Many thanks for your excellent comments.
Information relating to the how NRP systems directly support of U.S. forces and by which NRP systems provide a critical information input to U.S. weapon systems which directly affects the accuracy or lethality of the weapon system. This information includes but is not limited to: NRP collectors, data provided (including formats), communications methods and limitations, recipients, weapon systems supported, planned uses, and vulnerabilities.

--- Original Message ---
From: [Redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, June 14, 2005 10:51 AM
To: [Redacted]
Cc: [Redacted]
Subject: FW: RRG changes to respond to iscap ——SECRET//TALENT-KEYHOLE//X1——
classification: SECRET//TALENT-KEYHOLE//X1——
I made some comments on your document titled "RRG Changes" and assigned paragraph classifications as I understood them. If you have any questions, please let me know. Thanks.

--- Original Message ---
From: [Redacted]
Sent: Friday, June 10, 2005 2:34 PM
To: [Redacted]
Cc: [Redacted]
Subject: FW: RRG changes to respond to iscap ——SECRET//TALENT-KEYHOLE//X1——
classification: SECRET//TALENT-KEYHOLE//X1——
I hate to bother you with this but I need your advice about how to get a quick but competent review of the (large number of) proposed changes to the RRG.(see memo to Cargill below). My MFR on the meeting with ISCAP is included below so you can get the full background. Hope all is going well with you.

<< File: Meeting with ISCAP reps06061van.doc >>

--- Original Message ---
From: [Redacted]
Sent: Friday, June 10, 2005 2:25 PM
To: [Redacted]
Cc: [Redacted]
Subject: RRG changes to respond to iscap ——SECRET//TALENT-KEYHOLE//X1——
classification: SECRET//TALENT-KEYHOLE//X1——
Cargill,
Per my MFR dated 6 June, I have developed a draft set of RRG changes to respond to ISCAP comments in the 2 June meeting. These are attached below. One document contains only the changed pages from the draft shown to ISCAP; the other is a completely new draft incorporating all the changes.
I think I've made a good start on what's needed but there's a great deal of work that needs to be done, including:
- Preliminary scrub (both editorial and substantive) by you, (Paragraph classification markings need work.)
- Substantive scrub by knowledgeable people in NRO security. (I've tried to base the recent changes on the NRO Virtual Classification Guide. My interpretations strongly need sanity checks from the real experts.)
- Check against the full set of ISCAP-recommended changes.
Pre-meeting with [Redacted] & possibly with an ISCAP rep.
Also note that [Redacted] plans to work on some changes and that [Redacted] has contacted someone about the proper classification of the LSG.
I'll be in North Carolina on Monday and Tuesday but I'll be in late morning on Wednesday after a doctor's appointment.
See you then.

<< File: RRG_ISO0 Mods Alpha mr060605.doc >> << File: rrgchanges061005.doc >>
Warning: This document may not be used as a source of derivative classification.
CL By: [Redacted]
CL Reason: 1.4(c)
DECL ON: X1
Derived From: NCG 5.1 01 May 00
SECRET//TALENT KEYHOLE///X1

Warning: This document may not be used as a source of derivative classification.
CL By: [Redacted]
CL Reason: 1.4(c)
DECL ON: X1
Derived From: NCG 5.1 01 May 00
SECRET//TALENT KEYHOLE///X1

Warning: This document may not be used as a source of derivative classification.
CL By: [Redacted]
CL Reason: 1.4(c)
DECL ON: X1
Derived From: NCG 5.1 01 May 00
SECRET//TALENT KEYHOLE///X1