Approved for Release: 2019/10/08 C05101491



HANDLE VIA BYEMAN - TALENT - KEYHOLE CONTROL SYSTEMS JOINTLY

(b)(1)

(b)(3)

(K) NATIONAL RECONNAISSANCE OFFICE

WASHINGTON, D.C.

THE NRO STAFF

January 30, 1990

MEMORANDUM FOR NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL STAFF (RICH DAVIS)

SUBJECT: "Unlisted" Suspect Site Inspection Requests Under START (U)

I enjoyed talking with you last month about the emerging START Suspect Site Inspection (SSI) regime, and appreciated your interest in NRO concerns. During our conversation, you asked if we had considered how "unlisted" SSI requests should be processed to protect sensitive programs and activities. This personal note replies to that and several related areas I though you would be interested in.

a. <u>RIGHT OF REFUSAL</u>: First, we recognize that the policy community has determined a need for SSI in the START Treaty, related to non-deployed missile limits.

b. <u>PROCESSING OF SSI REQUESTS</u>: Assuming that refusals will be exercised when necessary, we must be able to protect the existence or capabilities of sensitive programs as we formulate replies to Soviet requests.

(1) Several points are worth considering. First,

Second, it would be wise to consider the potential political consequences of making an SSI request. ("We told you the Soviets would cheat--you just didn't get there fast enough this time.") Thus, there may be relatively few SSI requests, in practice.

(2) Given that, it becomes practical to establish a mechanism where authority to approve/disapprove SSI requests rests with the cognizant cabinet official, with only NSC Staff involvement.

 1988 ABM Treaty Review. Naturally, any

 decision to reject an SSI could be overturned by the President.

 HANDLE VIA

 BYEMAN-IALENT-KEYHOLE

 CONTROL SYSTEMS JOINTLY

 1988 ABM Treaty Review. Naturally, any

 Generation to reject an SSI could be overturned by the President.

 CONTROL SYSTEMS JOINTLY

 CLASSIFIED BY BYEMAN-1

 PAGE 1
 of 4
 PAGEs

Approved for Release: 2019/10/08 C05101491

Approved for Release: 2019/10/08 C05101491

SECRET

EMAN . TALENT . KEYHOLE

HANDLE VIA

BY

•	essential.	
	c. <u>CRITERIA FOR ACCEPTING/REJECTING AN SSI REQUEST</u> : Requests for SSI should be accompanied by some evidence of specific "illegal ballistic missile activity," and identify particular suspect sites by building, not general geographic location. If the USG determines the US facility is not].
	conducting "illegal ballistic missile activity," the request should be refused and a good faith effort made to resolve the concern with the Soviets.	
	d. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR SSI:] (!

SECRET

HANDLE VIA BYEMAN - TALENT - KEYHOLE CONTROL SYSTEMS JOINTLY

absence of	illegal ballistic missi	a short time to verify the le activity
sites of le activity.	<u>imited</u> . This would for gitimate concern as to	annual SSI requests/inspection ce the Soviets to focus on ballistic missile-related more bearable the financial an inspections.
	(6)	
	the cost to the	nation could mount quickly.
· .		CONTROL NO BYE276

Approved for Release: 2019/10/08 C05101491

Approved for Release: 2019/10/08 C05101491 HANDLE VIA SFCREI BYEMAN-Talent-keyhole CONTROL SYSTEMS JOINTLY (b)(1)(b)(3)I hope that these perspectives will be of use to you. If needed, I would be pleased to discuss our concerns further. Please call me at if you have any questions. M JAMES L. RODGERS, Captain, USAF Deputy for National Security [/]Affairs (b)(3) cc: IC Rep to the NSC Staff We are wording there is uses through the interagency process, of course - but given our chat last month, thought you would have nome off-line comment appreciate nome off-line CONTROL NBYE27696/90 COPY 2 OF 2 COPIES of_4 PAGES page_4 CONTROL SYSTEMS JOINTLY CLASSIFIED BY BYEMAN - 1

Approved for Release: 2019/10/08 C05101491