UNCLASSIFIED//FUR UPPLOTAL USE UNLY



NATIONAL RECONNAISSANCE OFFICE

Office of Inspector General 14675 Lee Road Chantilly, VA 20151-1715



29 June 2011

MEMORANDUM FOR CHIEF MANAGEMENT OFFICER, OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE

All redactions per (b)(3) 50 U.S.C 3024 (m)(1), (b)(6), & (b)(7)(c) unless otherwise indicated. PRINCIPAL DEPUTY GENERAL COUNSEL, OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE

INSPECTOR GENERAL, OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE

DEPUTY INSPECTOR GENERAL, OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE

ASSISTANT INSPECTOR GENERAL FOR INVESTIGATIONS,
OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE

SUBJECT: (U) Report of Investigation: False Claims - Time and (b)(3) 50 USC §3024(m) Attendance (Case Number 2011-056 I)

(b)(6) (b)(7)(c)

(U//FOVO) The National Reconnaissance Office (NRO) Office of Inspector General (OIG) initiated an investigation based on allegations of false claims by _______, a GS-15 Office of the Director of National Intelligence (ODNI) OIG employee. Because _______ was a member of that office, to avoid any question of partiality, consistent with that office's standard policy in such circumstances, the ODNI OIG Assistant Inspector General for Investigations referred this allegation to the NRO OIG in a memorandum dated 18 February 2011. Please see the attached NRO OIG Report of Investigation, which details the investigation results.

(b)(3) 50 USC §3024(m)

(b)(6) (b)(7)(c)

(U//FOUC) The Department of Justice declined prosecution of in favor of administrative action by the ODNI.

We are providing this final report for your information and for consideration of the recommendations included. The recommendations are considered advisory. As such, the recommendations do not require a response back to the NRO OIG.

(U//E000) OIG investigation reports are to be read only by the individuals to whom the OIG provides them, or to whom the OIG specifically authorizes their release. If there are other persons who you believe require access as part of their official duties, please let us know, and we will promptly review your request.

UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

UNCLASSIFIED//FUR 2018/07/05 C05093510_UNCLASSIFIED//FUR 2018/07/05 C0509350_UNCLASSIFIED//FUR 2018/07/05 C050900_UNCLASSIFIED//FUR 2018/07/05 C050900_UNCLASSIFIED/UNCLASSIF

SUBJECT:	(U) Report o	f Investigation:	False Claims - Time and
	Attendan	ce (Case Number 2	011-056 I)
(U// <u>J</u>	E OUO) If you	have any question	s concerning this report,
please cor	ntact	, Lead Inve	estigator, at
	(secure) or	, A	ssistant Inspector
General fo	or Investigat	ions, at	(secure).
		<u>~</u>	

Lanie D'Alessandro Inspector General (b)(3)

Attachment:

Report of Investigation:
 (Case Number 2011-056 I)

UNCLASSIFIED//FOR UPPER USE UNITY

SUBJECT: (U) Report of Investigation: False Claims - Time and Attendance (Case Number 2011-056 I)	
OIG/ 29 June 2011	(b)(3
DISTRIBUTION:	
Chief Management Officer, Office of the Director of National Intelligence	
Principal Deputy General Counsel, Office of the Director of National Intelligence	
Inspector General, Office of the Director of National Intelligence	
Deputy Inspector General, Office of the Director of National Intelligence	
Assistant Inspector General for Investigations, Office of the Director of National Intelligence	
OIG Official Record	(b)(3)

UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Approved for Release: 2018/07/05 C05093510_UNCLASSIFIED//EUR-UFFICIAL USE UNLY

(U) REPORT OF INVESTIGATION FALSE CLAIMS - TIME AND ATTENDANCE (CASE NUMBER 2011-056 I)

(b)(3) 50 USC §3024(m) (b)(6)

(U) EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

(b)(7)(c)

	(*),	,
	(U//FOUO) On 18 February 2011, the National Reconnaissance Office (NRO) Inspector General (OIG) received a referral from the Office of the Director National I (ODNI) OIG requesting NRO OIG investigate allegations that Advisor to the Inspector General (IG), ODNI, may have claimed more hours of comp time than reasonably earned.	ntelligence GS-15,
(h)(3) 50 H	SC §3024(m)	
(b)(6) (b)(7)(c)	(U//FOUO) NRO OIG analysis oftime and attendance record to Central Intelligence Agency (CIA), ODNI, and NRO facility ingress and egress record	cords
	indicated that she recorded 596 questionable hours on her time and attendance record 3 March 2008 to 25 February 2011. The questionable hours included shortages on da claimed to have worked compensatory time, unexplained absences during the day, an	ys she
	inappropriate charging of hours to excused absences when she was previously on app for the entire workday when there was an early dismissal for federal holidays. currently a GS-15, step 4 and, at the average 2008 through 2011 pay rates, the 596 qu	roved leave is
	hours equate to approximately \$36,000.	
h)/2)	1 11 1	
	SC §3024(m) provided an affidavit in which she explained that the	0
b)(6)	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	
b)(7)(c)	questionable hours were attributable primarily to time she worked "remotely," that is,	
	and elsewhere outside of badged facilities, and work-related phone calls and emails sl	
	after leaving the office. supervisors were unable to substantiate all of	
	compensatory hours for which she claimed to have received approval to work outside	
	also attributed some of the questionable hours to engaging in fitness act	
	outdoors, the inaccuracy of badge records or unintentional recording errors by her or	others.
	Further, she claimed she appropriately used excused absences.	
b)(6)	SC §3024(m) (U//FOUO) The investigation found sufficient evidence to support a conclusion actions violated <i>Title 18 United States Code 287, False, Fictitious, and</i>	
b)(7)(c)		l l
	Fraudulent Claims, as well as CIA Agency Regulation	(b)(3) 50 USC \(^1\) 350
	and ODNI policies.	
	(II//EOI) On 17 Mars 2011 the OIC briefed the United States Attains 2- O	ec.
	(U// EQUO) On 17 May 2011, the OIG briefed the United States Attorney's O	
	(USAO) for the Eastern District of Virginia, Alexandria, Virginia, regarding the inves	stigative

(U//FOUO) RECOMMENDATION

(U//FOUG) The OIG recommends the Chief Management Officer, ODNI, review the facts of this case and determine any appropriate disciplinary action.

findings. The USAO declined prosecution in favor of agency administrative action.

UNCLASSIFIED//FUR LIFFICIAL USE UNLY

(U) REPORT OF INVESTIGATION FALSE CLAIMS - TIME AND ATTENDANCE

	(U) BACKGROUND	(b)(3) 50 USC §3024 (b)(6) (b)(7)(c)	(m)
(b)(3) 50 U (b)(6) (b)(7)(c)	Inspector General (OIG) rece (ODNI) OIG regarding allega The OIG employee reporting that than reasonably earned. Duri OIG, an employee noted that compensatory time. The emp of time had spe mornings or closed the vault records for was not in the o with shortages occurring on a NRO OIG for investigation p to the staff of the ODNI OIG alleged action States Code (USC) 287, Falso anyone to make a claim that is SC §3024(m) (U//FOUO) since October 2005 as the (U) APPLICABLE STAND 1. (U) Title 18 USC 2	ODNI OIG advised it had recently received an allegation from an may have claimed more hours of compensatory time and overtime for the appeared to have claimed an excessive amount of ployee based this conclusion on personal observations of the amount ent in the office, noting that she never opened the vault in the at the end of the workday. A subsequent review of ingress/egress of the period of 1 October 2009 to 19 October 2010, indicated that office for approximately 215 hours that she had claimed to be there, a large majority of the days. The ODNI OIG referred this matter to cursuant to that office's policy to recuse itself in matters pertaining. Based on the referral, the NRO OIG initiated an investigation as mas, if true, would constitute a potential violation of <i>Title 18 United to Fictitious, and Fraudulent Claims,</i> which makes it unlawful for sex knowingly false to a federal agency. (b) is currently a GS-15, Step 4 civilian working in the ODNI OI(b) until joining the ODNI.	(b)(6) (b)(7)(c)
	anyone to make a claim that i	(b)(3) 50 L	JSC [⊥] 3507

Approved for Release: 2018/07/05 C05093510_UNCLASSIFIED//FUK UFFULAL USE UNLY

	(b)(3) 50 l
	3. (U) <i>ODNI Instruction No. 2008-03, Excused Absence for ODNI Civilian Fitness Program,</i> allows supervisors to authorize employees an excused absence of up to three hours a week for employees to participate in physical fitness activities. It does not allow additional time for travel to or from exercise facilities, showering, dressing or related activities. The physical fitness activity must begin and end at the place of work. Absences for physical fitness should be recorded as an excused absence in ELECTRON ² with comments indicating the absence was for physical fitness.
	4. (U) ODNI OIG Policy, Authorization for OIG GS Employees to Accrue Compensatory Time, requires employees to obtain their supervisor's approval for compensatory time "prior to the end of the pay period in which they perform the work."
	5. (U) ODNI OIG Policy for Temporary and Infrequent Work Out of an Employee's Home or at a Duty Station Closer to Home, allows OIG management, at its discretion, to authorize an employee to work from home on a temporary and infrequent basis, for medical or other appropriate reasons, for short durations, generally not exceeding one or two days.
	6. (U) ODNI early dismissal notices provide that early dismissals in recognition of federal holidays do not apply to employees who are absent on previously approved annual leave, sick leave, or compensatory time off for the entire workday. Employees will be charged leave or compensatory time for the entire workday. Also, employees who leave before their authorized early dismissal time will be charged leave for the period remaining before the early dismissal.
(b)(3) 50 U	JSC §3024(m)ON PRESENTED
(b)(6) (b)(7)(c)	(U//FOUO) Did submit time and attendance claims for hours she was not entitled to claim?
b)(3) 50 US b)(6) b)(7)(c) (b)(3) 50 U	Answer: Yes. While the initial review covered the period from October 2009 to October 2010, the final evidence recovered by the OIG investigation identified that between March 2008 and February 2011, inappropriately recorded or submitted to time and attendance administrators 596 hours into ELECTRON. These hours included claimed compensatory time worked outside the office, which was not approved in advance by her supervisors as required by ODNI policies. She also inappropriately charged hours as excused absence for early dismissals in violation of ODNI policies. admitted she did not review her time and attendance records when others entered her hours.

1 (U//EOUO) an Attorney in the ODNI Office of General Counsel, advised that the ODNI follows CIA regulations concerning work hours and compensatory time.

(b)(6)(b)(7)(c)

² (U//FOU) Electronic Time Reporting On Notes (ELECTRON) is a Lotus Notes-based time reporting system that allows the input of time and attendance data, performs validation of the time input, allows for online certification and authorization, and forwards time and attendance document data to the CIA's primary Payroll system also used by ODNI.

UNCLASSITIED//FUX UPFICIAL USE UNLY

All redactions per (b) (3) 50 U.S.C 3024 (m) (l), (b) (6), (b) (7) (c) & "IG Act" unless otherwise indicated.

estimate" when recording her hours, claiming that it was accurate. time and attendance records must record time actually worked.	requires that (b)(3) 50 USC \(^1\) 3507
(U) INVESTIGATIVE FINDINGS	
(U// EQUO) Interviews of	
(U//FOUO) On several occasions between 23 February and 26 April 2	011, the NRO OIG
interviewed regarding	time
and attendance. is	
23 November 2010. said that is a	He told the (b)(6)
OIG that he did not have any cause for concern with her performance.	a
very dedicated and committed employee, who is extremely efficient.	
(U//FOUO) ODNI OIG initiated a management inquiry into	time and
	ding their concerns
about compensatory time. During a review of compensatory to	time worked by
OIG staff, one employee noticed had claimed many hours of co	empensatory time in
2010. The employee commented that was never in the office early	arly to open the
suite, nor did she stay to close it.	
(U// E0UO)	
NRO OIG, noted that this investigation has caused him to ir	schoot the time and
attendance record of each employee with greater scrutiny before certifying the	•
attendance record of each employee with greater scruting before certifying the	eni.
(U//FOUT) said he rarely called at ho	me and never had
any lengthy telephone discussions with her. He told the OIG that he did not he	
compensatory time for calls she received at home. He did, however, approve	
to work from home on unclassified matters on four days during the period rev	
Also, said that at one time, he told for liability	
employees must account for all their time worked.	y reasons,
employees must account for an their time worked.	
(U// EQUO) The OIG advised that ded	ucted hours given
to employees for early release prior to holidays from her previously scheduled	
and that she charged for compensatory time worked when she did not take ad	
dismissal. told the OIG this is not allowable.	vantage of the earry
dismissar. told the Old this is not anowable.	
(U// EQUO) Interviews of	
(III/FOLIAT On savaral aggregions between 22 Eahmany and 10 April 2	Old the NPO OIG
(U/ FOUO) On several occasions between 23 February and 19 April 2	
interviewed ODNI, w	vho certified
111111	said the
required employees to keep track of their hours	
He further explained that employees are required to contact their supervisor of	r anyone else in the

office if they took unscheduled leave. That person would then send out an email advising the

otherwise indicated.

staff of that person's absence. If was unable to record her hours herself, she could send an email with her hours to one of the time and attendance recorders on the staff or to
(U//FOUT) Interview of
(U//EOUO) On 9 March 2011, the OIG interviewed assistant for the ODNI OIG One of duties was time and attendance administration. had access to ELECTRON to input
employees' hours when necessary, but did not have approval authority. explained that employees would send her an email or call her with their hours if they were unavailable to enter them at the end of the pay period. entered the hours and then was "pretty good" about
However, was gone for an extended period last summer when never had any concerns about time and attendance, nor did she ever notice anything out of the ordinary.
(U//FOUO) On 14 March and 25 April 2011, the OIG interviewed who was the ODNI, and said that was a very hard worker and quite efficient. He believed her work hours were from 6:30 AM to 3:30 PM. He recalled that she went out to lunch at times, but also ate lunch at her desk occasionally. He never had any discussions with her about the 30-minute meal break. office was at the other end of the hall from his. She always turned off her lights
and closed her door when she left for the day. arrived to work between 6:15 and 8:00 AM and was usually the last one to leave every day. did not stay late very often.
(U// EQUO)
recording the hours. When asked about calling
(U//FOUO) to work from home on an "episodic basis" maybe 12, but no more than 20 to 25 days over the entire period she worked received approval for this from Ms. Roslyn Mazer, IG, ODNI. required was conducting unclassified

(b)(6)

unless otherwise indicated.

research and writing proposed Congress.	l legislation for the Intelligence Comm	unity IG for submission to
	noted that he worked on the compensa ameters for employees to claim it. ll as the teleworking policy.	tory time policy with did the legal
	ed whether he was aware that es aid he was not. When asked wheth al fitness,	participated in excused er he knew she was claiming
OIG he believed there was one	e for holidays when she had already so e occasion when he had a conversation at it was not permitted. He thinks	
U// FOUO) Interview of		
	ril 2011, the OIG interviewed	ODNI who has been
at ODNI since April 2009. was the ODNI IG, brought regularly, but not necessarily ends and more regular contact.	on board as one of his first employ every day with Her con	Ned McGuire, the previous rees. interacts interacts were sporadic.
(U//EOUO) When first came of		ies changed over time. of responsibility: front
	red on from administrative issues. In the	
(U//FOUO) It is hard for to est	rarely calledat home is imate how many times she had to cont	n the evenings or weekends.
³ (U//FOUO) OIG reviewed ELECT	TRON statements from March 2008 – February	y 2011 which disclosed no fixes

relating to compensatory time worked.

UNCLASSIFIED//FUX UFFICIAL USE UNLY

All redactions per (b) (3) 50 U.S.C 3024 (m) (l), (b) (6), (b) (7) (c) & "IG Act" unless otherwise indicated.

work hours since it occurred in cycles with Congresspreparing briefings, reports, or opining on	
legislation—it takes years to get a bill passed. sometimes sent a	
courtesy copy of emails to her unclassified Government Enterprise E-Mail (uGov) account when	
she was corresponding with or did not recall talking to	
about compensatory time for phone calls or emails outside the office.	
stated that there may have been times when approved compensatory time for	
absences. Further, never had any discussions with about excused was knowledgeable concerning excused absences	
and provided ODNI guidance for the office.	
and provided ODIVI guidance for the office.	
(U//FOUO) recalled at least one occasion where she approved for	
to bring her to work. had seen one	(b)(6)
other time at the office. In addition, brought in	(10)(10)
When asked whether she was aware	
charged for working two hours that day, opined that it was possible	
may have done some work like checking her email with her	(b)(6)
(U//EOUO) described as honorable, exceptionally diligent and	
efficient. She added that had high standards and is dedicated to the mission.	
had no reason to question her integrity.	
(U) Review of Available Records	
(U//FOUO) The OIG obtained ingress and egress records from the CIA, ODNI facility at Liberty Crossing, and the NRO for 2 March 2008 through 25 February 2011. These records reflect the times when entered or exited these facilities. The OIG compared the ingress and egress, ODNI OIG office calendar, Lotus Notes emails, calendar entries, SameTime chat correspondence, and time and attendance records and identified 596 questionable hours. The 596 hours equates to 10 percent of her time, which includes a credit for 25 nine hour days (225 hours) based on statement to OIG that he approved for to work from home for up to 25 days during his tenure is a GS-15 step 4 and at the average pay rates in effect during the periods in question the 596 questionable hours equates to approximately \$36,000.	
(U//FOUG) Investigator's Note: The 596 questionable hours do not take into account the time OIG was able to identify from Lotus Notes, calendar and SameTime chats that she spent participating in the Agency Christian Fellowship (ACF) or other Bible studies with friends, having lunch in the cafeteria, and interviewing employees for a book she had intended on writing. This time would be additional time during which was present in the workplace and not conducting official work. In addition, the OIG reviewed Agency Internet Network (AIN) account which consisted of 5.15 gigabytes of material. There were dozens of personal folders and documents related to her church activities saved on her AIN.	
(U//FOUO) OIG located several emails in Lotus Notes from 4 May 2007 to 2 April 2008 regarding her work on the ODNI OIG compensatory time policy (Appendix 1).	

UNCLASSIFIED//FUK OFFILEASE ONLY

All redactions per (b) (3) 50 U.S.C 3024 (m) (l), (b) (6), and (b) (7) (c) unless otherwise indicated.

(U//FOUO) OIG reviewed security file, which disclosed she filed numerous Forms 879 for Outside Activities. The dates filed and summary of some of the activities are as follows:	
	(b)(6)
(U//FOUO) On 11 April 2011, the OIG interviewed	
(U//FOUO) The OIG asked why there were entries on office calendars where she had leave scheduled (which coincided with shortages for those days), but did not record it on her time and attendance said she would have to see the dates to know what happened.	
(U//FOUO) The OIG questioned about not adding a half hour lunch break into her schedule. She said that at some point she knew she was supposed to do that, but she does not know when. said when she worked for DOJ, adding in 30 minutes for lunch was not required. She said it was fairly common for DOJ employees to eat lunch at their	

 $\frac{\text{UNCLASSIFIED}}{\text{UNCLASSIFIED}} = \frac{\text{UNCLASSIFIED}}{\text{UNCLASSIFIED}} = \frac{\text{UNCLASSIFIED}}{\text{UNCLASSIF$ otherwise indicated.

work hours for the book she intended on writing. She said it was about twenty times and she did this during her lunch. The OIG pointed out that sometimes interviewed people in the morning. She opined that the time was "de minimis." She also said that this time was not for profit, and she never wrote the book. (U//FOHO) When the OIG asked about bringing her children to work, she said told her to bring her children so that she could get her work done. She explained that the time she brought her new baby, she actually came in to do work. At the end of the interview was given a copy of the spreadsheet with the questionable hours (U//FOHO) On 29 April 2011, OIG interviewed in the presence of her attorney. The OIG provided an affidavit (Appendix 2) regarding the allegations against her. (U//FOHO) provided Exhibits 1-3 and 5-7 as referenced in her affidavit. told OIG she had not put together all the phone records (Reference Exhibit 4 of her affidavit) and intended on providing them after she and had time to analyze them. She also intended on providing work-related emails from her uGov account. slow provided her performance reviews and awards which showed that she was doing the work of more than one person. (U//FOHO) Investigator's Note: In her affidavit, claimed she had obtained approval for working "remotely" 20 hours per month. OIG advised that this was not substantiated by her supervisors. (U//FOHO) said that was aware of her compensatory time worked based on Exhibit 3 of her affidavit. explained that she had drafted a staffing proposal to hire an associate based on her current duties and had sent this email to believed this proved was aware of her compensatory time worked based on her workload. said there was a hiring freeze and the position was never approved. She also intended on providing them affidavit, said there was a hiring freeze and the position was never approved.	desk. said she did not realize she was required to include a half hour for lunch in her scheduled hours, even if she ate at her desk.
work hours for the book she intended on writing. She said it was about twenty times and she did this during her lunch. The OIG pointed out that sometimes interviewed people in the morning. She opined that the time was "de minimis." She also said that this time was not for profit, and she never wrote the book. (U//FOUO) When the OIG asked about bringing her children to work, she said told her to bring her children so that she could get her work done. She explained that the time she brought her new baby, she actually came in to do work. At the end of the interview was given a copy of the spreadsheet with the questionable hours (U//FOUO) On 29 April 2011, OIG interviewed in the presence of her attorney. The OIG provided an affidavit (Appendix 2) regarding the allegations against her. (U//FOUO) provided Exhibits 1-3 and 5-7 as referenced in her affidavit. told OIG she had not put together all the phone records (Reference Exhibit 4 of her affidavit) and intended on providing them after she and had time to analyze them. She also intended on providing work-related emails from her uGov account. She also intended on providing work-related emails from her uGov account. also provided her performance reviews and awards which showed that she was doing the work of more than one person. (U//FOUO) said that was aware of her compensatory time worked based on Exhibit 3 of her affidavit. explained that she had drafted a staffing proposal to hire an associate based on her current duties and had sent this email to believed this proved was aware of her compensatory time worked based on her workload. said there was a hiring freeze and the position was never approved. She also intended on providing them affidavit, said there was a hiring freeze and the position was never approved. also pointed to Exhibit 2 of her affidavit which contained her	absence relating to early dismissals prior to holidays. said that she did not know she could not charge time for excused absence when she did not work the days the early dismissals were authorized. In addition, told OIG she did not know that she could not claim compensatory time worked when she did not take advantage of the early dismissal. said their office was told that they could not work without compensation, and that
told her to bring her children so that she could get her work done. She explained that the time she brought her new baby, she actually came in to do work. At the end of the interview was given a copy of the spreadsheet with the questionable hours (U//EOUO) On 29 April 2011, OIG interviewed in the presence of her attorney. The OIG provided a Garrity warning, which she acknowledged in writing. provided Exhibits 1-3 and 5-7 as referenced in her affidavit. [U//EOUO] provided Exhibits 1-3 and 5-7 as referenced in her affidavit. [U//EOUO] provided Exhibits 1-3 and 5-7 as reference Exhibit 4 of her affidavit) and intended on providing them after she and had time to analyze them. She also intended on providing work-related emails from her uGov account. [In the preformance reviews and awards which showed that she was doing the work of more than one person. (U//EOUO) Investigator's Note: In her affidavit, claimed she had obtained approval for working "remotely" 20 hours per month. OIG advised that this was not substantiated by her supervisors. (U//EOUO) said that was aware of her compensatory time worked based on Exhibit 3 of her affidavit. [Explained that she had drafted a staffing proposal to hire an associate based on her current duties and had sent this email to believed this proved was aware of her compensatory time worked based on her workload. [In the proved was aware of her compensatory time worked based on her workload. [In the proved was aware of her compensatory time worked based on her workload. [In the presence of the position was never approved. [In the proved was a hiring freeze and the position was never approved. [In the proved was a hiring freeze and the position was never approved. [In the proved was a hiring freeze and the position was never approved. [In the proved was a hiring freeze and the position was never approved. [In the proved was a hiring freeze and the position was never approved. [In the proved was a hiring freeze and the position was never approved. [In the proved was a hiring freeze a	work hours for the book she intended on writing. She said it was about twenty times and she did this during her lunch. The OIG pointed out that sometimes interviewed people in the morning. She opined that the time was "de minimis." She also said that this time was not for
her attorney. The OIG provided a Garrity warning, which she acknowledged in writing. provided an affidavit (Appendix 2) regarding the allegations against her. (U//EOUO) provided Exhibits 1-3 and 5-7 as referenced in her affidavit. told OIG she had not put together all the phone records (Reference Exhibit 4 of her affidavit) and intended on providing them after she and had time to analyze them. She also intended on providing work-related emails from her uGov account. also provided her performance reviews and awards which showed that she was doing the work of more than one person. (U//FOUO) Investigator's Note: In her affidavit, claimed she had obtained approval for working "remotely" 20 hours per month. OIG advised that this was not substantiated by her supervisors. (U//FOUO) said that was aware of her compensatory time worked based on Exhibit 3 of her affidavit. explained that she had drafted a staffing proposal to hire an associate based on her current duties and had sent this email to believed this proved was aware of her compensatory time worked based on her workload. said there was a hiring freeze and the position was never approved. also pointed to Exhibit 2 of her affidavit which contained her	said told her to bring her children so that she could get her work done. She explained that the time she brought her new baby, she actually came in to do work. At the end of the
told OIG she had not put together all the phone records (Reference Exhibit 4 of her affidavit) and intended on providing them after she and had time to analyze them. She also intended on providing work-related emails from her uGov account. also provided her performance reviews and awards which showed that she was doing the work of more than one person. (U//FOUO) Investigator's Note: In her affidavit, claimed she had obtained approval for working "remotely" 20 hours per month. OIG advised that this was not substantiated by her supervisors. (U//FOUO) said that was aware of her compensatory time worked based on Exhibit 3 of her affidavit. explained that she had drafted a staffing proposal to hire an associate based on her current duties and had sent this email to believed this proved was aware of her compensatory time worked based on her workload. said there was a hiring freeze and the position was never approved. also pointed to Exhibit 2 of her affidavit which contained her	her attorney. The OIG provided a Garrity warning, which she acknowledged in writing. provided an affidavit (Appendix 2) regarding the
approval for working "remotely" 20 hours per month. OIG advised that this was not substantiated by her supervisors. (U//FOUO) said that was aware of her compensatory time worked based on Exhibit 3 of her affidavit. explained that she had drafted a staffing proposal to hire an associate based on her current duties and had sent this email to believed this proved was aware of her compensatory time worked based on her workload. said there was a hiring freeze and the position was never approved. also pointed to Exhibit 2 of her affidavit which contained her	told OIG she had not put together all the phone records (Reference Exhibit 4 of her affidavit) and intended on providing them after she and had time to analyze them. She also intended on providing work-related emails from her uGov account. also provided her performance reviews and awards which showed that she was doing the work of
worked based on Exhibit 3 of her affidavitexplained that she had drafted a staffing proposal to hire an associate based on her current duties and had sent this email to believed this proved was aware of her compensatory time worked based on her workload said there was a hiring freeze and the position was never approved also pointed to Exhibit 2 of her affidavit which contained her	approval for working "remotely" 20 hours per month. OIG advised that
OIG's comments and notes based on information obtained from review of records and interviews.	worked based on Exhibit 3 of her affidavit. explained that she had drafted a staffing proposal to hire an associate based on her current duties and had sent this email to believed this proved was aware of her compensatory time worked based on her workload. said there was a hiring freeze and the position was never approved. also pointed to Exhibit 2 of her affidavit which contained her 4 (U//FOUO) On 20 April 2011, OIG provided with a copy of the revised analysis which included

UNCLASSIFIED//FUK UFFILIAL USE UNLY

All redactions per (b) (3) 50 U.S.C 3024 (m) (l), (b) (6), & (b) (7) (c) unless otherwise indicated.

performance reports and awards, all indicating that her supervisors were aware of her working compensatory time. (U//FOUO) acknowledged to OIG that she understands employees must include a 30 minute meal break in their standard work hours. said she routinely worked before and after her work hours in the building "remotely." This was "our thought it was permissible to charge for hours practice...what our office does." worked outside the office but could not explain why she thought that. (U//FOUO) In her affidavit, noted 37 days of badge machine errors. OIG pointed out that she was given credit for all but two days when these errors occurred based on computer log on records. OIG told her she would be given credit for the remaining two days (18 hours). Further, said that on 16 December 2010, badge records showed her as leaving the building at 4:04 PM, yet she had records from her uGov account showing she logged in at home shortly thereafter. claimed that there is no way she could make it home that quickly which meant that the badge records must be inaccurate. (U//FQHO) also claimed that for 21 January 2011, she had her husband call to tell him that she would be unable to work from home that day due to her illness. was supposed to ensure her hours were changed to sick leave for that day. OIG advised that we would give her credit for those hours. (U//FOUO) stated that she was in error during her first interview with OIG when she said that that 15 percent of the compensatory time worked she claimed was for physical fitness. checked her calendar and said she charged compensatory time worked no more than seven times for this. (U//EQUO) Also. said that not everything was on the calendars. She could not say what she was doing for some of the questionable hours and would not address individual days any further. (U//EQUO) When asked about the number of hours she spent interviewing employees for said she had previously discussed the interviews for her book with OIG. her book, said she went through her records and determined that she spoke to 11 individuals over five months. (U/ÆOUO) When asked how much time she spent on activities related to the ACF on a said that she does not participate much in ACF anymore. OIG then weekly basis, asked about how much time she spent for Bible studies, meeting and/or praying with friends in said, "We're allowed to talk to people." She had no the cafeteria or elsewhere. idea how much time she spent a week in these activities. (U//EOUO) The OIG showed three entries obtained from her Lotus Notes calendar: 9 December 2009, "+.5 call with on way home about contractors data call;" gifts;" 23 September 2010, "+.5 20 September 2010, "+.5 wk out, +.5 getting at LX" and told she charged one hour compensatory time worked on each of these days (See

UNCLASSIFIED//FUX DEFICIAL USE UNLY

All redactions per (b)(3) 50 U.S.C 3024 (m)(1), (b)(6), & (b)(7)(c) unless otherwise indicated.

Appendix 3). ⁵ stated these entries were just reminders to her of the events. She was tasked to purchase a gift from the office for official going away. None of these entries reflected time she actually charged.
(U//EOUO) Investigator's Note: initials are
(U//FOUO) When asked how she charged time for reading emails, said that she used a "good faith estimate." The OIG then asked whether it was possible that she overestimated her time. said, "No." When asked whether she accurately recorded her time and attendance, said, "Yes." (U//FOUO) stated her work involved reading a lot of unclassified materials. She took these materials with her to personal appointments to make up time away from the office.
(U//FOUO) When asked whether was aware she charged as work hours every time he called her, said she did not charge for every call she received from him. came down to talk to her regularly about time and attendance. recorded her hours in the system. Her supervisors approved them. None of them ever spoke to her about concerns with her time and attendance. acknowledged that she took approval of her time and attendance in ELECTRON as approval of how she was charging her hours. At the end of the interview, OIG agreed to consider any additional information would like to provide.
(U) Coordination
(U//EOUO) On 17 May 2011, the OIG briefed the final results of the investigation to Mr. Gene Rossi, Assistant United States Attorney (AUSA), DOJ, United States Attorney's Office for the Eastern District of Virginia, Alexandria, Virginia, in accordance with Executive Order 12333. Mr. Rossi was presented with facts in support of prosecution under <i>United States Code Title 18, section 287, False, Fictitious, and Fraudulent Claims</i> . He declined prosecution in favor of administrative action by ODNI.
(U) CONCLUSION
(U//FOUO) Given the AUSA's declination, documentary evidence and interviews support a conclusion that
⁵ (U//FOUO) These calendar entries are only a sample of numerous others of a similar vein wherein it appeared to OIG was tracking her compensatory time worked. ⁶ (U//FOUO) The OIG later determined not to consider any phone call records or uGov emails since had not obtained approval in advance from her supervisors or made them aware of her practice to charge hours for these.

Approved for Release: 2018/07/05 C05093510___UNCLASSIFIED//FUR LIFFICIAL USE UNLY

(U//EOUO) RECOMMENDATIONS

(U//FOUO) The OIG recommends the Chief Management Officer, ODNI, review the facts of this case and determine any appropriate disciplinary action.

(U) APPENDIXES

- 1. (U/EOUO) Lotus Notes emails, 4 May 2007 2 April 2008
- 2. (U//EOUO) Affidavit, 1 May 2011, with Exhibits 1-3 and 5-7
- 3. (U/FOUO) Calendar entries, 9 December 2009, 20 and 23 September 2010

(b)(3) 50 USC §3024(m)

(b)(6)

(b)(7)(c)