



(b)(1)

(b)(3)





THE NRO STAFF

(8) NATIONAL RECONNAISSANCE OFFICE

WASHINGTON, D.C.

MEMORANDUM FOR MR. PLUMMER DR. COOK

SUBJECT: Itek's Versus Perkin-Elmer's Star Sensor for HEXAGON

REFERENCE: CHARGE 2594, 27 Mar 76

In response to Mr. Plummer's direction on 19 February for an independent evaluation of the Bendix/Itek star sensor, Maj Gen Kulpa has recommended proceeding with the Perkin-Élmer approach (TAB A). His recommendation is based on a management analysis which shows an inability to meet the schedule for SV-17. While I tend to agree with Maj Gen Kulpa's recommendation, I would be more comfortable with a first-hand review of the evaluation which was conducted.

With your concurrence, I will send the message at the right, asking for a one-hour briefing on this subject on Friday, 2 April 1976.

> WILLIAM L. SHIELDS, JR. Brigadier General, USAF Director

Attachment

HEXAGON/ HANDLE VIA CONTROL SYSTEM

CLASSIFIED BY BYEMAN - 1 EXEMPT FROM GENERAL DECLASSIFICATION SCHEDULE OF . EXECUTIVE ORDER 11652 EXEMPTION CATE-GORY 5B2 DECLASSIFY ON IMP DET.

(b)(1)CONTROL NO Inter(b)(3) COPY 1 OF 2 COPIES 

Approved for Release: 2019/05/01 C05118667

BE INCORPORATED WITH THE SSA. ADDING

Undoubtedly have significant impact on the hexagon vehicle and would

DATA WHICH PROBABLY WOULD REQUIRE

(b)(1)

(b)(3)

CONCEPT REQUIRING HIGH ACCURACY

EQUIRE FURTHER STUDY. IN GENERAL THE SSA CONCEPT HAS MANY OF THE SAME TYPE OF CONCERNS WHICH LApproved for Release: 2019/05/01 C05118667, NO PERFORMED.

3. COL BLANKENSHIP WAS A MEMBER OF THE TECHNICAL EVALUATION COMMITTEE AND CAN PROVIDE ANY FURTHER DETAILS YOU MAY DESIRE REGARDING THE TECHNICAL EVALUATION. THE TECHNICAL REVIEW WAS CONDUCTED LIKE A SOURCE SELECTION BOARD SO I WOULD SUGGEST THE NUMBER OF PEOPLE ALLOWED TO REVIEW THE COMMITTEE'S FINDINGS BE SENERELY RESTRICTED.

CENT

E IN EXPERAN

CONFECT PACIFIE

PAGE 3 CHARGE 2594 S E C R E T

4. MANAGEMENT/CONTRACTUAL ASPECTS - A SEPARATE REVIEW
OF THE MANAGEMENT AND CONTRACTUAL ASPECTS WAS PERFORMED AND
ARRIVED AT THE FOLLOWING CONCLUSIONS:

A. THE TIME REQUIRED TO STUDY AND SSA CONCEPT,

COMPLETE CONTRACTUAL REQUIREMENTS, AND COMPLETE MANUFACTURING AND TESTING WOULD EXCLUDE SSA EFFECTIVELY FOR SV-17.

TO INSURE SV-17 EFFECTIVITY WE MUST CONTINUE WITH S-CUBED
ON A SELECTED SOURCE PROCUREMENT.

B. PERKIN-ELMER SHOULD INTEGRATE THE STAR SENSOR INTO THE HEXAGON SENSOR SUBSYSTEM REGARDLESS WHO BUILDS THE STAR SENSOR.

C. ONLY TWO COMPETITIVE CONTRACTUAL ARRANGEMENTS
OF MANY REVIEWED ARE CONSIDERED POSSIBLE. ONE APPROACH WOULD BE
FOR US TO COMPETE THE SSA AND S-CUBED AND PROVIDE THE
HARDWARE AS GFE OR AS A DIRECTED SOURCE TO PE. A SECOND
APPROACH WOULD BE TO HAVE PERKIN-ELMER PROVIDE A STAR
SYSTEMS ON A MAKE OR BUY BASIS AND REQUIRE SSA, S-CUBED AND
POSSIBLY OTHER SENSORS TO BE COMPETED. SELECTED SOURCE
PROCUREMENT OF THE SSA WITHOUT COMPETITION COULD NOT BE
.USTIFIED.

5. THE SSA APPROACH IS ESTIMATED TO COST 32.0 MILLION

PAGE 4 CHARGE 2594 S E C R E T

DOLLARS. FUND PROTECTION FOR AN ADDITIONAL 5.2 MILLION

DOLLARS SHOULD BE PROVIDED FOR POSSIBLE MODIFICATIONS AND

UNKNOWNS. THE DETAILS OF OUR IN-HOUSE ESTIMATE IS SHOWN

6. A. BENDIX/ITEK SUBCONTRACTS

BELOW.

COST

AND OTHER SUBCONTRACT

STAR SENSOR ASSEMBLIES (4) 4.800 SUBTOTAL \$12.600

B. PERKIN-ELMER CAMERA SYSTEM INTEGRATION.

LABOR AND OVERHEAD 5.000 COMPUTER, TRAVEL AND OTHER .800

G&A AI 22.75 PCT 4.200 FEE 3.400

SUBTOTAL

C. LMSC MODIFICATION AND INTEGRATION

13. 400 6. 000

\$7.800M

Approved for Release: 2019/05/01 C05118667

(b)(1) (b)(3)

cetal Cémbleman

Catego System

Approved for Release: 2019/05/01 C05118667

D. CONTINGENCY (20 PCT OF CAMERA SYSTEM) 5.200 TOTAL \$37.200M 7. OUR COST ESTIMATE IS BASED ON THE FOLLOWING

echiest easien

ASSUMPTIONS:

A. BENDIX/ITEK WOULD BE SUBCONTRACTORS TO P.E. FOR CAMERA SYSTEM INTEGRATION.

PAGE 5 CHARGE 2594 S E C R E T

B. BENDIX/ITEK AND STAR SENSOR ASSEMBLIES
BOUGHT FOR ARE BASICALLY THE SAME SYSTEMS
THAT WILL BE USED IN THIS SSA APPROACH. MAJOR MODIFICATIONS ARE NOT ANTICIPATED.

C. HEXAGON SUSTAINING MANPOWER AT PERKIN-ELMER IS NOT NECESSARILY AVAILABLE FOR THE EFFORT. (THIS IS THE SAME BASIS AS OUR ORIGINAL S-CUBED ESTIMATE.)

D. LMSC MIDSECTION MODIFICATION AND INTEGRATION COST WOULD BE SOMEWHAT HIGHER THAN S-CUBED BECAUSE OF THERMAL IMPACTS.

8. IN SUMMARY, WE COULD FIND NO TECHNICAL ADVANTAGE TO

THE SSA OVER THE S-CUBED APPROACH, THE COST OF THE SSA IS NO LESS THAN THE S-CUBED, AND THE SSA COULD NOT BE WAILABLE BEFORE SV-18. THEREFORE, I RECOMMEND THAT WE PROCEED NO FURTHER ON THE BENDIX/ITEK SSA AND THAT WE CONTINUE WITH THE PRESENT DEVELOPMENT OF THE S-CUBED FOR SV-17 EFFECTIVITY.

E-2 IMPDET SECRET BT

NNNN 270217

HERE WAS BYENGEN

COMPANY SYSTEM

(b)(1)

(b)(3)

(b)(1)

(b)(3)