MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD

SUBJECT: De-Control of "the fact of" Satellite Reconnaissance

Mr. Reber saw Mr. Helms on 20 June and briefed General Del Dr. Flax, and me today on his experiences. He said that after talking for about three minutes he was challenged by the DCI, who said, "Just what terrible things will happen to the NRO if this decontrol goes through? I've had a whole series of special pleaders march through telling me the world is going to end. What specific problems do you see, Jim?" To which, Mr. Reber says he had no reply.

Dr. Flax told us, then, that he had seen Mr. Vance yesterday and had briefed him, hurriedly, on the disclosure problem. Mr. Vance said that change was inevitable, that "this too must change," and that we must learn to accommodate to change. Dr. Flax interprets this as perhaps being based on "a signal from the White House." He then told Mr. Vance that NRO management would certainly be much more cumbersome outside the environment. Mr. Vance looked surprised and said, "The management must not be allowed to change."

There is a consensus that there is no point in fighting this problem. I do not understand how the defeatist attitude developed. We have not one shred of hard data re Restow, "the White House," or inexorable forces beyond our control. Yet, there seems to be an air of relief on the part of persons who advance this hypothesis. Is the community actually tired of shoring up security? Is five years best one can expect as the lifetime of any special security arrangement? What are the motives/pressures?
This has been a baffling month, on the security front. Perhaps the next move should be via NASC or Kohler to the summit; it would certainly be the final move!

PAUL E. WORTHMAN
Colonel, USAF