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SUBJECT: DR. MCLUCAS VISIT (ID)

1. (G) DR. MCLUCAS VISITED SAC HEADQUARTERS ON 18 SEPTEMBER

FOLLOWED BY VISITS TO ELLSWORTH, BEALE AND VANDEEMBERG ON 11-12 SEPTEMBER. PROGRAMS TAILORED TO HIS PREVIOUS REQUEST WERE PRESENTED AT EACH LOCATION. THOUGHT YOU MIGHT LIKE TO HAVE A BRIEF REVIEW OF THE HIGHLIGHTS.

A. (G) SAC HEADQUARTERS- BRIEFING CONSISTED OF (1) CLASSIFIED COMMAND BRIEFING COVERING CURRENT FORCE POSTURE AND SEA ACTIVITIES; (2) THE THREAT AS REFLECTED IN THE CURRENT BOP (FY-69); (3) CAPABILITIES/DAMAGE EXPECTANCY (THIS IS THE SAME BRIEFING YOU RECEIVED IN AUGUST DURING YOUR VISIT HERE); (4) TECHNICAL INTELLIGENCE, DEFENSE ANALYSIS AND TOUR OF 544TH ARF W FACILITIES; (5) COMMAND CONTROL; AND (6) RECONNAISSANCE. FOLLOWING THE
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HQ 0-300

COPY NO.
FORMAL BRIEFINGS, HE WAS CONDUCTED ON A TOUR OF "LOOKING GLASS" AND "BIG TEAM" AIRCRAFT. THE DAY WAS CONCLUDED WITH A VISIT TO PAGE 2 TOP SECRET

THE 4800TH SUPPORT GROUP WHERE HE WAS BRIEVED ON THE MISSION, CURRENT AND PROGRAMMED GROWTH ACTIVITIES. HE WAS ALSO GIVEN AN INSIGHT AS TO THE BLUE-SUIT POTENTIAL OF THIS UNIT.

B. (TS) SIGNIFICANT DISCUSSION ITEMS WERE:

(1) (TS) MAJOR WARTIME RECONNAISSANCE DEFICIENCIES PERTAINING TO PRELAUNCH SURVIVABILITY, OVERFLIGHT SURVIVABILITY, AND TIMELINESS OF THE PRODUCT TO THE NATIONAL DECISION-MAKER WERE DISCUSSED. OUR ANSWERS STATED THAT ALL THREE DEFICIENCIES ARE AFFECTED BY THE FACT THAT CURRENT AND NEAR TERM SYSTEMS WERE TAILORED FOR A PEACETIME-CRISIS MANAGEMENT ROLE. HAD THEY BEEN DESIGNED TO THE WARTIME ROLE, THEY WOULD SATISFY BOTH MISSIONS. SAC EFFORTS TO IMPROVE THIS SITUATION INCLUDE STUDIES AND SUBMISSION OF REQUIREMENTS FOR UNMANNED SYSTEMS.

(2) (TS) DR. McLUCAS WAS CONCERNED WITH THE ABILITY OF THE WITHOUT CROSS REFERENCE TO PHOTOGRAPHIC MATERIALS, WE ARE RESEARCHING AVAILABLE SR-71 MATERIAL AND WILL PROVIDE DR. McLUCAS WITH PHOTOGRAPHS

(REPRODUCTION OF THIS MESSAGE IN WHOLE OR IN PART IS PROHIBITED WITHOUT APPROVAL OF THE OFFICE OF PRIMARY RESPONSIBILITY.)
FOR REVIEW.

(3) He asked what PHOTO SYSTEMS would be relinquished if one of the systems had to be deleted. The answer, based on current operational requirements and restrictions (SEA), stated the high drone was the least productive. However, this would not necessarily be the answer if the projected and potential requirements were examined on a worldwide basis. He was informed the SR-71 had the greatest collection potential which could be exploited if authority and support could be obtained for its employment on a global basis.

(4) During the tour of technical intelligence facilities we also discussed (in an aside) with Dr. McLucas our concern over the apparent cost competition of ____________________________

Dr. McLucas should be instrumental in the final decision of these programs and I believe he now understands our needs and reasons for concern. Should cost become the deciding issue on this problem we would recommend that the

[Redaction: Reproduction of this message in whole or in part is prohibited without approval of the Office of Primary Responsibility.]
2. (6) ELLSWORTH. DR. MCLUCAS WAS GIVEN THE REGULAR EMO.MISSION BRIEFING PAGE 4 RMCOAAAS663 T-G-P-E-C-E-R-T AND TOUR OF MINUTEMAN LCF AND LF DURING WHICH HE QUESTIONED CREW MEMBERS ON THE HARDWARE. THESE WERE ANSWERED TO HIS SATISFACTION AND THE DIVISION COMMANDER REPORTED THAT HE SEEMED PLEASED WITH THE ORIENTATION TOUR.


**NOTE:** CORRECTED COPY OF AP IN 95662 (13 Sep 69).
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COPY NO.
REF: SAC 122140Z SEPT 69

PARAGRAPH 1.B. (4) OF SAC 122140Z SEPT 69 CONTAINS INFORMATION CONTROLLED WITHIN THE COMPARTMENT OF THE SYSTEM. YOU ARE INSTRUCTED TO TAKE THE FOLLOWING ACTIONS:

1. DIRECT THE CERTIFIED DESTRUCTION OF ALL COPIES OF THE REFERENCED MESSAGE.

2. CERTIFY TO THIS OFFICE THE DESTRUCTION OF ALL COPIES.

3. FORWARD A LIST OF ALL INDIVIDUALS REMOTE OF HQ SAC WHO HAVE HAD ACCESS TO THE MESSAGE AND ARE NOT ACCESS TO INFORMATION.

4. HAVE ALL INDIVIDUALS AT HQ SAC WHO HAVE HAD ACCESS TO THE MESSAGE AND ARE NOT ACCESS TO INFORMATION COMPLETE A CERTIFICATE OF INADVERTENT INFORMATION.

TYPE NAME AND TITLE

PHONE

SIGNATURE

DEPT

RELEASE

TYPED NAME AND TITLE

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

REGRESSING INSTRUCTIONS

DD FORM 1  NOV 69 173
DISCLOSURE.

5. IF THE DRAFTING AND RELEASING OFFICIALS ARE ACCESSED TO INFORMATION, YOU ARE DIRECTED TO REINDOCTRINATE THESE INDIVIDUALS WITH REGARD TO CONTROL SYSTEM POLICIES AND PROCEDURES. SHOULD THESE INDIVIDUALS NOT BE ACCESSED TO INFORMATION YOU ARE TO INFORM THIS OFFICE OF THEIR NAMES AND POSITIONS.

THE MESSAGE MAY BE REGENERATED WITHIN SAO CHANNELS SHOULD YOU SO DESIRE.
Memorandum

Captain [redacted]

I take it that you
or Captain [redacted] are
preparing a short note
for the file on this one.

Y
9/16/69

Include their Action [redacted] on what
it is. Also the PT's.

And now yesterday (9/17) 
[redacted] effort. Y
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AF 10 OCT 44
On the 18th of Sept. after you prepared
the map for SAC from DTA I called
Col. [blacked out] Duarte-36 to arrange the
sending of the message at 1100 hours.
He was out to lunch and I advised
his secretary I would be down at
1300. At 1300 I met with Col.
and he agreed to dispatch
the message under Col. [blacked out]
signature. At 0900 16 Sept I called
Col. [blacked out] to see if the message
had been sent, he replied that he
was sure that it had although he
SECRET

I did not have his "come back" copy and he would verify it at 1500 hours.

16 Sept I received an "urgent" telephone slip noting that Capt had called at 1330. I recalled him, he stated that the message had not been sent since Capt had objected to the tone of the message. Concluding it was to the CINCSACE, Capt also doubted that the original message was Col suggested that we either see Capt or...
send a memo asking the message be sent. I advised Col. Yost and he met with Capt. [redacted] and Col. Yost said he should go through AFN1V channels on 17 Sept. Capt. [redacted] (filling in for Lt. [redacted]) AFN1V, who was on leave, agreed to prepare a message to SIO at 1100 hours. At 1330, Mr. [redacted] AFN1V called to say he was going to send our original message, did we object? I told
him it was an Air Force matter
and we did not even know it was handled. I asked Cal yet
of he had any other direction, and he asked that FF FF hold
off pending a phone call to
Capt [redacted] FF. 1530 FF was
told to go ahead, at 1615 (apr)
I was advised D17 sent the
message.