

**From:** [redacted]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 23, 2005 1:29 PM  
**To:** Hall Cargill  
**Subject:** RE: Unclassified SIGINT Information --- UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOUO~~  
classification: UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOUO~~  
Cargill,

NSA's SCG states, "The fact that NSA has SIGINT relationships with Third Party nations, without specifying the Third Party nation = (U)", is about as close as you need to get. I think when I wrote the 1998 guide I approximated the NSA guidance and in the 2002 revision I left it out.



SIGINT Security  
*"Silentium est unicus castellum"*

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Hall Cargill  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 23, 2005 10:08 AM  
**To:** [redacted]  
**Subject:** Unclassified SIGINT Information --- UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOUO~~

(b)(3)

classification: UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOUO~~



I am reviewing the NRO's declassification guide for 2005 and have a question about the insert below, item e. Footnote 5 refers to a 1998 SIGINT declass guide that no longer exists, and I cannot find a justification for item e in the current 2001 guide. Worse, the item is written in a manner that is not clear: does the U.S. government have SIGINT relations, or does SIGINT have relations with foreign governments? Can you recommend a fix: delete, rewrite, whatever.

Appreciate your assistance.

Cargill Hall  
IMSC-Information Access and Release Team



(b)(3)

**Release:**

- a. (U) "Fact of" satellite SIGINT collection capability.<sup>3, 5</sup>

- b. (U) "Fact of" satellite COMINT collection capability.<sup>5</sup>
- c. (U) "Fact of" satellite ELINT collection capability.<sup>5</sup>
- d. (U) "Fact of" satellite FISINT collection capability.<sup>5</sup>
- e. (U) Generic references to SIGINT relations with unspecified foreign governments.<sup>5</sup>

~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~  
~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO~~