		(b)(3)
From:		(b)(3) 31 USC § 711
Sent:	Friday, March 10, 2017 2:59 PM	(b)(3)
To: Cc:		(b)(3) (b)(3) 31 USC § 711
Subject:	RE: [Non-DoD Source] RE: GAO review of gove	
	tained in this email were disabled. Please verify the identification inks contained within the message prior to copying and	
rt ibn er til til framste de ser framste de ser framste framste framste framste framste framste framste framste		(b)(3)
Thank you very mu	ach, have a good weekend.	
		(b)(3) 31 USC § 711
Original Messa	ge	(b)(3)
From:	h 10 2017 02:54 DM Eastern Standard Time	(b)(0)
To:	h 10, 2017 02:54 PM Eastern Standard Time	(b)(3) 31 USC § 711
Cc: Subject: RE: [Non	-DoD Source] RE: GAO review of governmentwide spa	ace launch coordination
In attendance at the	meeting was:	
		(b)(3)
Please let me know i	f you need anything else.	
		(b)(3)
National Reconnaiss	ance Office	

1

From:	(b)(3) 31 USC § 711
Sent: Friday, March 10, 2017 10:41 AM To: Cc Subject: RE: [Non-DoD Source] RE: GAO review of governmentwide space launch coordination	(b)(3) (b)(3) 31 USC § 711
All active links contained in this email were disabled. Please verify the identity of the sende authenticity of all links contained within the message prior to copying and pasting the addre browser.	
All active links contained in this email were disabled. Please verify the identity of the sende authenticity of all links contained within the message prior to copying and pasting the addre browser.	•
	(b)(3)
My colleagues and I met with you, and a few others on March 1 st to talk about space law efforts. I don't think I heard all of the names correctly, do you happen to have a list of the NRO part	
Senior Analyst, U.S. Government Accountability Office - Acquisition & Sourcing Management	(b)(3) 31 USC § 711
From mailto Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2017 1:19 PM To Cc:	(b)(3) (b)(3) 31 USC § 711
Subject: RE: [Non-DoD Source] RE: GAO review of governmentwide space launch coordination	(b)(3) 31 USC § 711
I reviewed the questions below and realized we might not have all the answers based on who I have meeting tomorrow. I currently lined up who is the lead for the able to provide information on questions 1, 4, 6, and 7. The other questions deal with certification meetings and would likely be better suited for the OSL Director (Col Skeen) and the OSL	He will likely be (b)(3)
Please let me know if you would like me to still hold the meeting for tomorrow with questions that apply to Launch Acquisition coordination.	he can answer the

5105605	Approved for Release: 2019/04/03 C05113591	
		(b)(3)
National Reconnaissance Of	ffice	
From		(b)(3) 31 USC § 711
mailto Sent: Tuesday, February 28 To: Subject: RE: [Non-DoD Son	8, 2017 2:16 PM urce] RE: GAO review of governmentwide space launch coordination	(b)(3)
	l in this email were disabled. Please verify the identity of the send ontained within the message prior to copying and pasting the add	
Hi		(b)(3)
Below are our questions for	r the meeting tomorrow.	
Thanks,		(b)(3) 31 USC § 711
Space Launch Coordination Questions for NRO:	on review (GAO code 101245)	

- 1. What involvement did NRO have, if any, in drafting or reviewing the Air Force's report on government-wide space launch collaboration?
 - a. What are NRO's thoughts on the report?
 - b. Were any topics or areas of coordination not included in the report?
- 2. Regarding the GEEB, Summit meetings and LCSG:
 - a. What is NRO's role in these groups?
 - b. To what extent do these groups meet the coordination needs of the government launch community? What changes, if any, would you recommend?
 - c. Are these bodies governed by policy directives or any other formal mechanism? Are minutes kept at these meetings?
 - d. Please provide examples of decisions, major issues discussed, or changes that have been made based on the launch collaboration groups (GEEB, LCSG, or Summit)?
- 3. Regarding the JANNAF:

- a. Is NRO involved in JANNAF?
- b. How does the JANNAF relate to the above mentioned groups?
- c. Please provide examples of issues, if any, discussed by JANNAF that relate to NRO space launch and any relevant decisions made.
- 4. New Launch Certification and Coordination:
 - a. How is NRO involved in certifying new launch vehicles? Is NRO's involvement the same as in past certification processes?
 - b. Are the certification requirements changing at all? If so, are you changing your process based on what you're seeing from other groups' processes? Are there synergies to be had when reviewing each other's?
 - c. We understand there are separate certification processes for NRO, NASA and the Air Force. Why are multiple processes needed? How does the NRO certification process differ from the Air Force and NASA processes?
- 5. Regarding coordination in general:
 - a. What coordination mechanisms, other than the ones mentioned, are used in the government launch community, if any?
 - b. Are the coordination mechanisms currently in place adequate for unexpected challenges? For example, how effective were they in allowing for coordinated responses to the recent RD-180 availability issues?
 - c. If needed, could the established coordination bodies collaborate on more launch issues?
 - d. To your knowledge, to what extent is there coordination on small launch?
- 6. Are there any areas of coordination on space launch that could be improved? If so, what are they and how could they be improved?
- 7. How has the NRO been involved with Air Force planning for future phases of the EELV program?
- 8. What is NRO's position on the idea of combining all government space launch acquisition functions under a single new or existing organization?

C05105605

National Reconnaissance Office

1

Unclassified

Approved for Release: 2019/04/03 C05113591

C05105605	Approved for Release: 2019/04/03 C05113591	
		(b)(3)

C05105605

Denver, Colorado 80204

From	(b)(3)
Sent: Thursday, March 30, 2017 1:45 PM	
To Subject: RE: [Non-DoD Source] RE: Scheduling a Pgm Office Mtg	(b)(3) 31 USC § 71
Good to hear from you. If you are able, I'd like to get a high side conversation tomorrow. I'm week. I will reach out to the program office, but I'd like to get a good idea of your intent, bed lot going on and in order to facilitate something, it will be best to have a good understanding.	cause I do know they have a
V/R	
	(b)(3
National Reconnaissance Office	
rom nailto	(b)(3) 31 USC § 71
Sent: Thursday, March 30, 2017 12:48 PM	
o:	
Subject: RE: [Non-DoD Source] RE: Scheduling a Pgm Office Mtg	(b)(3
	sender, and confirm the
Subject: RE: [Non-DoD Source] RE: Scheduling a Pgm Office Mtg All active links contained in this email were disabled. Please verify the identity of the uthenticity of all links contained within the message prior to copying and pasting the	sender, and confirm the address to a Web
All active links contained in this email were disabled. Please verify the identity of the authenticity of all links contained within the message prior to copying and pasting the prowser. The provided Heads up on the property of the identity of identity	sender, and confirm the address to a Web (b)(3) 31 USC § 7
All active links contained in this email were disabled. Please verify the identity of the authenticity of all links contained within the message prior to copying and pasting the prowser.	sender, and confirm the address to a Web (b)(3) 31 USC § 71 our conversation and your (b)(3)
All active links contained in this email were disabled. Please verify the identity of the authenticity of all links contained within the message prior to copying and pasting the prowser. hanks for taking my call. As I mentioned, I'll be on TDY next week. I'll give heads up on the next to send a document request shortly.	sender, and confirm the address to a Web (b)(3) 31 USC § 7

2

Unclassified

Approved for Release: 2019/04/03 C05113591

C05105605	Approved for Release: 2019/04/03 C05113591	
		(b)(3) 31 USC § 711

C05105605

From	(b)(3) 31 US	C §
Sent: Monday, April 03 To: Cc: Subject: GAO Review	(b)(3) 31 US	C §
	(b)(3) 31 USC § 711	(b)
-	and have been coordinating our meetings for tomorrow and I wanted to confirm go. Our clearances should have been sent over. The GAO attendees I have going tomorrow are:	(b
Richard Horiucl	ni (b)(3) 31 US	C §
program, we were plan other fees and the cont obta we've received that infointerested in obtaining and contractor experies program. We understa	o meet with all three of our case study programs as well as for about 1 hour each. For each ning to walk through our understanding of their on-orbit incentives (at-risk amounts relative to tract value, the timing of these incentives, how the incentives are determined and earned). For ining a brief overview of the program histories and current status would be helpful because in bits and pieces. Also, for to the extent that folks have insight, we were information on how the prevailing acquisition philosophy at the time, the program history, staff nce, and budgeting considerations affected, if at all, the incentive structure for each and the staff who are in place today often weren't around when these decisions were being made	
program, we were plan other fees and the cont obta we've received that info interested in obtaining and contractor experies program. We understa so if there is no addition for we would like discuss some general to in the fee retention for	ning to walk through our understanding of their on-orbit incentives (at-risk amounts relative to tract value, the timing of these incentives, how the incentives are determined and earned). For ining a brief overview of the program histories and current status would be helpful because o in bits and pieces. Also, for to the extent that folks have insight, we were information on how the prevailing acquisition philosophy at the time, the program history, staffnce, and budgeting considerations affected, if at all, the incentive structure for each	e (b
other fees and the contobta we've received that infointerested in obtaining and contractor experies program. We understa so if there is no addition For we would like discuss some general to in the fee retention form and negotiating the variation.	ning to walk through our understanding of their on-orbit incentives (at-risk amounts relative to tract value, the timing of these incentives, how the incentives are determined and earned). For ining a brief overview of the program histories and current status would be helpful because o in bits and pieces. Also, for to the extent that folks have insight, we were information on how the prevailing acquisition philosophy at the time, the program history, staff nce, and budgeting considerations affected, if at all, the incentive structure for each and the staff who are in place today often weren't around when these decisions were being made all insight beyond what's in the contract files, please just let us know. to discuss how we're using the DCI data to make sure we've interpreted them correctly and to opics related to contract types and incentives (opportunities to earn previously lost fee, discretiomula, FPI contracts given DOD's push, how NRO's acquisition workforce are trained in developing	t (b
program, we were plan other fees and the cont obta we've received that info interested in obtaining and contractor experies program. We understa so if there is no addition for we would like discuss some general to in the fee retention for and negotiating the var Also, there were some would appreciate it.	ning to walk through our understanding of their on-orbit incentives (at-risk amounts relative to tract value, the timing of these incentives, how the incentives are determined and earned). For ining a brief overview of the program histories and current status would be helpful because of in bits and pieces. Also, for to the extent that folks have insight, we were information on how the prevailing acquisition philosophy at the time, the program history, staff nice, and budgeting considerations affected, if at all, the incentive structure for each and the staff who are in place today often weren't around when these decisions were being made and insight beyond what's in the contract files, please just let us know. To discuss how we're using the DCI data to make sure we've interpreted them correctly and to opics related to contract types and incentives (opportunities to earn previously lost fee, discretio mula, FPI contracts given DOD's push, how NRO's acquisition workforce are trained in developing ious contract types and incentives).	t (b
program, we were plan other fees and the cont obta we've received that info interested in obtaining and contractor experies program. We understa so if there is no addition for we would like discuss some general to in the fee retention for and negotiating the var Also, there were some would appreciate it.	ning to walk through our understanding of their on-orbit incentives (at-risk amounts relative to tract value, the timing of these incentives, how the incentives are determined and earned). For ining a brief overview of the program histories and current status would be helpful because on bits and pieces. Also, for to the extent that folks have insight, we were information on how the prevailing acquisition philosophy at the time, the program history, staff nice, and budgeting considerations affected, if at all, the incentive structure for each and the staff who are in place today often weren't around when these decisions were being made and insight beyond what's in the contract files, please just let us know. To discuss how we're using the DCI data to make sure we've interpreted them correctly and to opics related to contract types and incentives (opportunities to earn previously lost fee, discretion mula, FPI contracts given DOD's push, how NRO's acquisition workforce are trained in developing ious contract types and incentives). In the incentives of the extent that folks have insight, we were	t (b