRRG-FOIA
Subject: FW: F-2016-00115 Consult request from NSA - Greenewald, Intellipedia entry for CORONA --- ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

(b)(3)

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~
=====

[REDACTED] (b)(3)

(b)(5)

[REDACTED]

Sincerely [REDACTED]

(b)(3)



"All right, they're on our left, they're on our right, they're in front of us, they're behind us...they can't get away this time"
LtGen Lewis B. "Chesty" Puller, USMC (at Chosin Reservoir)

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, July 12, 2016 9:31 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: F-2016-00115 Consult request from NSA - Greenewald, Intellipedia entry for CORONA ---
~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

(b)(3)

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~
=====

Concur with first level.

[redacted]

From: [redacted]

Sent: Tuesday, July 12, 2016 8:46 AM

To: [redacted]

Cc: [redacted]

Subject: RE: F-2016-00115 Consult request from NSA - Greenwald, Intellipedia entry for CORONA ---
~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

(b)(3)

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

=====

1st level review completed, [redacted] The case has been forwarded to [redacted] in CADRE.

(b)(3)
(b)(5)

Regards,

[redacted]

(b)(3)

From: [redacted]

Sent: Monday, July 11, 2016 3:32 PM

To: [redacted]

Cc: [redacted]

Subject: F-2016-00115 Consult request from NSA - Greenwald, Intellipedia entry for CORONA ---
~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

=====

(b)(3)

[redacted] 1st and [redacted] 2nd, due 7/22/16 and 5 pages

Please review for NRO equities only.

Sincerely, [redacted]

[redacted]

(b)(3)

EVER VIGILANT



"All right, they're on our left, they're on our right, they're in front of us, they're behind us...they can't get away this time"
LtGen Lewis B. "Chesty" Puller, USMC (at Chosin Reservoir")

=====
Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

=====
Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

=====
Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

=====
Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

[redacted]

From: [redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, July 13, 2016 8:11 AM
To: [redacted]
Subject: RE: F13-0112 - Greenwald request for 2013 CBJB --- ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

(b)(3)

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~
=====

Thanks! It appears I am able to print again, so I'll get this ready for Patty.

[redacted]
From: [redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, July 13, 2016 6:36 AM
To: [redacted]
Subject: RE: F13-0112 - Greenwald request for 2013 CBJB --- ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

(b)(3)

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~
=====

Yes, that was the revised letter! The exemption note looks good to me!

From: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, July 12, 2016 1:40 PM
To: [redacted]
Subject: RE: F13-0112 - Greenwald request for 2013 CBJB --- ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

(b)(3)

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~
=====

(U) Not sure if I'm seeing the revised letter..... can you verify, please? I did a couple of quick fixes on the document that I think adequately address the matter of the exemption citations; one note on the first redacted page that covers nearly all the redactions (see pdf page 6), and individual notations in those places that (b)(3) only is applicable.

[redacted]
From: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, July 12, 2016 11:20 AM
To: [redacted]
Subject: RE: F13-0112 - Greenwald request for 2013 CBJB --- ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

(b)(3)

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~
=====

Made a couple minor changes to the letter. We don't have to include the exemptions at the redaction site because it was previously released?

Good to go to [redacted] otherwise!

(b)(3)

From: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, July 12, 2016 9:42 AM
To: [redacted]
Subject: F13-0112 - Greenwald request for 2013 CBJB --- UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOUO~~

(b)(3)

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~
=====

[redacted]

(b)(3)
(b)(5)

=====
Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

=====
Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

=====
Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

=====
Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

=====
Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

(b)(3)

From:
Sent:
To:
Cc:
Subject:

Wednesday, July 13, 2016 10:30 AM

RE: ~~(S//TK//NF)~~ F-2016-00093 - IG Inspection of Program S --- ~~SECRET//TK//NOFORN~~

Classification: ~~SECRET//TK//NOFORN~~

Classified By: [redacted] (b)(3)

Derived From: Announcement - IG Inspection Project Number 2014-0006 N, dated 20141002

Declassify On: 25X1, 20641231

Thanks!

From:

Sent: Wednesday, July 13, 2016 10:29 AM

To:
Cc:

Subject: RE: ~~(S//TK//NF)~~ F-2016-00093 - IG Inspection of Program S --- ~~SECRET//TK//NOFORN~~

Classification: ~~SECRET//TK//NOFORN~~

Classified By: [redacted]

Declassify On: 25X1, 20641231

I contacted them again on Monday, but have not gotten a response; I will ping them again. [redacted]

(b)(3)

From:

Sent: Wednesday, July 13, 2016 10:23 AM

To:
Cc:

Subject: ~~(S//TK//NF)~~ F-2016-00093 - IG Inspection of Program S --- ~~SECRET//TK//NOFORN~~

(b)(3)

Classification: ~~SECRET//TK//NOFORN~~

Classified By: [redacted]

Declassify On: 25X1, 20641231

(b)(1)
(b)(3)
(b)(5)

(b)(3)

=====
Classification: ~~SECRET//TK//NOFORN~~

=====
Classification: ~~SECRET//TK//NOFORN~~

=====
Classification: ~~SECRET//TK//NOFORN~~

[REDACTED]

From:

Sent:

Wednesday, July 20, 2016 12:23 PM

To:

Cc:

Subject:

RE: Remaining Open CJBs --- ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

(b)(3)

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~
=====

[REDACTED]

(b)(3)

(b)(5)

From:

Sent: Wednesday, July 20, 2016 10:41 AM

To:

Cc:

Subject: Remaining Open CJBs --- ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

(b)(3)

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~
=====


Hi [REDACTED]

I looked into the remaining CJB cases and the following is the latest detail:

(b)(3)

(b)(5)

Both case files are on my shelf.



(b)(3)

=====
Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

=====
Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

[redacted]
From: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, July 26, 2016 2:21 PM
To: [redacted]
Subject: (U) RE: FOIA Referral to [redacted] - CASE #F-2016-00087_Greenewald Request ---
~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

(b)(3)

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

[redacted] (U) I left the FOIA Office in late May, but am sure [redacted] and the alias folks will help you out!

(b)(3)

Plus ça change, plus c'est la même chose.

~~(U//FOUO)~~

From: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, July 26, 2016 12:58
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: FOIA Referral to [redacted] - CASE #F-2016-00087_Greenewald Request --- ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

(b)(3)

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~
=====

(U) [redacted]

(b)(3)


(U) Attached please find an NRO referral of a FOIA request from Mr. John Greenewald for a "copy of the following videos in DVD format:

*Operation Safeguard 1 Technical Threats Abroad
Operation Safeguard 2 Technical Threats Working and Living Abroad
Operation Safeguard 3 Victory in Achorstan
Operation Safeguard 4 Technical Threats in a Coalition Environment
Operation Safeguard 5 Technical Security Summary
Operation Safeguard 6 Operation Safeguard Hard Target."*

(U) The original request is also attached and the responsive videos can be found on Intelink at the following link:

(U) I would appreciate acknowledgement of your receipt of this email. If you have any questions about this, please contact me.

V/r,



(b)(3)

=====
Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

[redacted]

From: [redacted] (b)(3)
Sent: Tuesday, August 02, 2016 12:36 PM (b)(3) 50 USC ± 3605
To: [redacted]
Cc: COMM-IMSO-IRRG-FOIA
Subject: NRO Case F-2016-00115; NSA Consult Case 75643-R3 --- ~~SECRET//TK~~
Attachments: F-2016-00115_Response.PDF

Classification: ~~SECRET//TK~~

Classified By: [redacted] (b)(3)
Derived From: INCG dated 20120213
Declassify On: 20411231
=====

(U) Good Afternoon [redacted]

(U) Attached is NRO's response to NSA Case 75643-R3. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

V/R

[redacted] (b)(3)
(b)(3) 50 USC ± 3605

From: [redacted]
Sent: Friday, July 08, 2016 11:50 AM
To: COMM-IMSO-IRRG-FOIA
Subject: (U) Consultation from NSA - our case 75643-R3

(b)(3) 50 USC ± 3605

Classification: ~~SECRET//TK~~

NRO,

(U) Attached please find an NSA consultation on a FOIA request from Mr. John Greenewald for Intellipedia pages on Corona, along with the original request and a copy of the responsive document with noted redactions. Please review the document for NRO equities and respond back to this agency.


(U) I would appreciate acknowledgement of your receipt of this email.

(U) If you have any questions about this, please contact me.



(U) Please forward large files to my dropbox

(b)(3) 50 USC ± 3605



(U//~~FOUO~~)

Classified By: 

Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52

Dated: 20130930

Declassify On: 20410701

Classification: ~~SECRET//TK~~

=====
Classification: ~~SECRET//TK~~

[redacted]

From: [redacted]
Sent: Thursday, August 04, 2016 1:22 PM
To: [redacted]
Subject: MTR 183049 has been submitted

(b)(3)

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Dear: [redacted]

A request for transportation has been submitted to the [redacted] for scheduling. The Global Material Tracking System (GMTS) has assigned 183049 as the request number which also serves as the tracking number.

(b)(3)

The following information may help you identify the material being shipped.

- Requestor: [redacted]
- Government Validator: [redacted]
- Description: case F14-0016
- Origin: [redacted]
- Destination: JOHN GREENEWALD ()
- Required Delivery Date: 08/10/2016

(b)(3)

Please contact [redacted] if you have any questions or concerns. If you would like to check the status of this request, click the following link. (b)(3)

[redacted]

Click below to view the NEW Transportation Management Manual!

(b)(3)

[REDACTED]

From:

Sent:

[REDACTED]
Monday, August 22, 2016 2:39 PM

To:

Subject:

[REDACTED]
Latest correspondence from J Greenewald re: F-2016-00058 --- ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

(b)(3)

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

=====
(U) I added the latest message from Mr. Greenewald and a proposed response letter to this closed appeal case, but I can't do anything else with it because the case is closed. It's not a litigation case (yet), but since this is a post-appeal action, do we have to open it as a litigation case to take any further processing actions in CADRE?

[REDACTED]

(b)(3)

=====
Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

[redacted]

From: [redacted] (b)(3)
Sent: Monday, September 19, 2016 4:03 PM (b)(3) 50 USC ± 3605
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: RE: (U) Please Provide Updated Completion Dates for NRO's 10 Oldest Cases in Your Consult Queue --- ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

Hi [redacted]
~~(U//FOUO)~~ We are trying to move these along. Four are in senior review and 73291 is still in first level review. Unfortunately our FOIA litigation workload, both direct and consults, has been significant and that has been our priority. Regards [redacted] (b)(3)
(b)(3) 50 USC ± 3605

[redacted]

[redacted] (b)(3)
(b)(3) 50 USC ± 3605

From: [redacted]
Sent: Friday, September 16, 2016 8:39 AM
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: FW: (U) Please Provide Updated Completion Dates for NRO's 10 Oldest Cases in Your Consult Queue --- ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~
=====

[redacted]

We're really hoping to get some of these old cases out the door and off our 10 oldest list this FY. Is there any reportable progress?

Please let me know soonest so we can prioritize our workload for the end of the FY.

Thanks.

[redacted]

(b)(3)

From: [redacted]

Sent: Tuesday, July 12, 2016 10:26 AM

To: [redacted]

Cc: [redacted]

Subject: RE: (U) Please Provide Updated Completion Dates for NRO's 10 Oldest Cases in Your Consult Queue ---

~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

(b)(3)

(b)(3) 50 USC ± 3605

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

=====

[redacted]

(b)(3)

(b)(3) 50 USC ± 3605

I really appreciate you taking the time on this and we certainly understand about difficult, competing priorities! We hope it will be possible for NSA to respond in the beginning of September in order for us to close out some of our ten oldest cases. Do you think this is tenable?

Thanks,

[redacted]

From: [redacted]

Sent: Thursday, July 07, 2016 4:22 PM

To: [redacted]

Cc: [redacted]

Subject: RE: (U) Please Provide Updated Completion Dates for NRO's 10 Oldest Cases in Your Consult Queue ---

~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

(b)(3)

(b)(3) 50 USC ± 3605

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

[redacted]

(b)(3)

~~(U//FOUO)~~ Status below:

70374 – in senior review

70327 – in senior review

70013 – in senior review

75615 – 1st level review completed, waiting to be pulled for senior review

73291 – still in 1st level review

~~(U//FOUO)~~ The estimated completion date for all the cases is now September 2016. Current NSA FOIA litigations as well as litigation consultations from other IC agencies have recently been our main priority. Sorry for our delay and we appreciate your patience. Also, please note that [redacted] has moved on to another NSA organization. We will have the new team lead in place in August. Regards - [redacted]

(b)(3)

(b)(3) 50 USC ± 3605

[redacted]

From: [redacted]

Sent: Wednesday, July 06, 2016 6:57 AM

To
Cc:**Subject:** (U) Please Provide Updated Completion Dates for NRO's 10 Oldest Cases in Your Consult Queue ---~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

(b)(3)

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

(b)(3) 50 USC + 3605

I'm following up on NSA's last status update for the consults in NRO's 10 oldest cases. In early May, you estimated some of these cases (highlighted in yellow) would be pulled to move them along. Since we have not yet received NSA's response on these cases, would you please provide us an updated, estimated completion date (ECD)?

70374	F12-0103	Ravnitzky	27 May 2012	6 docs 377 pages	Approval queue awaiting senior review <u>April 2016</u>
70327	F12-0139	Greenewald	13 September 2012	2 docs 13 pages	Approval queue awaiting senior review <u>April 2016</u>
70013	F13-0014	Richelson	22 October 2012	2 docs 57 pages	Approval queue awaiting senior review <u>April 2016</u>
75615	F13-0072	Ravnitzky	28 March 2013	5 docs 11 pages	Backlog <u>June 2016</u>
73291	F13-0084	Richelson	30 April 2013	4 docs 12 pages	Backlog <u>June 2016</u>

As you are aware, both ODNI and DOD have recently issued guidance about maintaining separate consult queues so that consult cases (especially those consults that remain in the sending agency's 10 oldest list) do not stagnate in a large backlog of requests.

We look forward to receiving your responses on these cases.

Thanks,

(b)(3)

=====
Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

=====
Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

=====

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~

Classification: ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~