UNITED STATES INTELLIGENCE BOARD

HINTELLINE.

OFFICE OF THE CHAIRMAN

18 Xep 62

PARIS AL

MENCRARDEM FOR: Director, Mational Recommaissance Office

ED PCT:

•

Relationship between the IRO and the USIB

1. In regard to your memorandum of 5 June 1962, and lect as above, the United States Intelligence Board is undertaking as a matter of priority to prepare for transmission to you an updated statement of oursest collection requirements and priorities for overhead reconnaissance entivities. This and future statements as processary vill be developed for the Board by its Committee on Overhead Reconnaissance.

- 2. The USE statement of collection requirements and priorities will be forwarded to you as soon as it is completed and approved.
- 3. In separat to your management of 27 July 1962, some subject, the heart agrees with your proposal and the Chairman of the COMER will beyonfor include your designes, the Deputy for Operations, on notice for appropriate meetings and as a recipient of appropriate decumentation. It is unforstent that he will serve in the expecity of consultant and cheerver. The Board agrees that such participation should assist in achieving alone washing relationships between USIS and the USI.

MARRILL S. CASTER
Libertenent General, USA
Arting Chairman



NTR-5770-62 Copy ____ of 7 copies DOD DIR. 5200.10 DOES NOT APPLY



27 July 1962

MEMORARDUM FOR The Chairman, United States Intelligence Board

SUBJECT: (TS) NRO Interface with the USIB

Since the (5) National Recommissance Office is chartered to respond only to United States Intelligence Board requirements in establishing and conducting the (75) National Recommissance Program, it would seem essential that a good working interface be established between the USIB and the (5)HRO. This interface would assure that the USIB is fully and currently informed on all pertinent (5)NRO matters relevant to its deliberations and decisions, so that these actions may be taken in full knowledge of (75)NRP capabilities and limitations which apply. It also would assure that the (5)MRO has a complete understanding of the USIB intent in regard to requirements and priorities. In addition, I believe that it would constitute an essential step in establishing the teamwork which will be required to realize the full potential of advanced collection projects under development.

I suggest, therefore, that the (S)NRO be represented on the principal subsidiary committee(s) of the USIB which are concerned with the (TS)NRP. In view of the specific relationship between the USIB and the (S)NRO, I would view an ex-officio membership arrangement to be most appropriate.

Within the (S)NRO, the establishment and maintenance of the (S)NRO interface with the USIB, and the coordination of all peripheral reconnaissance activities of the U. S. with the missions of the (TS)NRP are responsibilities of the (S)NRO staff. (See Incl 41.) Accordingly, I would like to request that you consider designation of the Deputy for Operations (of the (S)NRO staff) as an ex-officio member of the COMOR, with one of his officers as his alternate. Whether there also should be ex-officio membership from the (S)NRO staff on the SIGINT committee would seem to depend upon the use to be made of that committee in regard to (TS)NRP projects as discussed in my memorandum to you of 5 June 62.

l Incl
(TS) memo re org. &
functions of NRO, dtd
23 July 1962, cy 12.

Joseph V. Charyk Director (S) National Reconnaissance Office

COMMUNICA CITICS

Control F

786.62

TAFUS.BU

TOP SECRET

5 JUN 1962

MEMORANDUM FOR The Director of Central Intelligence

SUBJECT: (5) Relationship between the (5) National Recommissance Office and the USIB

In regard to the interface between the USIB and the (S)-National Reconnaisance Office, the question arises as to the proper USIB contact(s). The role specified for the USIB in the 2 May 1962 DOD—CIA Agreement is one of establishing requirements and priorities. In the past, and at present, both the COMOR and SEGINT Committees appear to be partially concerned with this task, with some overlap in their areas of interest. It might be desirable to charge one group with photographic priorities and requirements and another with similar SEGINT responsibilities, since these technical areas require personnel of different knowledge and experience.

I would appreciate your looking into this matter and informing me as to the USIB group(s) to which the (S) National Reconnaissance Office should look for guidance on requirements and priorities.

Signed

Joseph V. Charyk Under Secretary of the Air Force

SAFUS-BU

Control Sc. 73 64 47