



T243 AUST 1761 AGENUNN T259 AGENUNN T259 Actives Branch Ha Archives Branch Hall AFB, Alabama

. 1. .

.1

DOCUMENTS 183 - 282

Prepared by

S. A. Grassly

Lovember 1971

AL TO CONTRACTOR

M

00

4666-

0

SPACE AND MISSINE SISTERS ORGANIZATION

HISTORY OFFICE

CHINE OF STAFF

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY



B O JUN 1000 DOWNG TATED AT 12 YEAR INTE 1A O A ICALLY DECLASTICED. DOD DIG 5200.10

DCCUMENT HISTORY OF SAMOS

- 1

- 1. Msg, WDG-5-6-E, 132330Z May 55.
- 2. Report of the Scientific Advisory Board Reconnaissance Panel on Reconnaissance from Satellite Vehicles, 28 May 56 (C/Cp3)
- 3. Msg, WDIR 9-1-E, 5 Sep 56.
- 4. Memorandum for Colonel (C/Gn3), LtCol Frederic C. E. Oder, subj: Report of Trip 18-21 September 1956, 27 Sep 56, w/atch.
- 5. Ltr, The Ramo-Wooldridge Corporation to LtCol F. C. E. Oder, subj: R-W Computer Developments, 8 Oct 56.
- MFR, LtCol Quenten A. Riepe, subj: Operational Concept for WS 117L, 8 Oct 56.
- 7. Ltr (C/Gp3), LtCol Frederic C. E. Oder, thru AFPR Lockheed Aircraft Corp to Lockheed Aircraft Corporation, subj: Briefing on Advanced Electronic Ferret Reconneiseance System, 12 Oct 56.
- 8. DF, WITR to WDO, Attn: Major Schiavo, subj: Briefing on Advanced Electronic Ferret Reconnaissance System, 12 Oct 56.
- 9. DF, WDTR to WDT, subj: Briefing on Advanced Diectronic Ferret Reconnaissance System, 12 Oct 56.
- Ltr (C/Gp3), LtCol Frederic C. E. Oder thru AFPRO to Lockheed Aircraft Corporation, subj: Use of Light Amplification Techniques in the Visual Reconnaissance Subsystem of WS 117L, 15 Oct 56.
- 11. Msg (C/Gp3), WDTR 10-6, 24 Oct 56.
- 12. Memo for Col Terhune and Gen Ritland (C/Gp3), subj: Reconnaissance Symposium at Fairchild Camera and Instrument Corporation, 29 Oct 56.
- 13. Ltr (C/Gp3), Simon Remo, Executive VP Remo-Wooldridge Corp, no subj, 29 Oct 56.
- 14. DF (C/Gp3), WDTR to WDO and WDF, subj: Operational Concept for WS 117L, 9 Nov 56.
- 15. MRS (DD Form 95), undated relating to above subject.

16. MRS (DD Form 95), Col Robert D. Bowers to Col Sheppard, 9 Nov 56.

11 !

1

- 17. Memo for Col Terhune, WDT (C/Gr3), Col William A. Sheppard, WDO, subj: Operational Concept for WS 117L, 14 Nov 56.
- 18. Excerpts from Committee Reports NAS-ARDC Study Group on Research and Development Objectives For the United States Air Force (C/Gp3), 1957.
- 19. Memorandum for Colonel Terhune (C/Gp3), from MajCen B. A. Schriever, subj: ANS, 4 Feb 57.
- 20. DF (C/Gu3), WDTR to WDT and WDG, subj: Intelligence Data Handling System Support for VS-117L, 20 Feb 57.
- 21. Memo for Col Terhune (C/Gp3), from Col Frederic C. E. Cder, subj: Contractor Competition - WS 117L, 26 Feb 57.
- 22. Memo for Colonel Terhunc from Col Frederic C. E. Oder, subj: Proposal for WDD Management of 438L, 8 Mar 57.
- 23. DD Form 613 (C/Gp3), RDB Project Card, Short Title: VASFARS117L, 2 Apr 57.
- 24. DD Form 613 (C/Gp3), RDB Project Card, Short Title: ERSSFARS, WS 117L, 2 Apr 57.
- 25. DD Form 613 (C/Gp3), NDB Project Card, Data Processing Subsystem for ARS, WS 11/1, 2 Apr 57.
- Ltr (C/Cp3), Brig Gen O. J. Ritland to KajGen H. H. Estes, Jr, Asst DC/Weapon System Detchment #1, Hq ARDC, Wright-Patterson AFB, subj: Coordination of WS-117L and WS-438L Intelligence Data Handling Functions, 26 Apr 57.

27. dupe - omitted

- 28. DF, WDTO to MCPTF, Mr. Rossbo, subj: Approval of a Feasigility Study, ca 1 May 57, w/1 Incl: Draft Statement of Work.
- 29. Memorandum for Col Terhune (C/Gp3), WDTR, subj: Preparation of Preliminary Operational Concept for WS 117L, 23 May 57.
- 30. Feasibility of Scientific Uses of IREM and ICEA, Part 1, Summary of the Study, 3 Jun 57.
- 31. Memo to Col Terhune, WDT, (C/Gp3), from Col Frederic C. E. Oder, signed Quenten A. Ricpe, subj: WS 117L Preliminary Operational Concept, 25 Jun 57.
- 32. Ltr, WDTR to BrigGen Donald P. Graul, Comdr ELOC, no subj, 5 Sep 57.
- 33. Memo for WDT from WDGE, Col J. L. Hamilton, subj: Visit by Brigadier General Donald P. Graul, Comdr, RADC, 16 Sep 57.

- 34. Msg, WDTR 10-15, 15 Oct 57.
- 35. Msg, RCK-1-47#, 1020407. Jan 58.
- 36. Ltr, RADC, BrigGen D. P. Graul, to Director of Procurement, RADC, subj: Source Selection, WS 117L, Subsystem I, 11 Jan 58.
- 37. Memorandum to General Schriever (C/Gp3), from WDTR sgd Col H. Terhunder, subj: Selection of Prime Contractor for Data Processing Subsystem, WS 117L 15 Jan 58.
- 38. Msg, WDTR 1-6-E, 15 Jan 58.
- 39. Memo for Deputy Condr, Weapon Systems, sgd Col J. L. Hamilton, subj: Survey of Research and Development in Support of USAF Intelligence and Recontrissance Functions, 23 Jan 58, w/l Incl: Ltr from Office of IG, USAF, Norton AFD, California, to Condr, ARDC, same subj, undated.
- Dr, WDTR to WDT, WDGE, WDGA and WDS1, subj: Survey of Research and Development of USAF Intelligence and Reconnaissance Functions, 31 Jan 58.
- 41. Memo to Ceneral Schriever (C/Gp3), WDTR, sgd Col Charles H. Terhune, Jr, subj: Status of Prime Contractor Selection for WS 117L Data Processing Subsystem, 3 Feb 58.
- 42. Memorandum for Col Terhune, WDFR, sgd Col Frederic C. E. Oder, subj: R-W Participation in WS 117L, 14 Feb 58.
- 43. Nemo for General Schriever (C/Gp3), WDFR, sgd (col Frederic C. E. Gder, subj: Preliminary Evaluation of ITEX Proposal, 18 Feb 58.
- 44. Memo for Col Leonard (C/Gp3), WDFR, sgd BrigGen O. J. Ritland, subj: Air Technical Information Center (AFCIN-4) Requirements in Support of WD 117L, 19 Feb 58.
- 45. Memo to Gen Ritland WDGV, WDFR, sgd Col Charles H. Terhune, Jr, subj: Status of ARSIC Planning, 26 Feb 58.
- DF (C/Gp3), MCPTA to MCPT, subj: Weekly Diary 21 thru 27 Feb 1958, 27 Feb 58.
- 47. Ltr, WDIR, sgd BrigGen O. J. Ritland, subj: Request for Technical Intelligence Read-out and Processing Support for WS 117L, 25 Mar 58.
- 48. Weapon System 117L Program Status Report (C/Gp3), As of 15 Apr 58.
- 49. Weapon System 117L Program Status Report (C/Gp3), As of 15 May 58.
- Memo for Gen Schriever (C/Gp3), WHTSRF, sgd Col Charles H. Terhune, Jr, subj: Status of Advanced Reconnaissance Systems Intelligence Center Planning, 30 Apr 58.

- 51. Weapon System 117L Program Status Report (C/Cp3), for Period 15 April to 31 May 1958.
- 52. Msg (C/Gp3),, WDTSR 6-17-E, 13 Jun 58.
- 53. Memo for Colonel Sheppard, WDGO (C/Gp3), WDI, sgd Col William E. Leonard, subj: Operating Agency for WS 117L, 26 Jun 58.
- 5¹. Weapon System 117L Program Status Report (C/Cp3), For Quarter Ending 30 Jun 58.
- 55. Msg (C/Cp3), WDGO-6-4, C20039Z Jul 58.
- 56. MSR (C/Gp5), WDG, sgd HajGen B. A. Schriever, subj: Operational Flanning for SS-117L, 2 Jul 58.
- 57. Mag (C/Gp3), AFOOP-OU-R 53126, 1020502 Jul 58.
- 53. Ltr, MDTSR, sgd BrigGen O. J. Ritland, subj: Preliminary Operational Concept MS 117L, 15 Jul 58.
- 59. Msg (C/Op3), WDTSR 7-25-E, 25 Jul 58.
- 60. Planning Document (C/Ch3), Draft by LtCol Schuyler, 28 Jul 58.
- 5. Vergeon System 117D Program Status Report (C/Op3), For Month Ending 31 Jul 56.
- 62 Memo for Colonel Curtin (C/Gp3), WDG, sgd MajGen B. A. Schriever, subj: WS-117L R&D Operations at Cocke Air Force Base, 6 Aug 58.
- 63. Msg (C/Gp3), AFCGM 056133, 212127 Aug 58.
- 64. Weapon System 117L Fregram Status Report (C/Gp3), For Month Ending 31 Aug 58.
- 65. Memo for General Large (C/Gp3), WOGO, sgd MadGen B. A. Schriever, subj: Changes in WS-117L Schedules, 12 Sep 58.
- 65. Esg (C/Gu3), EDZGA 9-13-E, 1615455 Sep 58.
- G7. Ltr (C/Gp3), WDISR, Sgd Col J. L. Hamilton, subj: Release of WS 117L Information to Foreign Nationals, 26 Sep 58.
- 68. Weapon System 117L Program Status Report (C/Gp3), Quarter Ending 30 Sep 58.
- 64. Msg (C/Co3), DEF 949420, 102109Z Oct 58.
- W. Msg (C/G23), WDG-11-8-F, 11 Cet 55.

- 71. Msg (C/Gp3), WDPP-10-1-E, 22 Oct 58.
- 72. Msg, RDQGW-10-37-E, 232135% Oct 58.
- 73. Sentry Program Status Report (S/Gp3), Month Ending 31 Oct 58.
- 74. Ltr (C/Gp3), WDCH, sgd MajGen B. A. Schriever, to Mr. Amron H. Katz, The RAND Corporation, no subj, 3 Dec 58.
- Ltr (C/Gp3), WDGW, sgd MajGen B. A. Schriever to BrigGen Donald P. Graul, Comdr, RADC, no subj, 3 Dec 58.
- 76. Sentry Program Status Report (S/Gp3), for November 1958, 10 Dec 58.
- 77. Msg (C/Gp3), WDZ: 12-25-E, 29 Dec 58.
- 78. Mag (C/Op3, AFCIN 55022, 1415432 Jan 59.
- 79. ARPA Order No. 9-58 Amend No. 7, 19 Jan 59. (ARPA Order No. 9-58 and Amendments Nos 1 to 6 are contained in Document Volume I-1-3.)
- Lin (C/Op3), NEW, sgd Col Richard D. Curtin for NajGen B. A. Schriever, to Net Coff for Cuided Also Dis, Ng WWW, subj. WS 117L Program, 28 Jan 59.
- 81. Ltr (C/Gp3), WDZW, sgd Col Richard D. Curtin for MajGen B. A. Schriever, to Comdr ARDC, subj: WS 117L Program, 30 Jan 59.
- 82. Mag (S/OpS), APCGM T. S. 3665, 0519153 Mob 59.
- 83. DCD Directive To. 5329.1, 10 Feb 59.
- 84. Ltr (C/Gp3), WEZW, sgd BrigGen O. J., Mitland, to Asst CofS for Guided Missiles, Hq USAF, subj: WS 117L Program, 11 Feb 59.
- Itr (C/3p3), WDZI, sgd BrigGen C. J. Ritland, to Condr ARDC, subj: W3 117L Program, 11 Feb 59.
- 86. Memorandum for the Secretary of the Air Force (C/Gp3), ARPA, sgd Roy W. Johnson, Director, subj: Policy Relating to the Official Iduntification of Projects DISCOVERUM, SAAPRY, and MIDAS, 12 Feb 59.
- 87. Ltr, AMC (SMAMA), sgd MajGen George E. Price, to Condr AMC, Subj: Support of Space Programs, 13 Feb 59.
- 88. Memorandum for the Commander, AFEMD, from ARPA, sgd Roy W. Johnson, subj: Status Report on Discoverer, Sentry and Midas Programs, 13 Feb 59.
- 69. ARPA Order No. 9-58 Amend No. 8, 15 Feb 59.
- 90. MFR, WDCE, subj: Call from Colonel Hart to Colonel Hamilton, 17 Feb 59.
- 91. Memo to WDZM, Sgd R. D. Curtin, subj: ARFA Memos Attuched, 17 Feb 59. (See Memo from ANPA, 13 Neb 59.)

- 92. Msg, RDZCW-20-2-36-E, 201932Z Feb 59.
- 93. Msg (C/Gp3), WDZW-2-17-E, 26 Feb 59.
- 94. Ltr (Uncl w/o Incl), WDZWS, sgd Brig Gen Ritland, to MajGen H. E. Watson, Dep Asst CofS for Intelligence, no subj: 27 Feb 59, w/l Incl: WDZWS Memo for Gen Ritland (C/Gp3), 19 Feb 59.
- 95. Ltr, WDZWS sgd BrigGen Ritland, to Lockheed Aircraft Corporation, subj: Summary of AFBMD Review of WS llyL Drafting Practices, 17 Mar 59.
- 96. DOD Directive No. 5105.15 (FOUO), 17 Mar 59.
- 97. Ltr, WDG, sgd MajGen B. A. Schriever, to Comdr 1st Missile Division, subj: Support Requirements for the Sentry Program, 19 Mar 59.
- 98. Ltr (C/Gp3), AFDAT, sgd PrigGen H. A. Boushey, to Comdr, AFBMD, subj: Management of Sentry, 25 Mar 59.
- 99. DF, LEJ to LBG-2, subj: Weekly Diary 20 thru 26 Mar 59, 26 Mar 59.
- 100. ARPA Order No. 9-58 Amend No. 9 (C/Gp3), 1 Apr 59.
- 101. Msg (C/Gp3), WDZW-3-32-E, 1 Apr 59.
- 102. AND'A Order No. 9-58 Amend No. 10 (C/0:3), 3 40+ 59.
- 103. Memorandum for Deputiec, Directors and Chiefs of Comparable Offices, from DAF, sgd MajGen Jacob E. Smart; subj: Responsibility for Space Projects, 6 Apr 59.
- 104. Ltr (C/Gp3), Hq 1st Missile Div, sgd MajGen David Wade, to AFBMD, subj: Support Requirements for the Sentry Program, ca 13 Apt 59.
- 105. ARPA Order No. 9-58 Amend No. 11 (C/Gp3), 14 Apr 59.
- 106. Sentry Monthly Program Progress Report (C/Gp3), 8 May 59.
- 107. Memo for the Secretary of the Air Force (C/Gp3), ARPA, sgd Hoy W. Johnson, subj: ARPA Prder No. 9-58, SENTRY Project, 25 May 59.
- 108. Msg; (C/Gp3), RDQGW-26-5-43E, 262100Z May 59.
- 109. Msg (C/Gp3), WDZM-5-31E, 28 May 59.
- 110. Msg (C/Gp3), AFCGM 51490, 291417Z May 59.
- 111. Msg (C/Gp3), AFDAT 52070, 052042Z Jun 59.

Ł

112. Ltr (S/Gp3), WDPCR, sgd BrigGen O. J. Ritlend, to Director, ARPA, subj: SENTRY Monthly Program Progress Report, 31 May 59, 8 Jun 59.

11

- 113. Msg (S/Gp3), RDRCR-12-6-61-E, 1220502 Jun 59.
- 114. Msg (S/Gp3), WCG 30685-E, 121400Z Jun 59.
- 115. GO No. 54 ARDC 17 Jun 59.
- 116. Msg (C/Gp3), RDZGN-24-6-31E, 242105Z Jun 59.
- 117. ARPA Order No. 9-50 Amend No. 12, 1 Jul 59.
- 118. Ltr (S/Gp3), WDZNP, sgd MejGen O. J. Ritlend to Ha USAF (AFDAT), subj: Transmittal of Development Plans, 18 Jul 59.
- 119. Ltr (S/Gp3), WDZWT, sgd Col Richard D. Curtin, to ARDC (RDZGW, Col Worthman, subj: Short Title: SNP, 18 Jul 59.
- 120. MFR (FAFEO), sgd LtCol George H. Mathews, subj: Telecon between Colonel Wikstrom and Lt Colonel Mathews this office, 24 Jul 59.
- 121. AHPA Order No. 9-60 Amend No. 13, 30 Jul 59.
- 122. MFR, WDG, subj: Telephone Call from General Schriever to General Ritland, 31 Jul 59.
- 123. Msg (3/Gp3), WDZGWG-31-7-38-E, 3118002 Jul 59.
- 124. Ltr (S/Gp3), ARDC, sgd LtGen B. A. Schriever, to General Thomas D. White, CofS, USAF, no subj, 1 Aug 59.
- 125. Ltr (S/Gp3), DAF, sgd Gen Curtis E. Leway, to Condr, ARDC, subj: Assignment of Operational Planning Responsibility, 5 Aug 59.
- 126. Msg (C/Gp3), DEP 963459, 070824Z Aug 59.
- 127. Ltr (S/Gp3), WDPCR, sgd Col Frederic C. E. Oder for MajGen O. J. Ritland, to Director, ARPA, subj: SEMTRY Program Progress Report for the Month of July 1959, 7 Aug 59.
- 128. Mcg (C/Gp3), AFXPD-PL 63506, 132029Z Aug 59.
- 129. Ltr, WDZ, sgd MajGen O. J. Hitland to BrigGen D. P. Graul, Condr, RALC, subj: Assignment of Personnel at Denver Colorado, 17 Aug 59.
- 130. Msg (S/Gp3), NDI-8-8-E, 19 Aug 59.
- 131. Ltr (S/Op3), WD2SO, sgd Col Harry L. Evens, to AC /SAC MIKE7, subj: Interpretation of 5 August Letter from Vice Chief S? Staff, 20 Aug 59. w/1 Atch: Sentry Operational Planning Procedures (S/Op3)

132.	Ltr (S/Gp3), DPL, sgd MajCen Charles B. Westover, to SAC MIKE, subj: Assignment of Operational Planning Responsibility, 21 Aug 59.
133.	ARPA Order No. 9-60 Amend No. 14, 27 Aug 59.
134.	Ltr (S/Gp3), DSSP, sgd Col John F. Batjer, subj: Interpretation of Letter from Vice Chief of Staff, 3 Sep 59.
135.	SAMOS Program Progress Report as of 31 Aug 1959 (S/Gp3), 8 Sep 59.
136.	Msg (S/Gp3), DEF 964914 from ARPA, 042209Z Sep 59.
137.	Memorandum for Secretary of Defense (C/Cp^{1}) , from DAF, no subj, 9 Sep 59, w/Atch 1 of 3 Atch: Memorandum for Secretary of the Air Force, (C/Sp^{1}) , subj: Transmittal of the Fiscal Year 1961 Eulget Estimate, 9 Sep 59.
138.	Ltr (S/Gp3), DSSP, sgd Col John F. Batjer, to SAC (DPL), subj: Assignment of Operational Planning Responsibility, 10 Sep 59.
139.	Msg (S/Gp3), DEF 965117 from AKPA, 1017362 Sep 59.
140.	Ltr (S/Gp3), LtGen B. A. Schriever to Gen Thomas D. White, no subj, 15 Sep 59.
3.43.	Msg (5/Gp3), from CINCSAC, DPINC 2477, 1700312 Sep 54.
142.	Memorandum for The Chairman, Joint Chiefs of Staff (C/194). subj: Coordination of Satellite and Space Vehicle Operations, 18 Sep 59.
143.	Ltr (FOUO), from Hq USAF sgd Gen Curtis E. LeMay, to Comdr ARDC, subj: Air Force Responsibilities to Other Elements of the DOD for Space Systems, 21 Sep 59.
144.	Agreement for Coordinated Peacetime Operation of the Pacific Missile Range, 22 Sep 59.
145.	GO No. 207 ARDC 25 Sep 59.
146.	ARDC Movement Order Nr 1, 4999th Data Processing Squadron, 30 Sep 59.
147.	Msg (S/Gp3), AFDAT for BMD 78228, 3021262 Sep 59.
148.	Msg (S/Gp3), CINCSAC DPLB 2999, 3022452 Sep 59.
149.	Msg (S/Gp3), fm Hq USAF AFDAT 78900, 0215022 Cct 59.
150.	Msg (S/Gp3), fm Comèr ARDC RDZGW-2-10-4E, 022000Z Oct 59.
۰.	

J

1!

- 151. Hsg (S/Gp3), Hq USAF AFDAT 80589, 072157Z Oct 59.
- 152. ARFA Order No. 9-60 Amendment No. 15, 8 Oct 59.
- 153. Msg (S/Gp3), WDZT-10-11-E, 16 Oct 59.
- 154. Msg (S/Gp3), fm Comdr ARDC to AFBMD, 211035Z Oct 59.
- 155. Msg (S/Gp3), fm Hq USAF, AFCGM 85157, 231458Z Oct 59.
- 156. Usg (S/Gp3), fm ARDC RDRRB-23-31-E, 231715Z Oct 59.
- 157. Mission Statement 6594th Test Wing (S/Gp3), AFBMD (ARDC), 26 Oct 59.
- 158. Interoffice Correspondence (C/Gp3), STL, R. F. Mettler to MajGen O. J. Ritland, subj: Operating Concepts for Military Satellite Systems, 2 Nov 59.
- 159. Msg (S/Gp3), WDZSO-11-1-77, 3 Nov 59.
- 160. MFR (S/Gp3), subj: SAMOS, MIDAS and DISCOVERER Programs, 6 Nov 59.
- 161. Msg (S/Gp3), fm CINCSAC, DPL 4298, 060010Z Nev 59.
- 162. Ltr (S/Gp3), AFBMD (WDPCR), to Director ARPA, subj: SAMOS Program Report, 31 Oct 59, 9 Nov 59.
- 163. ARDC Form 111, subj: Advanced Recommaissance System, 13 Nov 59.
- 164. Memorandum for the Secretary of the Air Force (S/Gp3), SOD, subj: Transfer of the SAMSO Development Program to the Department of the Air Force, 17 Nov 59.
- 165. Msg (S/Gp3), WDZF-11-6-E, 17 Nov 59.
- 166. Msg (S/Gp3), CINCSAC DPL 4985, 010130Z Dec 59.
- 167. ARPA Order No. 9-60 Amend No. 16 (C/Cp3), 3 Dec 59.
- 168. Lir (C/Go3); WDZ to STL (Dr. Mettler); subj: Operational Concept for Military Satellite Systems, 4 Dec 59.
- 159. Msg (C/Gp3), fm SAC DPLEC 5184, 042122Z Dec 59.
- 170. DOD Memorandum for the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) (C/Gp3), 7 Dec 59.
- 171. Memorandum for the Secretary of the Air Force.(S/Op3), subj: Intelligence System S.203, 7 Dec 59.

172.	Ltr, WDZ, sgd MajGen O. J. Ritland to Mr. Donald W. Douglas, Jr, subj:
173.	Msg (C/Gp3), Fm Hq USAF, AUDAT 95614, 09009Z Dec 59.
174.	Chronological Sequence of SAMOS Operational Employment Activities, (S/Gp3) 11 De. 59.
175.	Msg (S/Gp3), SAC Offutt AFE to CofS Hq USAF, VC 5540, 161725A Dec 59.
176.	Msg (S/Gp3), SAF Offutt AFB to ARDC, VC 5541, 161725 Dec 59.
177.	Ltr im Condr, ARDC to General Thomas S. Power, CINCSAC, no subj, 15 Dec 59.
178.	Report (S/Gp3), subj: Frincipal Problems of Major Air Commands, 21 Dec 59.
179.	Msg (S/Gp3), fm Hq USAF AFDAT 98212, 2121412 Dec 59.
180.	Mog (S/Op3), im Eq USAF to SAC, AFCVC 98219, 212155% Dec 59.
181.	Hisy (S/Gp3), fm CINCSAC, DrL 5919, 302300Z Dec 59.
1.32.	Mrg (S/Gp3), in AFBND to Hq ARDC, MDEF-12-14-F, 31 Dec 59.
183.	Mass (S/Gp3), fm SAC to CofS, info: ALDC, VC 0206, 4 Jan 60.
184.	Msg (0/3p3), fm ADC to AFRED, ADLPD-p-1, 0419222 Jan 50.
185.	Nog (S/Gp3), fu ARDC to AFEMD, RDRRB-1-1-4E, 0421202 Jun 60.
186.	Mag (C/Gp3), fr Hq USAF, ADDAT 61415, 0722512 Jan 60:
187.	LAr (S/Op3), AMONQ-WB, to Members, Mempons Board, subj: Report of Weayons Board Meeting 60-1, 11 Jan 60.
188.	Msg (5/Gp3), SAC to Cofs USAF, VC 0705, 19 Jan 60.
289.	Ltr, AFEMD (WDZ), to WDGV, subj: Visit of DOD Ažvisory Group on Electronics (Baker Committee), 27 Jan 60, w/c Atch 1 of 2 Atchs: Agenda.
150.	Msg (S/Gp3), CINCSAC to Hq USAF, info: ANDC and AFEND, VC 0847, 2816202 Jan 6C.

191. Mag (S/Op3), He USAF to Condr ARDC, Info: Comirs AFBMD, ADC, SAC, AMC, AFDAT 66936, 292335Z Jan 60.

192. Ltr (S/Gp3), WDZP to Hq USAF (AFDAT, subj: Transmittal of Discoverer, SAMOS and MIDAS Development Flans, 30 Jan 60.

. 1

- 193. Mag (C/Gp3), fm AFEHD to CofS, info: ARDC, WDZY-1-6-E, 1 Feb 60.
- 194. LMSD Report (S/Gp4), Suggested Thorad/Agena Combination for SAMOS Program, 11 Feb 60.
- 195. Msg (S/Gp3), fm Hq USAF, AFDAT 70703, 152057Z Feb 60.
- 196. Msg (S/Gp3), fm SAC MIKE to CINCSAC, DD5-2-1-E, 16 Feb 60.
- 197. Chronology (S/Gp3), 17 Feb 60.
- 198. Msg (S/Op3), fm Hq USAF to AFBAD and ARDC, AFABF and AFDDP 73993, 271712Z Feb 60.
- 199. Ltr (S/Opl), fm WDZ to ARDC, subj: Joint French U. S. Military Satellite Project, 29 Feb 60.
- 200. Current Status Report (S/Gp3), Feb 60.
- 201. Status Report SAMOS (S/Gp3), Mar 60.
- 202. Memorandum for the Secretary of the Air Force (S/Gp3), subj: Intelligence System SAMOS, Apr 60. /Written 7 Dec 59/
- 203. Current Status Report (S/Gp3), Apr 60.
- 204. Ltr, ANDC, sgd MajGen James Ferguson to AFBMD, subj: User Participation, 12 Apr 60, w/1 Atch: Policy Statement.
- 205. Memorandum for Secretary of the Air Force (S/Gp3), sgd Herbert F. York, subj:SAMOS, MIDAS and DISCOVERER Research and Development Programs and Development/Operational Plans for SAMOS and MIDAS Programs, 20 Apr 60.
- 206. Ltr (S/Gp3), Lockheed Aircraft Corporation to AFBAD, subj: Augmented Re-Entry and Recovery Program, 19 May 60, v/1 Atch: Proposed Augmented Re-Entry & Recovery Program.
- 207. Msg (S/Gp3), in AFEMD to ARDC, WDZY-4897, 24 May 60.
- 203. Ltr (S/Gp3), DAF (AFDST-AT) sgd LtGen Roscoe C. Wilson, subj: Exploitation of Initial SAMOS Data, 1 Jun 60.
- 209. Msg (S/Gp3); fm AFBMD to LMSD, Sunnyvale, Calif, MDZY-5271, 1 Jun 60.
- 210. Ltr (S/Gp3), ARDC (RDY), sgd MajGen James Ferguson, subj: Exploitation of Initial SAMOS Data, 14 Jun 60.
- 211. Briefing Charts 1 June Guidance (Gen Milson ltr).
- 212. Memorandum for Secretaries of the Army, Navy and the Air Force fm Secretary of Defense, subj: Coordination of Satellite and Space Vehicle Operations, 16 Jun 60.

- 213. Ltr (S/Gp3), im CINCSAC to LtGen Bernard A. Schriever, Comdr ANDC, no subj, 16 Jun 60, w/1 Atch: CINCSAC Ltr to Gen Thomas D. White, 16 Jun 60.
- 214. Ltr (S/Gp3) for USAF CofS Thomas D. White to Gen Thomas S. Power, CINCSAC, subj: SAMOS and MIDAS, 16 Jun 60.
- 215. Ltr (S/Gp3), fn Lockheed Alrcraft Corp, to AFBMD, subj: Augmented Re-entry and Recovery Progress, 20 Jun 60, w/l Atch: Subcontract Work Statement, 145D/362527.
- 216. Ltr (S/Gp3), AFOIN to AFONQ (Gen Smith), subj: Letter of Nonconcurrence, 21 Jun 60, w/o zichs.
- 217. Back-up Material for Secy Gates presentation to the President (S/Gp3), subj: SAMOS, 23 Jun 60.
- 218. Ltr (C/Gp4), SAC MIKE, AC, to Major Spindler, subj: SAMOS, 24 Jun 60.
- 219. Ltr (S/Gp3), sgd Gen Thomas S. Power, CinCSAC to Gen Thomas D. White, USAF CofS, no subj, 24 Jun 60.
- 220. Ltr (S/Gp3), sed Gen Thomas S. Power, CINCSAC to LtGen Bernard A. Schriever, combr ANDC, no shad, 24 Jul 60.
- 221. Ltr (S/Gp3), Hq US/F, AFC1. to DOS/D, subj: SAMOS, 24 Jun 60.
- 222. SSS (S/Gp3), fm AFDSD-AF, sid John L. Martin, Jr, subj: SAMOS, 27 Jun 60, w/o Atchs.
- 223. Ltr (S/Gp3), sgd Col Lowell N. May, Chairman, Satellite Intelligence Requirement Countitee to Secretary, United States Requirements Committee, subj: Transmittal of Intelligence Requirements for Satellite Reconnaissance Systems of which SAMOS is an Example, 29 Jun 60, w/l Atch: Proposed letter of SOD.
- 224. Supplemental Hq USAF Guidance to ARDC, SAC and ADC Concerning SAMOS (S/Gp3), 29 Jun 60.
- 225. Ltr (C/Gr3), fm Hq USAF, Office of Coff, AFCCS, to Gen Thomas R. Power, CINCSAC, subj: SAMOS, 29 Jun 60.
- 226. Ltr (S/Gp3), Hq UBAF, AFDSh-AT to Hultiple Addresses, subj: SAMAS, 30 Jun 60, w/o Atchs.

227. Ltr (S/GP3), He USAF, AFORG-PN/Panel, to Reconnaissance Panel and SAMOS working Group Hombers, subj: Minutes of Joint Meeting of Reconnaissance Panel and SAMOS Working Group, 1 Jul 60, 1 Jul 60, w/4 Atchs of 5 Atchs:
1. Ltr fm Gen Wilson to ASOC, 1 Jun 60 (S); 2. Mar fm Gen Unite to Gen Power, 29 Jun 10 (S); 3. Complemental Guidance by Gen Strother (S);
4. Factors considered in Guidance Preparation (S).

- 228. Intelligence Requirements for Satellite Reconnaissance Systems of which SAMOS is an Example (S/Gp3), 5 Jul 60, w/o Atchs.
- 229. Ltr (S/Gp3), AFORQ-RN/Panel to Reconnaissance Panel and SAMOS Working Group Hembers, subj: Minutes of Joint Meeting of the Reconnaissance Panel and SAMOS Working Group, 6 Jul 60, 6 Jul 60.

- 230. Ltr (S/Gp3), AFORQ-RN/Panel to Reconnaissance Panel and SAMOS Working Group Members, subj: Minutes of Joint Meeting of the Reconnaissence Panel and SAMOS Working Group, 7 Jul 60, 11 Jul 60.
- 231. Msg (S/Gp3), fm Hq USAF to Comdr ARDC, info: Comdr AFBMD, 20 Jul 60.
- 232. Ltr, AFELD (WDLPN-3, to WDLE-1, WDZ and WDG In Turn, subj: Request for Approval - PR-61-BMD-59, 25 Jul 60.
- 233. Lt: (S/Cp3), sgd Gen Thomas S. Power, to Gen Thomas D. White, CofS, sulj: SAMOS, 26 Jul 60.
- 234. Special Order No. 540 AFB-D 27 Jul 60.
- 235. Ltr (S/Gp3), SLO MIKE (DSS, to WDZ, subj: SAMOS System Improvement, 29 Jul 60.
- 238. SO No. 562 AFBMD 4 Aug 60.
- 235. Ltr (C/Gp4), MDE to Col Faul J. Heran, Chairman, Source Selection Bourd, E-6 SAMOS, 30 Jul 60.
- 237. Msg (S/Gp3), fm AFBAD to AFBAD Field Office, Patrick AFB, W 192-3-2, 2 Aug 60
- 239. Msg (S/Gp3), im Hq AFBND to 1st Msl Div, Vandenberg AFB, info: ARDC, WDLL 4-8-3, 4 Aug 60.
- 240. Msg (S/Gp3), fm Condr, Hq AFEMD, to Condr ARDC, WDG-6-8-20, 7 Aug 60.
- 241. Ltr, WDG to WDZ, subj: RAWD Letter 1-15001, w/l Atch, Ltr KAWD Corp, 29 Jul 60.
- 242. Ltr, WDG to Mr. Herschel J. Prown, VP and Gen Mgr, Lockheed Missile and Space Division, no subj, 10 Aug 60.
- 243. Ltr, AFBMD (VDZE), to WDA, subj Organizational Announcement, 10 Aug 60, w/2 Atch: 1. Symbol Listing for WDE, Space Security Recompaissance;
 2. Symbol Listing for WDE, Space Programs.
- $2^{4\mu}$. SA:03 Launch Schedule Revisions (S/Gp3), 11 Aug Development Plan.
- 245. Ltr (MCUO), WDV to Col Evans (WDZ), subj: Issuance of RFP's on the SAMCE Second Source, 32 Aug 60, w/1 Atch: MFR, 12 Aug 60.

246. Ltr (C/Op3), WDZ, sgd MajGen O. J. Ritland, to Dr. Ivan A. Getting, Pres, The Aerospace Corporation, subj: SAMOS Program, 12 Aug 60.

ł

- 247. Lor (S/Gp3), sgd Thomas D. White, CofS, to Gen Thomas S. Power, CINCSAC, subj: SAMOS, 17 Aug 60.
- 248. MFR (S/Gp3), AFARF-10, sgd Lewis C. Meyer, Ch, RD& Missile Div, Directorate of Budget, subj: SAMOS Revised Development Plan, 18 Aug 60.
- 249. MFR (S/Gp3), prepared by Maj. H. C. Howard, AFDSD, subj: SAMOS Management Plan, 22 Aug 60, w/l Atch: Changes to the Current Status Report SAMOS.
- 250. Ltr, AFCCS to Deputies, Directors and Chiefs of Comparable Offices (No. 10), subj: Briefings for Individuals outside of the Executive Branch of the Federal Covernment, 29 Aug 60.
- 251. Secretary of the Air Force Order No. 115.1, subj: Organization and Functions of the Office of Missile and Satellite Systems, 31 Aug 60.
- 252. Secretary of the Air Force Order No. 116.1, subj: The Director of the SAMOS Project, 31 Aug 60.
- 253. Memorandum for the Chief of Staff from Dualley C. Sharp, Secretary of the Air Force, 31 Aug 60, w/1 Atch: List of assigned personnel.
- 254.√ Organization and Functions of the Office of Missile and Satellite Systems, ca 31 Aug 60.
- 255. Status Report (S/Gp3), subj: SAMCS (WS 117M), 31 Aug 60.
- 256. SO No. 649 AFBMD 2 Sep 60.
- 257. Ltr (C/Gp4), MDRSC to MDZJS, subj: MLor Boosters for SAMOG Program, 6 Sep 60.
- 258. Revised FY 1961 and FY 1962 Communication Cost Estimate Budget Projects . 840 & 850 · SAMOS (S/Gp3), 12 Sep 63.
- 259. Memorandum for the Secretary of the Air Force (FOUO), subj: Reconnaissance Satellite Program, sgd James H. Douglas, Acting SOD, 15 Sep 60.
- 260. Mtr., SAFMS-1, sig BrigGen Robert M. Greer, subj: Establishment of SAMCS Project Office, 15 Sep 60.
- 261. CO No. 96 AFLC (FOUO), subj: Discontinuance of 4999th Data Processing Squadron, 21 Sep 60.

- 262. Director of SAMOS and Deputy Commander Space Programs Organization, 22 Sep 60.
- 263. GO No. 40 DAF, subj: Abclishment of the Office of the Assistant Chief of Staff, Buided Missiles, 23 Sep 60.
- 264. Ltr, AFEAD (WDG), sgd BrigGen Harvard W. Powell, to Deputy Commanders, Deputy Chiefs of Staff, Chiefs of Special Staff Offices, Chiefs of Offices through Directorate Level and Commanders of AFEMD Subordinate Organizations, subj: Correspondence Pertaining to the SAMOS Project, 26 Sep 60.
- 265. Ltr (S/Gp3), SAFMS-IDP to WDGE, subj: Items for Inclusion in AFBHD Chronology for Period 1 Jan - 30 Jun 60, 23 Sep 60, w/o Atch.
- 266. SAMOS Directives and/cr Guidance (S/Gp3), ca Oct 1960.
- 267. SAMOS Program Programs Report Month Ending 30 Sep 60 (RCS: DD-DR&E (M) 397, 10 Oct 60.
- 268. AFBMD News Release, subj: SAMOS I Fact Sheet, ca 11 Oct 60.
- 269. Msg from AFBMD to ARDC, WDIP-17-10-7, 18 Oct 60.
- 270. Draft News Release, 18 Nov 60.
- 271. Dreft News Release, 21 Nov 60.
- 272. Draft News Release, 21 Nov 60.
- 273. Mission Statement, 6594th Test Wing, 29 Dec 60.
- 274. Chronology of Sentry and SAMOS Program 2 March 1959 to December 1960, (S/Cp3), Jan 61.
- 275. Ltr, Acrospace Defense Systems Office (ADC), ADSO to WDL, subj: Using Command Participation, 24 Jan 61, w/o Atch.
- 276. AFBHD News Pelcase 61-18, ca 31 Jan 61.
- 277. AFBMD News Release 60-82, subj: SAMOS II Fact Sheet, ca 31 Jan 61.
- 278. Msr; (C/Gp3), fm AFCCS to ARDC, AFCCS 87626, 092319Z Fet 61.
- 279. SO No. G-15, ARDC, 16 Feb 61.
- 280. Ltr (C/Co4), WDZJS, to LBZST, subj: Procurement of 4 Ther Boosters, 14 Mar 61.

I

281. Ltr, sgd BrigGen Harvard W. Powell, to Condr Pac Msl Rg, Point Mugu, Calif, subj: PMR Support of SAMOS and MIDAS Programs, ; 7 Apr 61.

282. Memorandum for the Secretary of Defense, Attn: BrigGen George S. Brown, (S/Gp3), subj: SAMOS Launch Report, 11 Sep 61.



11

J

Jan 1960

PRIORITY

C O

b

ち

5

P Y

AF AFCVC 98219

Ъ

SAC OFFUTT AFB NEBR

COFS USAF WASH D.C.

INFO: ARDC ANDREWS AFB MARYLAND /SECRET/ VC 0206. PERSONAL FOR GENERAL LEMAY FROM GENERAL GRISWOLD: INFO: GENERAL SCHRIEVER. SUBJECT: (U) SAMOS and MIDAS. This msg in 5 parts. PART I: Reference is made to your message, AFCVC 98219, dated 21 Dec 59, which (a) stated that the AFBMC will examine the possibility of financing AFBMC approved items by reprogramming from within current resources: (b) requested SAC to submit any recommendations or reductions from within SAMOS and MIDAS or from SAC weapon systems considered to be of a lesser priority. PART II: The basic SAC position is that "warning is everone's business" and that any further slippage in the operational dates for SAMOS and MIDAS may very well jeopardize national

DPLBC 4 1330 Jan 60

Lt Col Mulling/jka 24224

Page 1 of 2

DOWNGRADED AT 12 YEAR INTERVALS: NOT AUTOMATICALLY DECLASSIFIED, DOD DIR 5200.10

SECRET



11

Ŀ

SAC OFFUIT AFB NEBR

security. Without adequate strategic intelligence and warning, all of the DoD atomic strike units will be vulnerable to a surprise attack. PART III: Accordingly, it is our conviction that the lack of an adequate collection system for strategic intelligence and warning is one of the biggest deficiencies in the USAF. Therefore, all areas, not just strategic systems, should be examined in order to obtain the monies required to meet an operational date of 1 July 62 for SAMOS and Jan 63 for MIDAS. PART IV: To insure that only the minimum essential requirements are being reflected into SAMOS and MIDAS, SAC by messages on 16 and 30 Dec 59 to ARDC has requested a SAC/ARDC meeting to jointly review the progress and to coordinate on the forthcoming AFBMC presentation. PART V: SAC recommendations in response to your 21 Dec 59 message will be made during the briefings scheduled by AFDAT message 61415 dated 7 Jan 1960.





4

-5 JAN 1000 pp

Ľ

IKIO WOGE/WOT/WOZE WDC

OX GA **W**A RE INGL 4046 DE RJVFAL 5C R 041922Z ZEX TH ADC TO AFEMD LOSANGELES CALIF BT IS E C R E T/FROM ADLPD-D 1 FOR DCS/D, HQ USAF SUBJ CLN /U/ MIDAS/SAMOS PROGRAMS THIS MESSAGE IN 3 PARTS. PART I. BY AFDAT 1259/59 DTD 19 OCT 59 HQ USAF DIRECTED ARDC, ADC, SAC AND AMC TO IM-MEDIATELY PREPARE RESPECTIVE DEVELOPMENT, OPERATIONAL, AND LOCISTIC PLANS FOR THE MIDAS AND SAMOS PROGRAMS AND SUP-MIT THE PLANS TO HQ USAF BY 23 NOVEMBER AS A MATTER OF URGENCY. EXPEDITED ACTION WAS TAKEN BY ALL COMMANDS TO

MEET THIS DEADLINE. MEANWHILE, PRIOR TO 23 NOV AND SUE-DOWNGRADED AT 12 YEAR

INTERVALS: NOT AUTOMATICALLY DECLASSIFIED. DOD DIR 5200.10

PAGE TWO RJWFAL 50 SEQUENTYLY, CERTAIN INSTRUCTIONS BASED ON REDUUCED FYS60 G YQ FUNDING FOR THESE PROGRAMS WERE RECEIVED WHEREPY DELIV-ERY OF PLANS WAS DELAYED, AND IT NOW APPEARS THAT MIDA/ BANOS PROGRAMS WILL BE SEVERLY DELAYED IN THE RAD PHASE AND THAT REGLIGIBLE FUNDING IS PLANNED IN FY 60 AND 61 FOR IMPLEMENTING THE OPERATIONAL PHASE. PART II. IN VIEW OF THE URGENT NEED FOR THE SEVERAL EXCELLENT MILI-TARY ADVANTAGES TO BE GAINED BY MIDAS/SAMOS THIS COM-HAND IS GRAVELY CONCERNED OVER ANY ACTIONS THAT WOULD DELAY GAINING THE FARLIEST POSSIBLE OPERATIONAL CAPAPIL-ITY FOR THESE SYSTEMS. THIS COMMAND IS FULLY COGNIZANT THAT BOTH SYSTEMS ARE DEMANDING MUCH OF THE STATE-OF-TNE-ART IN THEIR DEVELOPMENT. HOWEVER, IT IS BELIFVED THAT BOTH THE DEVELOPMENT AND OPERATIONAL PROGRAMS SNOULD PROCEED CURRENTLY AND BOTH BE ADEQUATELY FUNDED **50** THE HERETOFORE PLANNED OPERATIONAL DATES CAN BE REALIZED. FAILURE TO PROCEED CONCURRENTLY WILL DELAY ESSENTIAL USAF AEROSPACE CAPABILITY. PART III. YOUR REDUCTION IN FUNDING AND ATTENDANT PROGRAM SLOW DOWN APPEARS TO BE A CHANGE IN URGENCY FOR ATTAINING MIDAS/



MEAN CALINING THE LARLEES POCHETILS OPENATIONAL CAPABIL-STT FOR THESE SWITTHE, THE COMMAND INC MUCH OF THE STATE-OF-THAT BOTH SYSTEMS ARE WERANDING MUCH OF THE STATE-OF-THE-ART IN THEIR DEVELOPMENT. NOVEVER, IT IS BELIEVED THAT BOTH THE DEVELOPMENT, NOVEVER, IT IS BELIEVED THAT BOTH THE DEVELOPMENT, AND OPERATIONAL PROCEAMS SHOULD PROFILD CURRENTLY AND BOTH BE ADEQUATELY FUNDED SO THE MENETOFORE PLANNED OPERATIONAL DATES CAN BE REALIZED. FAILURE TO PROCEED CONCURRENTLY VILL BELAY ESSENTIAL USAF ARROSPACE CAPABILITY. PART LIL, TOUR REDUCTION IN KUNDING AND ATTENDANT PROCEAM SLOW DOWN APPEARD TO BE A CRANGE IN URGENEY FOR ATTAINING MIDAS!



1

11

PAGE THREE RJWFAL 5C SAMOS OPERATIONAL CAPABILITY. HOWEVER, THIS HQ HAS TECTIVED NO PESSAGE SPECIFICALLY STATING A CHANGE OF URGENCT. THEREFORE, REGEST YOU ADVISE THIS HQ ON FOLLOWING QUESTIONS CLN FIRST, HAS THERE BEEN A DIRECTED CHANGE IN MIDAS/ SAMOS PROGRAM URGENCY QUES IF SO, WHAT WERE THE CONSIDERA-TIONS AND BASIS FOR THE DECISION QUES SECOND, WHAT ACTION IS BEING TAKEN TO PROVIDE ADEQUATE FUNDING FOR CONCURENT PURSUAL OF DEVELOPMENTAL AND OPERATIONAL PROGRAMS QUES THIRD, WHAT CHANGE OF ACTION IS EXPECTED OF ADC IN VIEW OF THE REDUCED FUNDING DIRECTIVES SENT TO ARDC BY NG USAF QUES ET

04/2000Z JAN RJWFAL

ACK FOR 1 PLS RCD ONE OK END M



IC 41 R.4 K.

Z

ł

11

4 JM 1960

WERY

WDZE

PP RJWZBK DE RJEZFF 25C P 042120Z FM ARBC ANDREWS AFB MD TO AFBMD LOS ANGELES 45 CALIFORNIA BT

/5 E C R E T /RDRRB/4/1/4E ATTN WDZ COLONEL CURTIN WDGE, COLONEL SOPER WDZY, COLONEL ODER. SUBJECT /U/ SAMOS/MIDAS PROGRAMS. REFERENCE SAC MESSAGE CITE 10048 5919, 30 DECEMBER 1959. THIS MESSAGE IN TWO PARTS. PART 1. THE FOLLOWING MESSAGE TO SAC HAS BEEN DISPATCHED IN ANSWER TO REFERENCED MESSAGE. QUOTE SECRET/ RDRRB/4/1/3E SWBJECT SAMOS AND MIDAS PROGRAMS /U/. MESSAGE. IN TWO PARTS. PART 1. REFERENCE YOUR MESSAGE DPL 5919, 30 DECEMBER. ARDC CONCURS WITH THE PRINCIPLE THAT SAC AND ARDC JOINTLY RE-EXAMINE THE SAMOS, AND MIDAS PROGRAMS IN ORDER TO DEVISE A USEABLE PROGRAM PERMITTING RETENTION OF AN OPERATIONAL CAPABILITY WITHIN THE EXISTING FUND

PAGE TWO RJEZFF 25C CILLINGS. THE SAMOS SUB-SYSTEM I CONFERENCE SCHEDULED AT HQ ARDC INIS WEEK IS AN EXAMPLE OF THIS TYPE OF SAC AND ARDC JOINT CON-FIRENCE TO RESOLVE MUTUAL PROBLEMS. PART 2. ARDC HAS DIRECTED AFBMD TO CONTACT YOUR HEADQUARTERS DIRECTLY AND ARRANGE FOR A SUITABLE WORKING GROUP MEETING AS SOON AS POSSIBLE UNQUOTE. PART 2. REQUEST YOU CONTACT SAC DIRECTLY AND ARRANGE FOR A SUITABLE WORKING GROUP MEETING AS SOON AS POSSIBLE. ST

B42024Z JAN RJEZFF

DOWNGRADED AT 12 YEAR INTERVALS: NOT AUTOMATICALLY DECLASSIFIED. DOD DIN 5200.10

E ALL MARKEN BELLINELLY BELLINE GROUP PRIOR TO DECLASSIFICATION."

NNNN

NATERTAL LOT OF HISTARS

171317

lere

"A---PARAPHRASE MOT REQUIRED EXCEPT PRIOR TO CATEGORY & ENCIPTION TO CATEGORY & ENCIPTICATEGORY & ENCI

7611

. .

The second

(34) TO 3

DHEAT'S NYF EESEE/M

THE XX2 CHE

THE REPAIRS AND BEA FLANS SEC/BET 19 TEB.

WELL I' LET AVEL III OF STRUCTURE TO THE TENTATIVE REVISED R PRACTICABLE TO THE REPERTING THE TENTATIVE PERTIONLE AS SOON R PRACTICABLE. ROW BALEN POSSIBLE REPERTING THE TO THE FROM PARTY OF THE PART I OF ROW BALEN POSSIBLE REPERTING THE FULLE STORED BE AND SI CEPLINGE REF PART I OF ROW BALEN POSSIBLE REPERTING THE REVISED DEVELOPMENT PLANE THE FULLE ROW PARTICE POSSIBLE REPERTING ACTIONS COMED IN A ROWNENDA-DE OF FORM REPERTING THE REVISED DEVELOPMENT PLANE THE FULLE ROW PARTICE POSSIBLE REPERTING ACTIONS COMED IN A ROWNENDA-DE DIRECTED TO PHENETRY REPERTING ACTIONS COMED IN A ROWNENDA-DE DIRECTED TO PHENETRY REPERTING ACTIONS COMED IN A ROWNENDA-DE DIRECTED TO PHENETRY REPERTING ACTIONS COMED IN A ROWNENDA-DE DIRECTED TO PHENETRY REPERTING ACTIONS COMED IN A ROWNENDA-DE DIRECTED TO PHENETRY REPERTING ACTIONS AND A ROWNENDA-DE DIRECTED TO PHENETRY REPERTING ACTIONS AND A ROWNENDA-DE DIRECTED TO PHENETRY REPERTING ACTIONS AND A ROWNENDA-DE DIRECTED TO PHENETRY REPERTING A ROWNENDA-DE DIRECTED TO PHENETRY REPERTING A ROWNENDA-DE DIRECTED TO PHENETRY REPERTING A REVERTING A ROWNENDA-DE DIRECTED TO PHENETRY REPERTING A ROWNENDA-DE DIRECTED TO PHENETRY REPERTING A REVERTING A ROWNENDA-DE DIRECTED TO PHENETRY REPERTING A ROWNENDA-DE DIRECTED TO PHENETRY REPRESED TO PHENETRY REPRESED DIRECTED TO PHENETRY REPRESED DIRECTIONS COMED A ROWNENDA-DE DIRECTED TO PHENETRY REPRESED DIRECTED TO PHENETRY REPRESED DIRECTED TO PHENETRY REPRESED DIRECTED TO PHENETRY REPRESED DIRECTED D

STREAM THE STREET STREET STREET STREET

REDBOVANC ANIGHT PATTERSON ATD ONIO DEVILVANC WITCHT ATD NET DEVILVANC OFFICE AND NET DEVILVANC OFFICE DEVILVANC DEVILVANC OFFICE DEVILVANC DEVILVANC DEVILVANC OFFICE DEVILVANC DEVILVANC

-ZISTYLO

SREERS OL

e.

A

 \sum

1

11

ſ

DE LASSIFIED. DOD DIR 5200.10

DOWNGRADED AT 12 YEAR DE LASSIFIED FOR DE TASSIFIED FOR D

·. ·

DISICE LER, SALARY AND THE PRODUCT

Meriders, Vergons Buard

Report of Verpors Board (a. 20-1) January 1980

AFGRQ-WI

SS: 307

32

and from D Fairlie lipmo Bot 3

tingen den et







188

19 Jan 1960

PRIORITY:

Y

С 0 Р

X

۵

þ

K HON XWW

AF ORIG

SECRET

SAC OFFUIT AFB NEBRASKA

COFS USAF WASHINGTON D. C.

SECRET/C 0706 FOR GENERAL WHITE FROM GENERAL POWER. INFO: AFAMA-2B. SUBJECT: (U) Principal problems of Major Air Commands. This message in 2 parts. PART I: <u>PROBLEMS ON WHICH I BELIEVE SPECIFIC EMPHASIS</u> <u>SHOULD BE PLACED</u> (1) SAMOS-MIDAS PROGRAMS. As I pointed out in my last message, I am deeply concerned about the possibility of further slippage in the SAMOS and MIDAS Programs. In the interim period certain events have transpired which only serve to strengthen my firm conviction that the Air Force cannot afford to let these programs slip any further. First, I refer to the recent series of newspaper headlines wherein Mr. Khrushchev publicly announced that he now has ballistic missiles in quantity and accordingly will materially reduce DFLEP 1530 19 Jan 60

B/COL FUTCH/ggb 24123

Page 1 of 3

DOWNGRADED AT 12 YEAK INTERVALS; NOT AUTOMATICALLY DECLASSIFIED, DOD DIR 5200.10



SECRET

E1

SAC OFFUTT AFB NEBR

the Soviet troop strength. The propaganda, political and military implications of this action are obvious and far reaching. The second event I refer to is the President's recommendation that Congress mend the National Aeronautics and Space Act to clearly delineate the necessity for both a civilian and a military space program. This recommendation stated among other things that, "the military utilization of space is integral to the total defense program of the United States." In view of the above and recognizing our great lack of specific knowledge as to exactly where Russia ballistic missiles are sited, and appreciating that in the missile era, warning is the key to survival, it is clear to me that the Air Force simply cannot afford to let these two programs slip any further. I am well aware that DODRE motivated the current budget exercises, aimed at a reduced or "fly before buy" program, because of their question on system reliabilities. However, General Schriever has assured us that these programs can be made operational on time, with adequate funds. Since any further slippage in SAMOS and MIDAS may very sell jeopardize National DPLBP

page 2 of 3



SECRET

111

1

SAC OFFUTT AFB NEBR

V)

security, I strongly recommend that the USAF fund for an operational date of 1 July 62 for SAMOS and a 1 January 1963 for MIDAS and place the responsibility for any delay of these programs upon the DOD. Specifically it is recommended that this position be endorsed by the AFEMC during the 10 February 60 meeting on this problem area.

(2) THE MK-2B RESTRICTION

(3) THE MINUTEMAN WARHEAD DECISION

PART II: Timely and fovorable solutions to the above are extremely important to this Command. Your continued support and personal attention to these subjects is requested.

Page 3 of 3



HEADQUARTERS AIR FORCE BALLISTIC MISSILE DIVISION (ARDC) UNITED STATES AIR FORCE

1!!

Air Force Unit Post Office, Los Angeles 45, California

REPLY TO ATTN OF: WDZ

SUBJECT: Visit of DOD Advisory Group on Electronics (Baker Committee)

TO: WDGV

1. The Department of Defense COMINT/COMSEC/ELINT Advisory Group and selected guests will visit AFBMD for briefings on SAMOS at 0830-1400, Wednesday, 27 January 1960. Attached is a copy of the Agenda and a copy of the group's itinerary and composition.

2. The stated purpose of the visit is for the group to become as knowledgeable as possible on the status of major elements of SAMOS and the projected direction of the program, in order that they will understand the true implications of recommendations made to them and/or that they will make to Dr. York during the Development Plan reviews next week.

3. It is recommended that your introductory comments emphasize the R&D nature of SAMOS and the formidable task we face in getting all the nuts and bolts integrated smoothly into a reliably functioning system. The difficult task ahead might be compared to the early difficult phases of the ballistic missile programs when they were embarking upon their initial test phases, emphasizing the need for maintaining development flexibility and sufficient testing before being considered suitable for operations. In addition the point might be made that those who are responsible for the effective development must have some latitude within which to work in order to most expeditiously and efficiently realize the goods established. (As you are so well aware SAMOS seems to be redesigned, reengineered and certainly reprogrammed individually by every governmental group and agency at least once each fiscal year.)

4. The visiting group is composed of many persons in high authority. It appears that within this overall group Dr. Billings, Mr. Coyne, Mr. Weaver, Mr. Hinshaw, Dr. Baker and Dr. Tordella are particularly important. It has therefore been suggested that a short pre-briefing welcome of these six persons in your office upon arrival at 0830 might be in order followed by a short welcome message to the entire group which will be convened in the Command Presentation Center. Captain Erickson has arranged a luncheon for the group which, if your schedule permits attending, would present a fine opportunity for further discussion.

> 2 Atch 1. Agenda 2. Group's Itinerary

O What episto

· Why does R&D Cash 2 Processing R&D buts

Vandenburg Cink Cost Why

OFFICIAL USE ONLY

1

AGENDA

SAMOS BRIEFING

26 January, 1960

Coffee

	Coffee	RESENTATION RESENTER
0845	Welcome	Gen Terhune
0850	SAMOS + Film	Col King
0930	SS/E	Maj Conway
0950	SS/F	Maj Copley

Coffee

1050	S S/I	Maj	Wienberg	~
1130	System Studies	Dr.	Edmundson	V

Lunch

1400

l

() | |

Transportation to JPL -----Front Bldg #3

NQA323 PP RJWZBK DE RJWIBR 123 P 2816292 ZFF-2 FM CINCSAC OFFUTT AFB NEBR TO RJEZHQ/USAF WASHINGTON D C INFO RJEZFF/ARDC ANDREWS AFB MD BWZBK/AFBMD INGLEWOOD CALIF

WVZBK/AFBMD INGLEWOOD CALIF T SECRET VC 0847. PERSONAL FOR GENERAL LEMAY FROM GENERAL SRISWOLD. SUBJECT IS SAMOS AND MIDAS. THIS MESSAGE IN V PARTS. PART I: REFERENCE IS MADE YOUR MESSAGE AFCVC 98219 DATED 21 DECEMBER 1959 AND MMY INTERIM EANSWER VC-9206 DATED 6 JANUARY 1960. PART II: SAC AND ARDC REPRESENTATIVES HAVE REVIEWED THESE PROGRAMS WITH A VIEW TO REDUCING THE REQUIREMENTS TO MINIMUM ESSENTIAL. FROM OUR VIEWPOINT, HOWEVER,

. 13

ACHINA

15

، > تتعلقا همزن

03

ARDC (AFBMD) WILL HAVE TO PRESENT A MORE OR LESS DIRECTED SOLUTION TO THE AFBMC IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE

PAGE TWO RJWXBR 123

FUND CEILINGS CONTAINED IN AFBAT 98212. WITH REGARDS TO SAMOS, AFBHD IS CURPENTLY PLANNING ON PRESENTING A PROGRAM, IN KEEPING WITH THE AFDAT CEILING, WHICH MODAL HAS ENTITLED "MINIMUM ESSENTIAL RAD PROGRAM". THIS PROGRAM WOULD LEAD TO AN OPERATIONAL PROGRAM SOMETIME IN 1964. IN ADDITION AFBMD WILL PRESENT ANOTHER, SLIGHTLY MORE COSTLY, PROGRAM WHICH THEY HAVE ENTITLED "DEVELOPER/OPERATOR PROGRAM". THIS LATTER SAMOS PROGRAM WOULD PRODUCE A SUBSCALE OPERATION PROGRM IN LATE 1963, VERSUS A PREVIOUSLY AGREED TO FULLY OPERATIONAL DATE OF 1 JULY 1962.

BOTH OF THESE PROGRAMS ARE, OF COURSE, UNACCEPTABLE TO SAC. IN THIS CONNECTION REFERENCE IS MADE TO A MESSAGE FROM GENERAL POWER TO GENERAL WHITE, CITE C-0706 DATED 25 JANUARY 60, SUBJECT: "PRINCIPAL PROBLEMS OF MAJOR COMMANDS". /PART III: REFERENCE IS MADE TO AFDAT MESSAGE 64965, DATED 22 JANUARY 60 WHICH STATED, "IN ADDITION TO RECOMMENDATIONS FOR MIDAS IN ACCORDANCE WITH ACTIONS DIRECTED IN AFDAT 96212, IT IS REQUESTED THAT ARDC BE PREPARED TO DISCUSS A PROGRAM

PAGE THREE RJVXBR 123 THAT WOULD INSURE THE EARLIEST POSSIBLE OPERATIONAL DATE FOR HIDAS ASSUMING A 1 MARCH 1968 GO-AHEAD". PART IV: IN VIEW OF THE ABOVE, AFDAT MESSAGE AND APPRECIATING THE TECHNICAL INTERFACE BETWEEN SAMOS AND MIDAS AND AT THE SAME TIME RECOGNIZING THAT THE PRESIDENT HAS PREVIOUSLY ESTABLISHED A DX PRIORITY FOR SAMOS, IT IS REQUESTED THAT ARDC (AFBND) BE DIRECTED TO ALSO BRIET A SAMOS PROGRAM THAT WILL PERMIT A 1 JULY 1962 OPERATIONAL DATE, IT IS AGAIN RECOM-MENDED THAT THE AFBMC . DURING ITS 10 FEBRUARY 60 MELTING ON THIS SUBJECT, FUND FOR AN OPERATIONAL DATE OF 1 JULY 62 FOR SAMOS AND 1 JAN MARY 63 FOR MODAS. PART V: IT IS DESIRED TO HAVE SAC REPRESENTATION AT THE 3 FEBRUARY 60, WEAPONS BOARD MEETING AND THE 18 FEBRUARY 1968 AFBMC MEEGING ON THIS SUBJECT. NAMES OT SAC REPRESENTATIVES TO THE 3 TEARMARY 60 WEAPONS DEARD MEETING ARE COLONEL R. C. DUPOND, LT COLONEL EUGENE G. MULLING AND MAJOR L. D. UNDERBOOD, NAMES OF TWO (2) SAC REPRESENTATIVES TO THE 19 FEBRUARY 50 AFBMC MEETING WILL BE FORWARDED NLT 6 FEBRUARY 1968.

i: : .

1 !

3/16332 JAN RJVXBF

-PARAPHRASE NOT RECEIPT DESCRET PROP CATEGORY & ENCENTRY DESCRET/RE-VE ALL INTERNAL RE-REPORTED BY DATE-THE WAUGUP PRICE TO DEDCLET DESCRET



-
11 938 SFARF MEREILE. MERINE DLP/10000 JAN HAL 00 54 DASS2 WDZE 00 XJV7DX N 2,17 (3)4 481 WDZY 02 9233 57 WDGE TH REUSAT VASX DC to rjezft/combr arde ambrilis atb mb INTO RJUZBE/COMBE ATMS LOSA CALIF RJWFAL/COMBR AIR BET COMM COLO SPRINCS COLO RJWXBR/COMBR SAC OMAXA MEB LIEBGA/CONDR ANC WRIGHT PATTERSON ONIO TT //S E C R E T//FROM AFDAT 66938 REFERENCE PART III AFDAT MSC 96212, 21 DEC 59; PART II ATDAT MSG 6415, 7 JAN 60 THIS MSG IN TWO PARTS. PART I. THE FIRM SCHEDULE FOR PRESENTING THE REVISED PLANS FOR PISCOVERER, SANGS, HIBAS ISI () FEB (0 TO AIR STAFE, 1400, RH AC-1852) (3) FEB 60 TO VEAPONE BOARD, SPEE, RH AC-1850; (D) FEB (0 TO AFBMC, 1400, RH AC-1852; SUBMITTAL OF DEVELOPMENT PLANE TO GED () FEB (0, 1400, X-139 AND OPERATIONAL PLANS WITH USER PELATIONENIPS TO GED (400, X-137 AND SE-130. ED FEB 68, 1430, 3E-130. PART

1.

1

PAGE TWO RJEZHO 491 REQUEST AFBND REVIEW THE ARMY AND NAVY REQUIREMENTS SPECIFIED IN AMENDMENT NO. 16 TO ARPA ORDER 9- 40. AFUND SHOULD BE PRE-PARED TO STATE WHICH OF THESE REQUIREMENTS WILL BE SATISFIED BY THE SAMOS AND HIDAS PROGRAMS AND WRICH OF THESE REQUIRE ABDITIONAL DEVELOPMENT EFFORT. BT WATTA. SEE!

-CEPDL1

29/23377 JAN RJETHO

DOWNGRADED AT 12 YEAR INTERVALS: EUR AUTOMATICALLY DECLASSIFIED, DOD DIR 5200.10

"A PARAPHRASE NOT REQUIRED EXCEPT PRIOR TO CATEGORY & ENCRYPTION ... PHYSICALLY NE-MOVE ALL INTERNAL REFERENCES BY DATE-TIME GROUP PRIOR TO DECLASSIFICATION."

DENNTXS

ç

FS 192

1 . .

JAN 2 D BOD

WDZP

Fransmittal of Discoverer, SAMOS and MIDAS Development Plans

Hq USAF (AFDAT) Wash 25, D. C.

1. Transmitted under separate cover are five copies each of the following documents:

a. Discoverer Development Plan dated 15 January 1960,

u. SAMOS Development Plan dated 15 January 1960.

c. SAMOS Development/Operational Annex dated 15 January 1960.

d. MIDAS Development Plan dated 15 January 1960.

e. MIDAS Development/Operational Annex dated 15 January 1969.

2. These plans have been prepared in response to Hq USAF guidance (main messages 98212 and 61415) resulting from the presentation of these programs to Hq USAF during 14-16 December 1959.

5. The Discoverer Development Plan. This plan provides for a program of 29 flight vehicles and in accordance with the guidance continues the Discoverer program essentially as previously planned. To accomplish this it has been necessary to use the authorized funding flexibility and allocate to the Discoverer program, \$71.1 millions in FY 1960 and \$15.1 millions in FY 1961. It is recommended that the Discoverer Development Plan and fund allocation be approved.

4. In the case of SAMOS and MIDAS, certain minimum essential additions in excess of the funding ceilings are recommended for consideration and approval.



WDZP-23

CORNERCIED AN IN ALLAR

THISSFED IN THE LEVEL

1. Para LS: Mar

SEUNET

a. <u>SAMOS Development Plan</u>. This plan provides for a 25 flight vehicle program and maintains a level of effort consistent with a minimum essential research and development program. The SAMOS program has been allocated within the fund limitations of \$160.0 millions in FY 1960 and

b. <u>SAMOS Development/Operational Annex</u>. This annex to the development plan has been prepared to define the necessary funding and describe the items of work, equipments, and additional facilities necessary to provide a timely base for a future operational program. The annex is the basis for the transition from a research and development environment into an operational configuration.

c. It is recommended:

(1) That the SAMOS Development Plan and funding allocations be approved.

(2) That the SAMOS Development/Operational Annex be approved as presented. The additions to the SAMOS Program contained in this annex will save valuable time and decrease the overall program costs over the long term.

a. MIDAS Development Plan. This plan has been funded within the funds remaining following the funding of Discoverer and the SAMOS minimum essential R&D plan. The amounts remaining for MIDAS are \$31.1 millions in FY 60 and \$41.0 millions in FY 61. The research and development objective and philosophy have remained unchanged in the plan. However, the impact of this funding rate extends the time schedule of accomplishment of various items of work drastically. This delay of a logical and feasible accomplishment of MIDAS development tasks has required adding to the MIDAS plan a special section (Tab 6, Section 1). This section describes those additional R&D tasks and the costs of same (FY 60, \$20.6 millions and FY 61, \$65.8 millions) which will permit an increase in the level of effort to raise the program to a minimum essential R&D program. Any program which is less than a minimum essential R&D program will necessarily sacrifice valuable time, reduce efficiency and ultimately add to the overall program costs.

b. <u>MIDAS Development/Operational Annex</u>. This annex defines the funding and describes the items of work, equipments and additional facilities, which when added to the minimum essential R&D program provides a logical base from which a



2

.1

fellow-on operational program could be projected. It must be pointed out that consideration should only be given to the MIDAS Development/Operational Annex following an approval of the MIDAS minimum essential R&D program.

SECRET

c. It is recommended:

(1) That the MIDAS Development Plan, including the additional R&D tasks and associated funding, be approved to permit the continuation of MIDAS at a minimum essential R&D level of effort.

(2) That the MIDAS Development/Operational Annex be approved to insure the timely completion of the essential tasks necessary to provide a basis for a future operational program.

SECRET

O. J. RITLAND MAJOR GENERAL, USAF COMMANDER

3

,0

Copies te: ARDC (RDRRB) 1:

1

.1

ROUGE DRAFT

OPS DECEDIATS

÷.,

CONFIDENTIAL

1 .

AFEND LOSA CALIF TM: TO: COPS USAF WASH DC INFO: ARDC ANDREWS AFB MD

1)At 12 et 1960 193

CONFIDENTIAL FROM WDZY-1-6-E. USAF FOR AFDAT. INFO ARDC FOR HDRRB. 66939 REFERENCE AFDAT 64938. AFEND ANSWERING THIS WIRE FOR ARDC. REFERENCE PART I. AFEMD WILL BE PREPARED TO MEET SCHEDULE OUTLINED. REFERENCE PART II AFEMD WILL NOT BE PREPARED TO FORMALLY DISCUSS ARMY AND NAVY REQUIREMENTS SPECIFIED IN AMENDMENT 16 TO ARPA ORDER 9-60 DURING VISIT 1-3 FEB. HOWEVER WE EXPECT TO BE SO PREPARED BY 10 FEB MEETING. THIS IN IN ACCORDANCE WITH VIRGAL INFORMATION RECEIVED FROM MAJOR FLOYD AFDAT 28 JANUARY. IT SHOULD BE NOTED THAT AFBMD HAS NEVER FORMALLY RECEIVED THE REFERENCED REQUIREMENTS AND WE HAVE REASON TO BELIEVE THE UNOFFICIAL THAT THESE DOCUMENTS WHICH WE DO HAVE ARE NOT CURRENT. REQUEST AFDAT OFFICIAL BE PREPARED TO SUPPLY US CURRENT COPIES DURING 1-3 FEBRUARY VISIT. AFEND PLANS TO INFORMALLY DISCUSS THESE ITEMS DURING 1-3 FEBRUARY VISIT BASED UPON REVIEW OF DOCUMENTS IN OUR POSSESSION.

UNOFFICIAL

3

DOWNGRADED AT 12 YEAR INTERVALS; NOT AUTOMATICALLY DECLASSIFIED. DOD UIR 5200.10

CONFIDENTIAL



LM6D-446364 11 February 1980 /94 Copy No. E-18 22 Sheets

1 1

L

ł

DOWNGRADED AT 3 YEAR INTERVALS: DECLASSIFIED AFTER 12 YEARS. DOD DIR 5200.10

> SUGGESTED THORAD/AGENA COMBINATION FOR SAMOS PROGRAM

Approved:

DOUGLAS AIRCRAFT COMPANY, INC. MISSILES AND SPACE SYSTEMS

LOCKHEED AIRCRAFT CORPORATION MIBBILES AND SPACE DIVISION

G. V. Butler, Chief Project Engineer Space Systems Project

L. Johnson Chief Engineer Missiles and Space Systems

Approved:

E.R. Proctor. Manager, Program Administration and Control, Satellite Systems

	CLASSIFICATION CHANGED
- R Smilt	CONFIDENTIAL
	By Authority of
R. Smelt,	By RT K 205 . 2 Date 11 JAN 1966

Manager

Satellite Systems



WDAT 60-1513 MISSILES and SPACE DIVISION

LOCKHEED AIRCRAFT CORPORATION

LMSD-446384 ·

1

.

CONFIDENTIAL

1 1





LOCKHEED AIRCRAFT CORPORATION



0

- 1

LMSD-446384

1 1

FOREWORD

This presentation of the proposed Thorad/Agena combination for the Samos program has been prepared by Lockheed Missiles and Space Division under the provisions of AF Contract 04 (647)-347, and by Douglas Missiles and Space Systems under Supplemental Agreement No. 15 to AF Contract 04 (645)-65.

This joint report analyzes an alternate program for Samos reconnaissance flights which is based on inclusion of a modified Thor vehicle as a booster, in addition to the Atlas vehicle currently programmed.

The objective has been to increase reliability, reduce costs, and relieve launch pad congestion in a manner which will not prejudice the Samos mission capability. As prime contractor for Samos, LMSD will continue investigations in furtherance of this objective, and submit further recommendations as indicated.

iii



MISSILES and SPACE DIVISION

CONFIDENTIAL

۲ زر

CONFIDENTIAL

· •

I

CONTENTS

		Page
FOREWORI		iii
SECTION 1	INTRODUCTION	· 1
•	Present Samos Program Revised Samos Program	1 2
SECTION 2	TECHNICAL CONCEPT	5
	Review of Samos Missions Advanced Thor Capabilities Thorad A and B Configurations Thorad Structural Innovations Thorad Manufacturing and Tooling Requirements Thorad Solid Propellant Boosters	5 5 6 8 9
	Thorad Guidance Methods Thorad Performance Thorad Ground Support Modifications Thorad Reliability Agena Modifications for Thorad Booster Samos Weight Requirements Thorad/Agena Performance Capabilities	12 14 16 19 22 26 29
SECTION 3	SCHEDULES Present Overall Program (LMSD) Proposed Overall Program (LMSD) Thorad Program Plan (Douglas)	31 31 31 33
SECTION 4	FUNDING AND COST COMPARISONS Development Program Operational Program	35 35 35
SECTION 5	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	37

MISSILES and SPACE DIVISION

_CONFIDENTIAL

iv

LMSD-446384

1 :

I

CONFIDENTIAL

.

4 4

ILLUSTRATIONS

I

Figure		Page	
2-1	Vehicle Configurations with Agena B Second Stage	7	· · · ·
2-2	Solid Propellant Rocket Separation Method	10	
2-3	Booster Impact Range for Thorad A	. 11	
2-4	Apogee Velocity Vs. Useful Load for Various Configurations	15	
2-5	Thorad Inboard Profile	17	
2-6	Thorad A and Agena Burnout Weight Vs. Altitude	28	• •
2-7	Thorad B and Agena Burnout Weight Vs. Altitude	28	
		TA	BLES
Table		Page	
2-1	Thorad Major Structural Tooling	8	
2-2	Thorad Guidance System	14	· .
2-3	Thorad Ground Equipment	19	
2-4	Thor Reliability	21	
2-5	Thorad A System Comparison	21	·
2-6	Agena Burnout Weight	27	
3-1	Thorad/Agena Samos Flight Schedules	32	
3-2	Thorad Program Plan	32	
4-1	Funding Comparison, Thorad A/Agena for Samos	36	

MISSILES and SPACE DIVISION

CONFIDENTIAL,

.

. :1

CONFIDENTIAL

1 :

L

.!



vi

LOCKHEED AIRCRAFT CORPORATION



CONFIDENTIAL

.ŋ

N

V

SECTION 1

INTRODUCTION

Lockheed Missiles and Space Division has been investigating for some time ways and means to increase reliability, reduce total program costs, insure timely deliveries of boosters, and relieve launch pad congestion on the Samos program. A key approach to achieving these ends involves the utilization of the Thor booster.

Jointly, Lockheed and Douglas Aircraft Companies have explored possible methods to further these objectives. During early November 1959, AFBMD and AFBMC were briefed on an advanced Thor configuration incorporating the Rocketdyne H-1 engine and increasing the propellant tankage. This information was documented in a letter to the Commander, AFBMD, subject "Thor/Agena for Samos," dated 11 November 1959, LMSD-444178 (Secret), and Douglas document SM-36305 (Secret). Joint studies have continued on other advanced versions of the Thor booster with increased thrust and propellant capacity.

This report sets forth a concept of increased Thor propellant tankage, and the use of three solid propellant rockets attached to the engine section of the Thor, to provide increased performance capabilities not previously obtainable.

Section 2 of this report discusses the technical aspects of this new Thor booster concept. Section 3 reviews programming schedules, Section 4 the funding and cost comparisons, and Section 5 the joint conclusions and recommendations of Lockheed and Douglas.

PRESENT SAMOS PROGRAM

The presently planned development program for the Samos system consists of an Atlas boosted Agena vehicle with either

CONFIDENTIAL



CUNFIDENTIAL

MISSILES and SPACE DIVISION

1 :

a visual (E) or electromagnetic (F) reconnaissance sensor as the payload.

After fabrication, assembly, and checkout at LMSD, the Agena vehicle is delivered to the Missile Assembly Building at Vandenberg Air Force Base where final checkout and servicing is performed. The vehicle is transported subsequently to Launch Complex Number 1 at Point Arguello on the Pacific Missile Range. This complex consists of a single blockhouse which services two launch pads. The Agena is mated to the Atlas booster at the launch pad. After the required countdown procedure, the Atlas/Agena is launched in a southerly direction so that after separation the Agena will be in a nearly circular polar orbit. Circular orbits are required in all cases to adequately perform the prescribed flight objectives. Altitudes of the orbiting Agena vary from 155 to 320 nautical miles depending upon the type of sensor and the mission to be accomplished.

Eighteen launches are programmed for the development program.

Operational flights will follow this R&D program with all launches scheduled from the Pacific Missile Range. Approximately eighteen launches per year will be made with a mix + of ferret and visual payloads as required.

REVISED SAMOS PROGRAM

The proposed revision to the Samos program incorporates the use of both the Atlas and Thorad as boosters for the Agena, depending on the type of payload to be carried in the satellite. The flight program encompasses eighteen launches with all payloads and flight dates coinciding with those planned for the present Samos program. Of the eighteen flights, eleven will utilize the Thorad and the remainder Atlas boosters.

The previous Thor/Agena for Samos study indicated that a larger version of the Thor could be used to boost the F_2 and F_3 Ferret payloads. Continued studies now show that an enlarged Thor with solid propellant engines, can be used to boost the E_2 payload in addition to the F payloads. This improved performance Thor has been designated "Thorad." It contains 38,000 pounds additional liquid propellant, and has three 54,500 pound thrust solid propellant rocket engine boosters. Two configurations have been studied: Thorad A,

2

1

Ŷ

19



LMSD-446384

using the Discoverer follow-on 165 K engine; and Thorad B, using a modified 190 K Saturn H-1 engine. Both vehicles have the capability of boosting the E_2 and F payloads, but the Thorad B has an additional performance margin. The use of Thorad A in the Samos program is recommended due to the high reliability and flight test experience gained in previous Thor missile and space vehicle launchings, and in the Little Joe solid propellant booster program. If sufficient funds are available, Thorad B should be introduced to provide greater payload capability.

Launch Complex 75-1, formerly a Thor training complex, and composed of one blockhouse and two launch pads, will be used on the Thorad/Agena program. Only minor modifications to the launch complex will be required to support the Thorad/Agena combination. However, in support of the Agena, a set of Agena ground support equipments must be installed. This includes ground handling, servicing and launch control monitor equipment. No additional Agena checkout equipment will be necessary inasmuch as the existing equipment in the Missile Assembly Building at VAFB can be used.

Those launches scheduled with Atlas as the booster will be made from Launch Complex No. 1 at Point Arguello, PMR, as presently programmed. No modification to the facility or additional ground support equipment will be necessary.

: ONFIDENTL

j.

MISSILES and SPACE DIVISION

1

.1

1.

!

CONFIDENTIAL



ю х

ì



LMSD-446384

1 4

.1

SECTION 2

TECHNICAL CONCEPT

REVIEW OF SAMOS MISSIONS

A variety of payloads are to be placed in orbit as part of the R&D phase of the Samos Program. These R&D payloads include both visual (E-Type) and electromagnetic (F-Type) reconnaissance missions. The payloads are divided into the following configurations:

 E_1/F_1 - The first three flights of Samos are dual payloads, powered by primary batteries only, with an operational lifetime of several weeks.

 F_2 - An electromagnetic reconnaissance system using digital techniques, with solar power, and launched on a polar orbit at 320 nm altitude.

 F_3 - An electromagnetic reconnaissance system using video techniques, powered by solar cells, and launched on a polar orbit at 320 nm altitude.

 E_2 - An advanced visual system with high resolution and read-out capability, using solar power, and launched on an 83^o retrograde orbit at 261 nm altitude.

E₅ - An advanced visual recovery system with very high resolution, using solar power, and launched on a polar orbit at 155 nm altitude.

ADVANCED THOR CAPABILITIES

Due to schedule and weight limitations, the first three flights with dual payloads were not considered for this study. As a result of the previous Thor-for-Samos study, it was determined that a Thor with the 190,000 pound thrust H-1 engine and 28,000 pounds additional propellant could be used as a



CONFIDENTIAL

S

booster for the F_2 and F_3 payloads. This is still a valid conclusion despite weight increases resulting from a change in the solar array configuration. It was also evident, however, that this previous configuration could not be used as a booster for the E payloads due to their larger weight requirements. Continued studies by Douglas and Lockheed now indicate that a Thor vehicle can be developed which is capable of boosting the F payloads and the E_2 payload. By using larger solid propellant boosters, it may also be capable of boosting the E_5 payload.

CONFIDENTIAL

THORAD A AND B CONFIGURATIONS

The proposed Thorad configuration has an increase in propellant weight of 38,000 pounds over the present Thor, and uses three 54,500 pound thrust solid propellant engines which are jettisoned after burnout. Two configurations were studied, Thorad A using the 165,000 pound thrust Rocketdyne Block II engine scheduled for the Discoverer follow-on flights, and Thorad B using a 190,000 pound thrust modified H-1 engine developed for Saturn. A comparison of the Thorad A/Agena and the Discoverer follow-on Thor/Agena is shown in Figure 2-1.

THORAD STRUCTURAL INNOVATIONS

Increased propellant capacity is obtained for . Thorad by lengthening the liquid oxygen tank and by replacing the conical fuel tank with a cylindrical container which is essentially a shorter version of the liquid oxygen tank. Because of the simplicity of Thor manufacturing processes, this may be accomplished with no major tank tooling and only minor rework to part of the existing tooling. The larger forward diameter of the Thorad fuel tank will make necessary a new interstage section. A minimum-length transition section between the Thorad and the present cylindrical Agena adapter was found to increase drag and cause an undesirable penalty in burnout velocity because of the abrupt cone angle involved. The conical interstage section and Agena adapter shown in Figure 2-1 will minimize aerodynamic drag.







CONFIDENTIAL LOCKHEED AIRCRAFT CORPORATION

V

MISSILES and SPACE DIVISION

. .1

57

1

CONFIDENTIAL

1 :

1

THORAD MANUFACTURING AND TOOLING REQUIREMENTS

A resume of major Thor structural-tooling revision is shown in Table 2-1. The engine section for Thorad A will be that of the existing DM21 with only skin gage and fitting modifications to mount the solid propellant rockets. Thorad B would require a re-design of the engine section. The center body section will be unchanged from the present Thor. The Douglas portion of the new interstage will be an aluminum semi-monocoque structure similar to those used on DM21 and DM18.

Existing dies may be used to form the four 96-inch diameter tank ends, which are identical until they are chemically etched to leave stiffening areas. A minor change here will be the modification of the etch templates used to govern the application of protective wax for areas not to be etched. Also, some modification of weldment fixtures for attachment of vents and outlets to the tanks will be required.

Longer tank skins are obtained merely by purchasing longer. sheet stock and machining additional waffles in them. The

178m	-	NOB- TRB	-	NEMAINS
		L,		
MAIN ASSESSED ATTACK	Ŀ∗.		L.	
CLANNE BOWY SECTION	L		-	
			- 1	
TANK SHUDHAD BRAN - FORM SHE				•
ASSEMBLY WILD PATIANS				
		·		
	E: ·	x		BINCHA BUQUIND - INCREASE LENDIN OF TANK THM PUTURE
LO2 TANK				
	Ε.			MINOR REPORT ONLY BOOMED FOR INCREASED TANK LENDTH
HYDROFATIC CHICHOUT PUTWIE	-	-X		MINOR REVOIL GARY MICHAEL
PUEL TANK PANELNIK SEAM WELDER				
MAIN SHEL WED BOLLY				MINOR REMORE TO LOS BOLLY TO ACCOMMODATE FUEL TANK
NYINGGIATIC CHECKDAR PERME-		- 1		Manche Somosie Chily Alconnes
MAIN ASSIMILY JO		x		APWORK ARQUIRED FOR LENSTH & SHAPE CHANNELS OF TANK
and the second s		, ,		

TABLE 2-1 THORAD MAJOR STRUCTURAL TOOLING

. . 8

1.

C

_∆} √€



brake press used to form the skins to the required diameter by means of multiple small-angle bends will remain unchanged. Each of the three tank skins used to form a tank wall must be trimmed to a proper width after brake-forming. The fixture used for trimming skins must be increased in length. The Pandjiris seam welder used to make longitudinal tank seams will handle the Thorad oxidant and fuel tanks with no rework, as will the fixtures for attaching tank ends to the skins. Rework of the tank main-assembly jigs and the hydrostatic test equipment will be required because of tank length changes. The final assembly stands will require lengthening to accommodate Thorad.

THORAD SOLID PROPELLANT BOOSTERS

Solid propellant engines will be used to effectively double the first stage thrust at launch. A number of solid propellant rockets were investigated on the basis of cost, availability, and proven performance. The Thiokol XM-33-E2 engine appeared to be particularly outstanding for this application. Three of these engines developed for the NASA Little Joe program will be attached to the thrust structure of the Thorad. This engine is rated at 54,500 pounds thrust at sea level, with a gross weight of 8810 pounds and a propellant weight of 7300 pounds.

Ignition of the XM-33-E2 solid-propellant rockets will be accomplished by ground-powered electrical systems with a sufficient degree of redundancy to insure positive ignition. Ignition timing will be controlled by the main stage signal from the main engine. In the event that one or two of the solids fail to ignite, the vehicle will still lift off the pad. Although the mission could not be completed because of the performance deficiency, it would be desirable to continue flight to a safe region for destruction. Simulated trajectory studies have shown that this is possible if the solid-propellant nozzles are canted to pass thrust vectors near the vehicle cg. Because of the short cg travel of approximately 24 in. during solid-propellant burning, it was determined that a fixed cant angle of 11° would result in less than $\pm 1/2^{\circ}$ of main engine deflection to control all cases of failure or variation in burning time during use of the solids. A ver-



LOCKHEED AIRCRAFT CORPORATION



9

nier roll deflection of 21° will be required to cancel out the maximum thrust malalignment of 4 mils. The studies also indicated that the vehicle would clear the launcher under these conditions.

One method for mounting and separation of the solid-propellant rockets is shown in Figure 2-2. A sheet metal structure is bonded along the length of the steel case of the rocket. This structure terminates in a fitting which transmits longitudinal and lateral loads to the vehicle through an actuator mounted on the forward end of the engine compartment. Lateral forces at the rear end of the rocket case are supported by a structure attached to the base of the vehicle. With a separation signal from the autopilot programmer, the actu-



FIGURE 2-2 SOLID PROPELLANT ROCKET SEPARATION METHOD

10

CONFIDENTIAL

LOCKHEED AIRCRAFT CORPORATION

3

ŀ

LMSD-446384

1 1

ator is fired, forcing the empty case to rotate outward from Thorad around a pivot point in the aft structure. Drag on the case and thrust forces from the Thorad engine will accelerate rotation as the angle between Thorad and the empty case increases. At a preset angle, a trip mechanism will release the aft end of the case from the pivot. Rotation will continue, but aerodynamic forces will cause the case to move away from the vehicle. Booster burnout occurs at about 40 seconds of flight at an altitude of 26,000 feet and 3400 feet downrange for the trajectory case studied. Booster separation will be delayed until 90 seconds for 180° launch azimuths when the rockets will impact clear of any land mass as shown in Figure 2-3. For 190° launch azimuths, separation can



FIGURE 2-3 BOOSTER IMPACT RANGE FOR THORAD-A

11

MISSILES and SPACE DIVISION

CONFIDENTIAL

'n

r٨

CONFIDENTIAL

1 . 1

be effected at burnout which would compensate for the velocity degradation due to the retrograde orbit. The auto-pilot will be programmed to provide zero lateral acceleration during separation. It is proposed that a wind tunnel program be carried out to investigate aerodynamic forces on the rocket case during separation.

THORAD GUIDANCE METHODS

11

The present DM-21 Discoverer Thor uses programmed autopilot guidance. Corrections are fed to the Agena guidance timer and integrator after Thor burnout through the Agena command system. On Discoverer flights a high Thor apogee is required due to the large altitude dispersion. This results in lower payload weights. The short smoothing time for ground radar computation causes orbit eccentricities greater than desired for the Samos program.

The present Samos program uses the GE guidance system to place the Atlas in a precise transfer orbit with an accuracy of one nautical mile, and within five feet per second of a , specified velocity. No corrections are fed to the Agena guidance system during ascent.

To provide maximum payload and to maintain orbit parameters within the present Samos requirements, Bell Telephone Laboratories (BTL) 400 series radio guidance system is proposed for the Thorad. The BTL system has been used in the Thor/Able series, and also guides the Thor and second stage in the Transit Program. The GE guidance system could also be used to guide the Thorad. Both BTL and GE ground stations are favorably situated at VAFB to provide Thorad guidance.

The airborne components of the BTL 400 series radio guidance system are similar to those of the 300 series system to be used in the Thor/Delta series and the Titan missile. The 300 series has been successfully used in six flight tests of the Thor/Able II second stage and has also guided the Thor first stage during the latter part of the first flight of the Transit vehicle. The 400 series equipment is expected to provide greater reliability at lower weight than its predecessor. This has been made possible by transistorizing the

CONFIDENTIAL



receiver and order translator units and repackaging them into a single container. The transmitter beacon is identical with the 300 series unit.

A ten-channel ground station for the BTL equipment has been installed at Vandenberg AFB for use with the Titan program. No change is required in the ground station installation, except for computer digital tapes. The installation is compatible with both 300 and 400 series airborne equipment. This facility is expected to be operating at partial capacity during the Samos program and would require only internal BMD coordination to make it available for Thorad.

The G. E. Mod-III radio guidance system also has been investigated for Thorad and was found to be comparable in accuracy to the BTL system. Airborne equipment weight for this system is comparable with that of the BTL equipment. A G. E. ground station is also located at VAFB and could be made available with BMD coordination of Atlas and Samos requirements.

In the proposed Thorad system, the ground guidance station will transmit commands which are superimposed on the Thorad auto-pilot programmed commands. These signals will be fed to the reference gyros which in turn control the vehicle attitude. Simultaneous main and vernier engine cutoff can be accomplished through the BTL system to provide burnout velocity accuracies of under four feet per second. A comparison of the present DM-21 Thor guidance and the proposed Thorad guidance is shown in Table 2-2. The proposed Thorad method would require no changes in the present Samos/Agena guidance, but would require that a performance margin of approximately 300 feet per second be allowed for a minimum Thorad performance. A way of reducing this performance penalty would be to permit the Thorad to burn to propellant depletion, and reset the Agena guidance timer and integrator from the ground guidance station to the proper val-This would increase the complexity of the system, but ues. would allow the Agena performance margin to be used to compensate for low performance of either stage. Various guidance methods and the penalties involved will require further study to determine the optimum configuration.



LOCKHEED AIRCRAFT CORPORATION



2 S (

V

CONFIDENTIAL

1

1

TABLE 2.2 THORAD GUIDANCE SYSTEM ACCURACIES

Accuracies at eccetter evenout (3 <i>4</i> °)	DM-31 (PROGRAMMED ANTOPILOT)	THORAD A (DTL GE GE GUIDANCE)
PUBLIT PATH ANDLE (HALF-ANDLE CONE)	4.8*	9.90°
ALTITUDE	S N ME IN ANY DIRECTION	S N M N N RANGE DIRECTION 0.25 N M IN ALTITUDE
VELOCITY MAGNITUDE UNCORTAINTY		4 FPS
TOTAL APODE ENDOR 100 N ME (30")	=31 N M0	±2.0 N M

IS OF STR. SYSTEM

- FURNT PROVEN-HAS BUIDED THOR-ABLE SECOND STAGE
- 1
- ND CON
- THE WEIGHT (IS LIK) THIS TITAN GUIDANCE STATION AVAILABLE AT VAR O COMPATIBLE WITH EDUSTING THOR LAUNCH PADS THRUBE WEILABLITY TESTING ASSURED THEY USE ON IN PROGRAMS (TITAM, BELTA) CONT

PLATURES OF BE SYSTEM

- TURES OF BE STOREN. 1. PUBHT PROVEN-HAS GUIDED ATLAS 2. WIGHT COMPENSALE WITH ER EQUIPHINT COMPENSALE ATLAS GUIDANCE STATION AVAILABLE AT VARE MILLER EXISTING THOS LAUNCH PADE

THORAD PERFORMANCE

First stage burnout velocity vs payload weight for the various Thor and Thorad configurations is shown in Figure 2-4. It can be seen that the Thorad A provides a velocity 1500 feet per second greater than that indicated by the previous "Thor for Samos" study, and 3500 feet per second greater than the present DM-21 Discoverer Thor. Even greater velocity increases are possible with the Thorad B using the 192,000 pound thrust engine. An inboard profile of the Thorad/Agena vehicle combination is shown in Figure 2-5.

14

MISSILES and SPACE DIVISION

CONFIDENTIAL



LMSD-446384

1 .

I

1

FIRST STAGE APOGEE ALTITUDE: 100 N MI 180° LAUNCH AZIMUTH FROM VAFB BOOSTER DISPOSAL AT 90 SEC





FIGURE 2-4 APOGEE VELOCITY VS. USEFUL LOAD FOR VARIOUS CONFIGURATIONS





The Thorad B engine is a modification of the Rocketdyne H-1 engine being developed for the Saturn program by NASA. This engine has already undergone extensive static firings and is presently in the preliminary flight rating test phase (PFRT). This engine is the evolution of earlier Rocketdyne 150,000 pound thrust engines, and has obtained the higher thrust by increasing the power of the turbine and mounting it directly on the gimballed thrust chamber. By the elimination of many engine starting circuits, a simpler and more reliable engine has been produced. The changes required for use with the Thorad include a simplification of the turbine exhaust system and the addition of vernier engines. This engine for Thorad B has been designated D5-V5 by Rocketdyne.

CONFIDENTIA

A Thorad configuration using three 120,000 pound thrust solid propellant engines was also investigated. These engines are presently being developed for the NASA Scout program. Approximately 2000 feet per second additional velocity is obtained over the Thorad A configuration with minimum booster modifications being required. This vehicle has possible application to the Samos E_5 flights.

THORAD GROUND SUPPORT MODIFICATIONS

Thorad ground support modifications required for the use of PMR training sites 75-1-1 and 75-1-2 of the Samos program are shown in Table 2-3. Other than the known modifications to convert these facilities to Samos sites, the Thorad changes shown would consist mainly of relatively minor modifications in length of the various items of handling and servicing equipment and in the structure which shelters the missile while in a horizontal position, plus the provision of blast deflectors for the launcher. The RIM (Receiving, Inspection and Checkout) building extension, which is presently used exclusively for Discoverer, will be capable of housing Thorad activities.

The Thorad will require additional handling and checkout equipment for the solid propellant rockets, which will be installed on the vehicle after final erection for flight. A crane will be used to place each solid propellant motor horizontally in a fixture attached to the launcher. This fixture will pro-

LOCKHEED AIRCRAFT CORPORATION

16







BLANK PAGE (text is missing)

LMSD-446384

ł

1

vide precise control in moving the aft end of the rocket to a mating position with the aft mount on the Thorad engine section. After mating, the rocket will be elevated to a vertical position to make contact and permit attachment with the forward thrust fitting. After all rockets are installed and aligned by optical boresighting to within one mil of the booster centerline, the fixture will be removed from the launcher.

TEM BEAA BRIS UTILIZATION OF TRAINING SITES WILL REQUIRE LAUNCH EMPLACEMENT MODIFICATIONS TO 117L CONFIGURATION LAUNCH EMPLACEMENT PLUS MODIFICATIONS BELOW ADDITION OF 8 PT BAY SHOELTER x ILTER BAILS ADDITION OF 8 FT BAILS - 2 LENGTHING BY ADDITION OF 9 FT SECTION NEAR ATT BHD. ADDITION OF CAP-FLATES, NEW FORWARD CLAM SHELL. TRANSPORTER/BRICTOR LAUNCHER-ADD BLAST DEFLECTORS FOR SOLID PROPELLANT BOCKETS X ADDITION OF A 9 FT SECTION WILL BE REQUIRED TO LENGTHEN MAST x 102 HLL MAST x -2 SOLID - PROPELLANT NOCKETS PROVIDE HANDLING & CHECKOUT SOUPHIENT FACILITIES & NEVETING ND -1 PWD UMBRICAL PLUG CARNER A NEW PLUG CARRIER IS INCOMMENDED THE ORIGINAL ONE COULD BE MODIFIED D ALTHOUGH PRESENT 1171 BHA BUILDING ADDOUATE SHIPPING IQUPMENT x TRANSPORTER

TABLE 2-3 THORAD GROUND EQUIPMENT

THORAD RELIABILITY

A prime objective for Thorad A is the continuation of the record of reliability associated with Thor, both as a tactical missile and a booster for other vehicles. This record is tabulated in Table 2-4. The tactical program, which includes the higher failure rates of early development, shows that 40 out of 58 attempts were successful. Of greater significance to Samos may be the 20 out of 23 successful special-project boosters also shown. The total score for the



LOCKHEED AIRCRAFT CORPORATION



19

X,

two groupings is 60 successes in 81 launchings. An arbitrary choice of a sample consisting of the last 57 firings shows that 49 of these, or 86%, were successful. This information is based on failures in those functions attributable to a space-vehicle booster. It is proposed to maintain this high level of dependability for the Samos program by the use of basic Thor subsystem components wherever possible, by the use of well-developed new or modified components and by adequate ground test of the latter components.

TNF##HAL

1

.

Reliability of the XM-33-E2 solid propellant booster engine is assured through continued use on the Little Joe project. To date 35 grain castings have been completed by Thiokol without a defect. Of these 9 have been successfully fired in a static testing program; 4 have been successfully flight tested on the Little Joe project, and 4 more are planned for flight testing on the next Little Joe launch. Comparisons of Thorad A subsystems with those of the DM-21 Discoverer Thor are shown in Table 2-5. The reliability evidenced by the Rocketdyne engine and the predecessors from which it was derived in combination with Douglas installation and operating experience with this engine should result in relatively high Thorad propulsion reliability.

Structural modifications for the Thorad A are not expected to cause any deterioration of reliability. Many parts in the modified sections will be identical or similar to existing parts.

Hydraulic system changes are in the nature of deletions which will simplify the configuration and improve reliability. Since vernier engine operation after main engine cutoff is not required for Samos, the vernier engines will be actuated in roll only. The vernier yaw actuators along with their associated plumbing and the yaw trunnions will be removed from the vehicle. Pitch and yaw will be controlled by main engine movements.

Revision of the autopilot will consist in part of simplification by removal of vernier pitch and yaw circuits. Minor changes in resistors and capacitors of shaping networks and

MISSILES and SPACE DIVISION

20



LOCKHEED AIRCRAFT CORPORATION

\.ţ

LMSD-446384

1 : 1

.1

TABLE 2-4 THOR RELIABILITY

1. CURRENT THOR BOOSTER RECORD	LAUNCHES	SUCCESSFUL BOOST
TACTICAL THOR DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM	58	40
SPECIAL PROJECT BOOSTERS		
DISCOVERER PROGRAM ABLE (RTV) ABLE-I (LUNAR) ABLE II (RTV) ABLE-3 (SATELLITE) TRANSIT (SATELLITE)	9 3 6 1 1 23	8 2 4 1 1 20
TOTAL RECO	RD 81	*60
A AN OUT OF LAST IN SUCCESSION		

2. THORAD A BOOSTER BASED ON PROVEN COMPONENTS UTILIZING THOR EXPERIENCE 1. WELL DEVELOPED MES BLOCK 2 ENGINE 2. MAXIMUM USE OF BASIC THOR SUB-SYSTEM COMPONENTS 3. ADROUATE GROUND TEST OF NEW FEATURES 4. CONTINUING ENGINE AND GUIDANCE RELIABILITY TESTING ON OTHER PROGRAMS

TABLE 2-5 THORAD-A SYSTEM COMPARISON

SUB-SYSTEM	REMARKS
• PROPULSION	DM-21 ENGINE SAME VERNER ENGINES REVISE FOR ATTACHMENT OF. SOLID PROPELLANT ROCKETS
• STRUCTURE	IDENTICAL STRUCTURAL METHODS & MATERIALS (MANY STRUCTURAL COMPONENTS ARE IDENTICAL e.g. PRAMES, BULINEADS, HITTINGS, STRINGGERS, ETC. CONSTANT-DIAMETER FUEL TANK. LENGTHENED FUEL & OXIDIZER TANKS. ENGINE SECTION REVISED FOR ATTACHMENT ADOITIONS OF SOLID PROPELLANT ROCKETS. REVISED INTERSTAGE SECTION.
HYDRAULICS	IDENTICAL POWER SUPPLY, VERNIER BOLL ACTUATORS & MAIN ENGINE ACTUATORS. VERNIER YAW ACTUATORS REMOVED
· AUTOPILOT	IDENTICAL COMPONENTS WITH VERMER MTCH AND YAW ELECTRONICS REMOVED
· POWER SUPPLY	IDENTICAL TO DM-21
· COMMAND DESTRUCT	IDENTICAL TO DM-21
e guidance	STL-400 SERIES OR GE MOD IN RADIO COMMAND SYSTEM - USED FOR TITAN AND THOR-DELTA. RUGHT PROVEN IN ABLE FTY & TRANSIT

NEIDENTA

LOCKHEED AIRCRAFT CORPORATION

3



in compatibility circuits for the BTL guidance will also be required. Mechanical components in the autopilot will remain unchanged.

The electrical power supply and the command-destruct subsystems will be unchanged from the present Thor.

Additional weight savings and improved performance are available for Thorad A by using the Douglas lightweight autopilot and solid state inverter presently used in other Douglas space programs.

AGENA MODIFICATIONS FOR THORAD BOOSTER

The high payload capacity of the Atlas/Agena makes possible the inclusion of large amounts of redundant and back up equipment on the Samos program. The major Agena differences between the present Atlas-boosted program and the proposed Thorad-boosted program are the inclusion of dual burning and the elimination of over 500 pounds of primary batteries and 200 pounds of redundant equipment. In no way are the flight objectives compromised by the elimination of essential equipment. Sufficient excess equipment and instrumentation is included in all cases to insure that satisfactory results will still be obtained in the event of below nominal performance by any of the subsystems. The changes to the present Samos/Agena are described below.

Subsystem A (Airframe)

The Agena vehicle airframe subsystem consists of the nose cone assembly, forward midbody assembly (including the forward equipment rack), propellant tanks, aft midbody assembly, aft equipment rack, and the adapter assembly. The propellant tanks are of integral design and are placed between the forward midbody assembly and the aft midbody assembly. For this program the tanks are sized to provide twice the impulse propellant available in the current Agena vehicles.

The airframe carries and supports the equipment required to accomplish the flight mission, provide the necessary environmental protection, structural integrity, and alignment.

MISSILES and SPACE DIVISION

CONFIDE

CONFIDENTIAL

m

)

During the coast period of the exit phase of the flight, the vehicle separates from the adapter which remains attached to the forward end of the Thor booster. During this same coast period the vehicle nose cone will be ejected from the forward body in order to improve performance during the Agena burning phases.

The vehicle structural changes required to accommodate the Agena to the Thorad booster are confined to the nose cone and forward midbody and to the booster adapter. In the nose cone it will be necessary to increase the skin gauge to counteract the effects of higher dynamic pressure during the boost phase. The change in the forward body is not attributable directly to the booster, but to the deletion of the large primary batteries which were included in the Atlas-Samos vehicles as an emergency power source in the event of total failure of the solar power system. Deletion of these batteries permits the deletion of the short auxiliary equipment bay located between the nose cone and forward midbody. The new adapter section for attachment of the Agena to the Thor will consist of a constant angle conical frustum with internal provisions for separation of the Agena at the end of the ascent boost. Materials and design techniques will reflect the experience gained in the design and operation of existent Agena-Thor booster adapters. Preliminary loads analyses have indicated the possibility of a marginal condition in the vehicle propellant tanks on the Thorad B flights, however, this will not require a structural change because of the impending reduction in pressure in the tanks. The use of the Bell Model 8096 engine with pump inducers permits a reduction in tank pressure below the level used in the tank design. To date the tank regulators have not been redesigned to reflect the lower requirement, but prior to the time when the Thorad B would be used this change will have been incorporated.

Subsystem B (Propulsion)

The propulsion system consists of the rocket motor and turbopump assembly, propellant plumbing, and propellant pressurization system.



LOCKHEED AIRCRAFT CORPORATION

23

The rocket motor and turbine pump assembly consists of a single-chamber liquid rocket motor with nozzle expansion ratio of 45:1; a turbine pump assembly including gas generator, turbine, and gear box; and oxidizer and fuel pumps. The engine-pump assembly for this program will be the Bell Model 8096, a later model of the Model 8048 engine now in use in the Discoverer program. The 8096 is under development for Discoverer and will be flown in that program prior to introduction into Samos. Changes introduced in the 8096 include a higher expansion ratio nozzle, an improved injector, enlarged cooling passages, and modified engine controls for an orbital re-start capability for dual-burn flight performance.

The propellant pressurization system consists of two pressure vessels; helium gas; and plumbing, valves, and regulators for maintaining pressure in the propellant tanks. The ullage control function is provided by small solid propellant rockets mounted on the aft equipment rack or, on those vehicles incorporating the secondary orbit-adjust propulsion system, by burning a small part of the propellants in this system prior to each ignition of the main engine.

The only propulsion system change for the Thorad program is the use of the dual burn technique, not required on the Atlas flights due to their higher payload capability. This does not require any hardware modifications.

Subsystem C (Auxiliary Power System)

Primary electrical power is supplied to the Agena vehicle by extendible solar cell array. The array consists of two plane surfaces which are folded during ascent and erected following boost. After erection the arrays will be adjusted about their longitudinal axis to compensate for the seasonal change of the orbit. Rotation of the arrays will be accomplished by a self-contained sensing and actuation system. Nickel-cadmium secondary batteries supply power for peak loads and during the portion of time that the satellite is within the earth's shadow.

Static devices are used to convert the 28v dc power into 400 cps single and three phase ac power, 2000 cps three phase ac power, and to provide regulated plus and minus 28v dc power.



MISSILES and SPACE DIVISION

tionfia



The reliability of the APU system has been increased beyond that proposed in the previous Thor-for-Samos study by use of rotation about one axis only. This necessitated an increase in the size of the solar array and the inclusion of additional secondary batteries. The duplicate inverters proposed previously have been retained in this study.

The only change from the present Atlas boosted flights is the deletion of five primary batteries.

Subsystem D (Guidance and Control)

The principal elements of the Agena guidance system are: an integrating accelerometer, a gyroscopic angular reference, a horizon scanner, and a timer. The attitude control system is a combination wheel-gas system for control during the coast periods of the ascent trajectory, vehicle reorientation after burnout, and for orbit attitude control. Pitch and yaw control during engine burning is obtained by swiveling the Agena engine.

For the present Atlas-boosted Samos flights, the GE guidance system cuts off Atlas thrust at a desired velocity. The Subsystem D timer then separates the Agena and fires the engine at boost apogee. On dual burn flights, the timer would reset the integrator and fire the engine a second time at apogee of the transfer ellipse. No guidance commands are transmitted to the Agena during ascent. The use of the BTL guidance system in Thorad would require no changes in the Agena guidance system if Thorad velocity cutoff were used and would provide the same accuracies as presently obtained with the Atlas guidance system. In the event that the Thorad were allowed to burn to exhaustion, provisions would have to be made so that commands could be sent via . the BTL radio link to reset the Agena timer and integrator to the computed values. This would permit a better utilization of the Thorad/Agena capabilities, with a possible increase in payload.

Subsystem H (Ground-Space Communications and Instrumentation)

<u>Communications</u>. A UHF communication subsystem provides the means for transmitting coded data from the reconnais-



LOCKHEED AIRCRAFT CORPORATION



25
sance payload, and commanding programming and checkout of the vehicle equipment. A narrow band UHF transmitter with omnidirectional antenna is incorporated into all vehicles for transmission of instrumentation data, and in the F-2 vehicles for reconnaissance data also. On the E-2 and F-3 vehicles the transmission of reconnaissance data is accomplished by means of a UHF wide band transmitter and directional antenna. On the F-2 and F-3 vehicles duplicate data links are included to increase reliability throughout the long design operational lifetime. On the data readout systems of E-2, F-2, and F-3, a solid state timer provides timing pulses for the sequence programmer and the data recorders. The vehicle receiver is activated by the programmer as it approaches a ground station, and commands are received and decoded. Real time commands to check out the operation of the vehicle are executed immediately, and stored time commands to program the reconnaissance subsystems, are stored in the sequence programmer. On the E-5 vehicles, where the photographic data are obtained by physical recovery of the payload capsule, the timer and sequence programmer are replaced by a command programmer.

<u>Instrumentation</u>. During early R&D flights of the Samos program a unitized FM/FM telemeter will be included to transmit information regarding the internal functions of the various subsystems during ascent and orbit. A tape recorder is included to store data when the vehicle is not in the vicinity of a readout station. This system will provide backup for the UHF telemetry equipment which is included in all vehicles. All VHF equipment will be deleted prior to initiation of the operational Samos program. In the UHF system data will be sub-multiplexed on the data-link multiplexer for both real time and delayed (taped) transmission.

SAMOS WEIGHT REQUIREMENTS

The required burnout weights for various Samos missions are shown in Table 2-6. The weights have increased from those shown in the previous Thor for Samos study due to the change in solar array requiring larger area and additional secondary battery capacity. Provision for orbit adjust system is also included in the E-5 and F payloads, although this is not presently funded. Operational versions of the E-2

26



CONFIDENTIAL

八

a



LMSD-446384

payload will also have orbit adjust systems; however, elimination of the FM telemetry, and reductions in propellant tank and E-2 weights will result in a similar weight for the operational version. Operational weights of the F payloads should be somewhat lighter than those shown.

TABLE 2-6 AGENA BURNOUT WEIGHT

	E-2	F-2	F-3	E-5
STRUCTURE	(680)	(702)	(680)	(678)
PROPULSION	(448)	(614)	(614)	(543)
PRIMARY PROPULSION	448	402	402	403
ORBIT PERIOD ADJUST SYSTEM		170	212	160
CONTROLS-INCLUDING ON-ORBIT		1.1		
ATTITUDE CONTROL	107	189	189	227
GUIDANCE	141	141	141	156
AUXILIARY POWER UNIT	773	844	844	667
EXTENDABLE SOLAR ARRAY	338	338	338	292
SOLAR BATTERIES	189	252	252	126
INVERTERS, REGULATORS, AND WIRING	246	254	254	251
COMMUNICATIONS	(522)	(402)	(616)	(260)
DATA LINKS, TELEMETERS, PROGRAM-	••	• •	• •	
MERS, RECORDERS, AND INSTRUMENTATION	337	341	431	246
ANTENNAE	185	61	185	14
RESIDUAL PROPELLANTS AND GASES	()44)	(146)	(146)	(146)
PAYLOAD	(995)	(412)	(350)	(2294)
VISUAL RECONNAISSANCE	995			1567
FERRET		412	350	
MIRROR CANERA				150
RETRO-IMPHLSE PROPELLANTS				577
RUPNONT WEIGHT	1012	3450	3580	4993
anuari ariani				



LMSD-446384

60 ×

CONFIDENTIAL

11.1

.1







FIGURE 2-7 THORAD-B AND AGENA BURNGUT WEIGHT VS. ALTITUDE

28



LOCKHEED AIRCRAFT CORPORATION

LMSD-446384

1. :

THORAD/AGENA PERFORMANCE CAPABILITIES

The performance capability of the Thorad/Agena is shown in Figures 2-6 and 2-7. It can be noted that duel burning is required for all Samos missions. The dual-burn injection altitude is assumed to be 90 nautical miles, which is reasonable using the BTL guidance system. Injection altitudes as low as 80 nautical miles have been studied and found to be practical. The curves have been plotted using Thorad data which retained the solid propellant engines for 90 seconds. For launch azimuths of 190° required by the E-2 payloads, the engines can be dropped at the end of 40 seconds which will negate the 50 pound loss due to the retrograde orbit. A minimum performance margin of 100 pounds is usually required to assure orbit capability. It can be seen that the performance margin for the Thorad A is in excess of 200 pounds for the most critical case, E-2. The higher performance Thorad B with the 190 K thrust engine provides an additional 100 pounds above this value.

CONFIDENTIA

The E-5 payload is well beyond the capability of either Thorad configuration. The use of a Thorad with 190 K engine and three 120,000 pound thrust solid propellant engines considerably increases the payload capability, but it still lies several hundred pounds below that required by the E-5. Revisions in the E-5 system may permit launching of the E-5 payload by this Thorad configuration.



LOCKHEED AIRCRAFT CORPORATION

29







30



MISSILES and SPACE DIVISION

LT

CONFIDENTIAL

1

1

CONFIDENTIAL



LMSD-446384

SECTION 3

SCHEDULES

PRESENT OVERALL PROGRAM (LMSD)

The present Samos program consists of eighteen development flights of which the first three carry dual payloads of the E-1 and F-1 sensor types. Of the remaining fifteen flights, ten will carry a visual sensor (E type) and the remainder will include a ferret payload (F type). The first development flight is scheduled for April 1960 followed by the operational program which will commence in January 1963 and continue at a rate of eighteen launches a year.

All launches are scheduled from Launch Complex Number 1 at Point Arguello, PMR, and will utilize Atlas as the booster.

PROPOSED OVERALL PROGRAM (LMSD)

Assuming 1 March 1960 as the authorized date to proceed with the Thorad/Agena concept, all proposed flight dates will coincide with the existing programmed flight dates. No slippage, modification or revisions of scheduled launches will be necessary.

Eleven of the eighteen development flights will use a Thorad as the booster. The only Agena vehicles that cannot achieve the required orbit utilizing the Thorad as the booster are those which carry a dual E-1/F-1 or E-5 payload. Those vehicles incorporating a dual or E-5 payload, seven in number, will be launched with Atlas as the booster from Launch Complex Number 1 at Point Arguello, PMR as presently programmed. Table 3-1 depicts details of this flight program.



LOCKHEED AIRCRAFT CORPORATION



31

LMSD-446384

)

Ç,



TABLE 3-1 THORAD/AGENA SAMOS FLIGHT SCHEDULES



TABLE 3-2 THORAD PROGRAM PLAN



* R & D PROOF LAUNCH

32

1.42 101

46.



MISSILES and SPACE DIVISION

1.

11

CONFIDENTIAL

ł

LMSD-446384



1

THORAD PROGRAM PLAN (DOUGLAS)

A launch capability eleven months from authorization is the key objective of the schedule proposed in Table 3-2 for the new Thorad booster. The design, test and manufacturing phases are based on proven Douglas performance and thorough coordination with the various subcontractors.

Wind tunnel investigations, booster rocket separation tests, and one-round flight test program are shown in the center portion of Table 3-2. The period shown for the wind tunnel effort includes design, fabrication, and instrumentation of models, as well as planning, conducting and evaluating the tests. Ground tests to investigate solid-booster-rocket separation dynamics are scheduled for the Douglas Santa Monica location.

The single flight-test round is to be flown in 11 months at VAFB in order to permit maximum feedback of test data to the development of the operation vehicle. The first Samos R&D flight will occur in 13 months, and is shown as the second Thorad launch in Table 3-2. Use of the proven propulsion system components of the MB-3 block-2 engine precludes any requirement for a special static or captive test firing program. The lower section of the table indicates the modifications of the VAFB launch facilities at Launch Complex 75-1 which is composed of one blockhouse and two launch pads.



LOCKHEED AIRCRAFT CORPORATION

33







MISSILES and SPACE DIVISION

1 :

CONFIDENTIAL

LOCKHEED AIRCRAFT CORPORATION



VFIDENTIAL

LMSD-446384

:1

1 2

SECTION 4

FUNDING AND COST COMPARISONS

DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM

Table 4-1 indicates a savings of \$850,000 through fiscal year 1963 over the present program for eleven Thorad A/Agena flights, including design, testing, ground support equipment, airborne equipment and required modifications to facilities. Assuming an authorized go-ahead of 1 March 1960, \$3,950,000 would be required for the remainder of fiscal year 1960. During fiscal year 1961 an additional \$1,250,000 would be required. However, during the two succeeding years a savings in the amount of \$6,050,000 could be experienced.

Although additional monies are required to design, modify and purchase ground support equipment as well as design the Thorad A and provide Agena modifications it is significant to note that for every Thorad A launched in lieu of an Atlas, a savings of \$1,250,000 results. This assumes civilian personnel will be responsible for, and participate in, all development launches at VAFB.

If consideration were given to the use of the D5-V5 Rocketdyne engine on the Thorad B, an additional \$8,300,000 would be necessary to develop the engine and make necessary modifications to the Thorad vehicle.

OPERATIONAL PROGRAM

To convert Launch Complex 75-1 to operational use, it is assumed that fully automatic ground support equipment will be required for Agena operations. Under these conditions, Agena costs would increase by \$8, 500, 000 which includes the installation of the equipment. Thorad equipment at the



LOCKHEED AIRCRAFT CORPORATION



35

CONFIDENTIAL

1 1

1

Complex is considered operational at the present time and therefore no additional funding would be required for conversion.

During the operational program it is assumed that military personnel will be operating the entire launch complex. Under these conditions, every Thorad that is used as a booster in lieu of an Atlas would result in a net savings of a launch, or annually.

All cost data presented are approximate.

36

TABLE 4-1 FUNDING COMPARISON, THORAD-A/AGENA FOR SAMOS

SERIES	ITEM	FY 60	FY 61 (000)	FY 62	FY 63	TOTAL	
100	AIRBORNE HARDWARE SAMOS VEHICLE (11) ATLAS BOOST (11) THORAD A (11)						
200	GROUND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AGENA THORAD A TOTAL, SERIES 200	anni ille.		///////////////////////////////////////			
600	RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT AGENA THORAD A TOTAL, SERIES 600 NET COST DIFFERENCE	Ammun			munn		
AGENA (FROUND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						

CONFIDENTIAL



LMSD-446384

1 1

SECTION 5

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1. The Thorad A configuration using Bell Telephone Laboratories Series 400 guidance, the Rocketdyne 165 K engine, 38,000 pounds additional liquid propellants, and three Thiokol 54.5 K solid propellant rocket engines is sufficient to boost the E_2 , F_2 , and F_3 payloads. Reliable flight-proven components are used throughout.

CONFIDENTIAL

1

60.

- 2. The Thorad B configuration provides greater payload margin, but requires additional booster modifications and static testing.
- S. No schedule difficulties are encountered by introducing the Thorad into the Samos schedule.
- 4. It is recommended that Thorad A be used on all Samos E_2 , F_2 , and F_3 flights.



LOCKHEED AIRCRAFT CORPORATION

37

LMSD-446384

5.8.5

DOWNGRAIJI D AT 3 YEAR INTERVALS: DECLASSIVI IED AFTER 12 YEARS. DUD DIR 5200.10 YEARS.

LOCKHEED AIRCRAFT CORPORATION



ě. • .2

Ē

NO.BITISM

38



MISSILES and SPACE DIVISION

 $L \geq j$

NTIAL

UU.C.

1.0

1

æ 1C 152 44 INFO: U UDC TARDE ANDRENS AFE HD LISCH TOR AT ME LOS ANGELES CALIF JAS \SAC OFFNIT AFB NER IIO TAELANC IN ATE COLO 1/S E C R E T/CAFDAT 70703 THIS MESSAGE IN THREE PARTS. PART I. THE AT MC HAS APPROVED THE DEVILOPMENT PLANS FOR DISCOVERER, SANDS AND HIBAS DATED 15 JAN 68 AND PRESENTED TO THE AFMC ON 15 FEB 1968, INCLUDING THE MINIMUM EESENTIAL RAD PROGRAMS AND THE DEV/OPS ADD-ONS FOR SAMOS AND MINAS MART II. PENDING APPROVAL OF THESE PLANS MUST AWAIT APPROVAL OF DERI BART II. PENDING APPROVAL OF THESE PLANS BY DERAE, THE ARDC IS INTEGRITY OF THESE PLANS. PART III. ARDC IS DIRECTED TO EXAMINE THE POSSIBILITIES OF ADDITIONAL MIDAS LAUNCHES IN THE TRIOD AFRIL-DECEMBER 1968 FROM ANY LOCATION. 15/21 ME TEB RJE DIQ INE DOWNGRADED AT 12 YEAR INTERVALS: NOT AUTOMATICALLY DECLASSIFIED. DOD DIR 5200.10 EA-PARAPHRASE NOT REQUIRED EXCEPT PRIO TO CATEGORY & ENCRYPTION - PHYSICALLY RI A1 1) 6

ł

.....

٠٠. ÷.

N

5 ¥۷

RECEIVED

1960

LEP 12 by BROUF ALL INTERNAL REFERENCES BY DATE-THA

- +11 16 W

نوني و ÷.,

5

0

∭.**∮**.,

2

TE OPO6

CINCIAC (SAC MICE) LOS

CLIEBAC OFFICE AFS HEB

/8 3 C 3 3 7/ 7868 D98-2-1-8

FOR DEL. 10 AND DE. SUBJECT: (V) ANDS/MDAS PERSIDENTIAL. REPRINENCE IN SAC MISSIAGES C-0706 DISED 19 JAK 60 AND WE ORD6 DISED (5) **JME 60**. NOLLOWING THE 10 FEMALEY AFTER MEETING TENT REFILMED THE SAMOS/SUDAS DEVELOPMENT PLAN AND DEPOSE, IT WAS THE OPPERION OF AFFIND THAT MAJOR DUNYIGHT IN THE SOC/HEY AREA NAT IN I Disting the pression for the work of 1400 months of 19 personally. TH VIEW O TOMPONE 1 EXHIBIT CHARAL PORCE AND G TRAT. WEITTE AS WELL AS HERWERE CEREMAL CEREMOND AND CHURCH LINKY OF THE er of sac for the same/hings states. It is ml officer yes R 1 NETRE OF 19 PROPERTY. <u> M</u> 91 TT 15 SEALERSON TO it that NEW THE FAMILIAR WERE THE MANGES AND DENTILS OF SAC 116 4 STATES DENTS. 12 42 1 11 1011 - THE ST DOWNGRADED AT 12 YEAR INTERVALS; NOT AUTOMATICALLY

305 (8 4	DE	CLASSIFIED. DOD	DIR 5200.10	
OFFICE SYMEPLY,	ORIGINATOR			
NAME (SIGNAT	1	2		
DATE				
Form 11 1 New 59	COORDINATIO	N SHEET BULL	Replaces AFBMD !	iorm 11, 1 Jun 59



200 19 6	0	GETTEIDENTIAL SECTION 1 74
TAB	DATE	ITTEN D'in Sumo und
] 1	13 Aug 59	USAF Mag, AFXPD-PL 63806, Cites OSD Mag DEF 963459 (Cy atchd) changing name of <u>SENTRY</u> to SAMOS and directs compliance.
2	15 Aug 59	OSD ARPA Msg, DEF 963871, establishes 10 flight MIDAS R&D program with FY 60 ceiling of \$46.9 million. Subsequently amplified by Amend No. 7, ARPA Order 38-60, 26 Aug 59.
3	5 Sep 59	OSD ARPA Mag, DEF 964914, in three parts: 1. Authorizes SAMOS readout program per 15 Jul 59 Dev Plan
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 Authorizes award on SAMOS E-5 (recovery) camera only and directs cost submission.
		3. Directs estimates on MIDAS program for 46.9 M of FY 60 funds.
) ¢	9 Sep 59	ARPA Ltr, Johnson to Glennan, Schedules launch of first and second ATLAS from AMR and transfers launch of third and fourth ATLAS from PMR.
5	10 Sep 59	OSD ARPA Mag, DEF 965117, modifies 964914 (4 Sep). Includes SAMOS recovery within ARPA total of 148 M for FY 60, for planning purposes ARPA FY 61 level of 170 M for SAMOS.
6	15 Sep 59	Two ltrs from Gen Schriever to Gen White expressing his concern about the SAMOS and MIDAS programs.
7	22 Sep 59	SAC mag, DPL 2674, recommends that AFBMD and SAC conduct survey to determine if the Central Tracking & Acquisition Station for SAMOS can be sited adjacent to an Active AFB.
8	2 Oct 59	AFDAT Msg, 78900, Development Plan should accommodate both readout and recovery parts of SAMOS within \$148 M ceiling as far as possible:
9	16 Oct 59	lst Mal Div Mag, DS 630, recommends that direct AFBMD/PMR contact be established for technical matters re missile flight safety on DISCOVERER Launches. 1st Mal Will continue to act as single point of contact for support of AFBMD programs on Pt Arguello.
JC	20 Act 99	AND: TAR, RDRRB-20-10-1-E, quotes Memo from Sec of AF to Chief of Staff, 13 Oct 59, directing that all <u>SAMOS/MIDA.</u> and <u>DISCOVERER</u> actions be handled in AFBMC framework.
11	23 Ccl 59	ARDC msg, RDRRB-23-10-31-E, quotes itr from Gen LeMay to Gen Schriever, 13 O:t 59, giving current status of SAMOS/ MIDAS Problems. Cites funding in financial plan. Is in answer to the two letters from Gen schriever to Gen White, 15 Sep 59.

INTERVALS; NOT AUTOMATICALLY DECLASSIFIED. DOD DIR 5200.10

SECAL

DCAH-82

÷

The T

.1

				.!
	TAB	DATE	SECT. ITEM	
ŗ	ج ار	27 Let 54	AFBM. msg, WDZSS-01-3-8 in six parts:	
	•	×	bevelopment Fran & uperations Annex.	•
ì			2. Establishes Ground Rules for this estimate.	. •
			4. Gives flight configurations of each flight	4
			 Investigation of E-3 Recon Payload Additions to facility requirements. 	
	13	29 Oct 59	ARDC msg, RDRRR-29-10-38-E, quotes AFDAT msg 1259/59, 19 Oct 59, designates AFBMD the Executive Management	
			Agency to insure fully coordinated Development, operational and logistic plans are forwarded to AFDAT by 23 Nov 59.	•.
	14	31 Oct 59	AFBMD mag, WD2SS 10-6-F, information for den Schriever's	
			1. Chronology of major reviews and events that have	·
			2. Duronology of major reviews and guidance.	
			3. Sketch of Subsystems. 4. Summary.	
	15	9 Nov 59	AFDAT msg, NF3(1, requirement for COMINT Capability and Encryption receiving appropriate action by AFDAT. Notify SAC and AFFS when COMINT and Encryption are included in SAMES development	
•	14.	Li Nov 50	ARDAY mag hails of states that no definite dates have any	
			validity for events such as turnover of responsibility for SAMOS-from AFBME to SAU. Rather turnover dates will be determined by prior demonstration of an agreed capability.	· •
	17	13 Nov 59	ARDC msg. RDREB/13/11/198, quotes AFLAS msg 1320/59, in three parts:	
			1. Changes date to 10 Dec 59 for presentation of detailed	
			 Current status of FY 60 & 51 funds for SAMOS. MIDAS and DISCOVEREN. 	
			3. Assumed that presently programmed funds for SAMOS MIDAS and DISCOVERER are adequate for period thru 31 Dec 59. Request for polification of fund requirements thru	
	24	17 Mars Cart	Ji Jan HU. Three more from for of Def to feet of AP on transfer of	
	Te	11 NOV 39	MIDAE. SAMOS & DISCOVERER programs to the DAF	
	19	7 Dec 59	Memo from Secretary of Defense to ASD (Comptroller) directing the transfer of funds from ARPA to the DAF for the MIDAS.	•
			SAMOS and DISCOVERER programs. SAMOS	
			DISCOVERER (Including AGENA)	
•	• .		MIDAS	
			TOTAL	
		•		
	• • • •		The first of the second s	

TAB	DATE	EM EM
20	14 Dec 59	Draft of Minutes of the 42nd Meeting of the Air Force Ballistic Missiles Committee Furpose: To review the DISCOVERER SAMOS and MIDAS programs
21	15 Dec 59	لا Ltr from DAF to ARDC, subj: Transfer of FY 1900 Space Programs from ARPA to AF.
22	17 Dec 19	ARD: Msg. HDERE 17/12 32E, quotes SAL msg. N 5541, Den Briswold to Gen Schriever, reference fund reduction, SAMOS MIDAS program.
23	22 De: 59	ARD' may, RDD:6 22 12/30E, quotes may from ten Schriever to ten white regarding transform of and retaining owner- ship and/or control of teal Estate and Technical, Bange and Support facilities associated with space programs destined for operational use by the AF. Part II quotes (SAF answer.
24	22 Dec 19	AFDAT msg. #212. provides guidance for AFBMC meetings 14-14 Dig 59 on DISCIVEPER SAMES and MIDAS
25	23 Den 59	SAF msg, AFFDM-2A PMA, subj: Deine Manning and Training for CAMOS MIDAS Presing of Blue Suiters to (1941) [74]
2.	2# Dec 59	Trip Peport by Maj Wear to ABPA AFINI. 19-17 Dec 59, re- Reliability Aspects of MINAS and SAMOS, w. 3 attachments: 1. Msg from ARPA or visit of APPA and ARING personnel to
.•		 Asia IMED Jan from ARPA, such ARING fonsultation on belie flity Frontems in the GAMOS Program Mag from APUC about manging the ARING Report.
27	30 Dec 19	ARD: msg. REY-30-12W. quoting AFT:N's recommendation for S-bsystem 1. GAMOR.
28	30 Den 9	SAC msg, DPL -919, Subj: SAMOS and MIDAS. SAC's recommendations for reduction or deletion of tasks within the SAMOS and M.DAS programs asic SAC position is that further slipping of SAMOS and M.DAS is inconceivable. Requests that ARD' establish a meeting by not later than 13 Jan 0 to develop a joint SAC ARDC recommendation to the Air Staff and AFBMC.
29	4 Jan 40	 ADC msg, ADLPU-D1, to iq USAF, re-reduced funding of SAMOS (MIDAS programs. They as: USAF three questions: L dus there been a directed change in MIDAS SAMOS Trogram urgency? If so, what were the considerations and pasis for the decision? 2. What action is being taken to provide adequate funding for concurrent pursual of developmental and operational
		programs? 3. What change of action is expected of ADC in view of the reduced funding directives sent to ARDC on HO MEAP?

 (\cdot)

1 0 x

6





; į ITEM

1 :

1

.1

Ì

1

/ Jan + C

DATE

TA's

30

η

5

AFDAT mag. (1415, directs ARDC to include in their revised development plans the FY 12 % 01 fund estimates. These estimates to be based on maintaining the FY (concil ceilings in AFDAT mag 9.212 and the requirement to become operational as soon as practicable - Tentative revised schedile for revised plans is: 1 Fet to Air Staff,) Feb to Weapons Foards, 10 Feb to AFBMC, AFDAT to SD In Feb.

27 FEB 196918

INFO: WOT

WDZ WDG



. .

1

C Topen to WDC

11

17

F1 51 1 RJKILO 347 717122 NG MEAT DJUZNE/AT DHD LOS ANGELES CALIF BAT FX TTY /ARDC ANDRENS AT B HD

V

0

T C R I T/TROM ATABY AND AYRDP 73993 "CAT AC" WIS HSG IN THREE PARTS, PART I, THE GER HAS APPROVED THE ESSENTIAL AD PROGRAM FOR FY 66 AS INCLUDED IN DEVELOPMENT PLANS AS PRESENTED by ay-BND. There follows program find amounts for ty of by veapon SYSTEM

DISCOVERE SAMAS HI MS DESCURIMMENT AUTHORIZATION IS BEING ISSUED TO HE ARDC THIS DATE. ART AUTHORIZATION AND NECESSARY ALLOCATIONS WILL ME ISSUED BY 262 DOWNGRADED AT 12 YEAR INTÉRVALS: NOT AUTOMATICALLY DECLASSIFIED, DOD DIR 5200.10

TWO RJE 24 7 ECTOR OF BUDGET. NO APPROVAL WAS BEEN SIVEN FOR THE OPERATIONAL/ TELEPHENT PROGRAM AND VIILITATION OF FY 68 FUNDS FOR THESE KES ARE NOT ANTHORIZED. , IT IS DESIRED THAT ACTION BE TAKEN TO PRODUCE 12 THER DISCOUTER VEHICLES AND 12 T INIGLES WILL DE SENEDULED AT TWO TITY PROVISION VILL BE IN ADDITION. ISSILES IN PRODUCTION FOR STN Seb. RI TO . mine In PACE CONTENESTION AT A TWO PER MONTE DATE DOLL ND CONFINE THE AVE 18 G . 7 ing the second HII. ARE SEINE SASUED IN THE SISCOVERER PAR MERAN TOR THIS THE EVENT A DEFFERENT SETEMALE IS REAVILED FOR HOST AND ECONOMICAL PROMETION OF DOOTTER REAVEST THIS TED AS TO REFINED SETEMALS. IN ADDITION, SECTION E 10 791 19184 TE PROFILE THE LASE T 1.4 ARATOR. τ. A & 4 4 4

MOX THREE BIXING 347 APTIONS TAKEN WITH FY OF FINANCING WILL BE COMMENSURATE WITH A CAPABILITY TO REVISE CERTAIN PORTIONS OF THE SAMOS PROGRAM PRIOR TO 1 JUL OF ASSUMING PRIORITY FOR VARIOUS ELEMENTS OF PROGRAM AS ETATED IN PRESENTATION BY AFBMD.

T/17182 FEB RJEZIQ

o e

1



1 1

.1

M D C.E "AC-PARAPHRASING NOT REQUIRED EXCEPT PRIOR TO CATE GORY & ENCRYPTION PLAN DE LOUIRED EXCEPT PRIOR TO CATE ERENCES BY DATE THE GROUP ROLENT TO DECLASSIFICATION-NO UNCLASSIFIED REVERENCE & DATE TIME GROUP IS QUOTED." DOWNGRADED AT 12 YEAR INTERVALS; NOT AUTOMATICALLY, DECLASSIFIED. DOD DIR 5200.10

ALTERNATION AND ALTERNATION OF ADDRESS AND ALTERNATION OF ADDRESS AND ALTERNATION OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESC

Ministry #Atality #representation of a start and a start of the s

SERIE 2

init is a set of a set of

A DOR ATTER GOMMANDER

SIGNED

Coloreur UPALe

1 Atch: "Proposal gor Joint U.S. -French Military Satelli g Project

Descende al. Descende al. <thdescende al.<="" th=""> <thdescende al.<="" th=""> D</thdescende></thdescende>	BCR 900, dated 15 March 1955, and revised on 25 Beytember 1955, established the requirement for a strategic recommissance satellite system. The OOR 900-1 specifie of photographic images of low contrast objects from 20 ft. dom to 1 ft. on a side on the ground as an ultimate. OOR 900-2 specifies the requirement for an electronic sub-system which should provide electronic recommissance intercept equipment in the direction finding espablicity to locate emitters to within 5 miles. OOR 900-1 specifies the requirement for a mapping and charting sub-system which would be a direction finding espablicity to locate emitters to within 5 miles. OOR 900-1 specifies the requirement for a mapping and charting sub-system which would be able to identify and locate points or objects on the photography to within plus or minus 1,000 ft. of their true location in relation to the world geodetic grid.	Inverte five satellites are scheduled to be launched for the purpose of R&D from Beptember 1960 through December 1962. The first 3 will have components of both the early photo and ferret subsystems. At the conclusion of this series, 8 launches of satellites with the E-2 or 36 focal length camera are plaumed commencing in April 1961 and 7 launches of the F-2 and F-3 ferret subsystems commencing in June 1961 are plaumed. The first of 7 launches of the E-5, high resolution recommaissance camera is scheduled for launch in August 1961. The payload for this E-5 series will be recovered after re-entry into the earth's staophere. All of these launches will be conducted from the Facilite Mings and will be fired into circular polar orbits to an altitude of 261 mutical mines.	Two tracking and acquisition stations are plasmed for this system during the RaD phase. One of these will be loosted at Vandenberg Air Force Base, and the other of the programy one will be constructed at Ottunes, Iows, and the other st Fr. Stevens, Gregon. These stations will perform the function of trenching the satellite and the reading out of the data when the satellite is interrogated. The data collected will be released from the TaA stations to a Space Operations Center allitary personnel will perform the function of preparing programmed commands which will be transmitted to the satellite via the TaA stations. Additionally, a military organisation will process the recommissance information obtained from the satel-	The program presented was approved by the Air Force Ballistic Missile Committee in a mantime on 10 minute inch
---	--	--	--	---

SECRET

GED AT 12 YEA

668

1 1

۰.

CURRENT SPATUS REP

94 - A. - A. Section 8 Section 8 Research and Development Mission Area

	٠.
GAMME_117_N	
COLUMN - AND - TO	
continued	•

	CULVILLE		· · · ·
•	PROGRAM/STATUS (Cont'd	<u>)</u>	
SAC is now scheduled for	July 1963. To accouplin	h this the following	Tunda are
guired:	<u>FT 1960</u> FT 1961	<u>FT 1962</u> FT 1963	•
R&D DEV/OP8 Total	\$160.0 7.7 \$167.7 26.1 26.1 26.1 26.1 26.1 26.1 26.1 26.1	\$112.0 109.8 \$221.8 \$167.1	•
Facilities RaD DEV/OPS Total	$\begin{array}{c} 2.5 \\ .9 \\ .3.4 \\ 6.1 \end{array}$	<u>-7.0</u> 7.0	•

An approved developedate prime as it The acove Frequest Wil presented to various panels of the Wedpons Board on 1 February 1960 and to the Wedpons Board on 3 February 1960 and to the Ballistic Missile Committee on 10 February 1960. Approval for this program was provided with the additional funds required of both the ceiling amounts available to come from either internal Air Force reprogramming. or DOD emergency sources.

ATR STAT

None required at this time.

£.,

APDAT Street Same States . . .

....

APDAT SECRET CALLER IN THE SECRET CALLER IN THE SECRET CALLER IN THE SECRET CALLER IN THE SECRET INTERS INTO SECRET IN THE SECRET INTERS INTO SECRET INTO SECRET INTERS INTO SECRET INTO

STATUS REPORT - SAMOS

- apr

The SAMOS program is confused at this time due to the fact that specific authority has not been provided by the Department of Defense to conduct the program in accordance with the desires of the Air Force .: If approval does come, the Air Force desires to conduct a total of 25 test launches from September of 1950 through December of 1962. The first 3 tests will be for the purpose of testing components of both the ferret and photo systems. Following that will be 8 tests of the 36" focal length camera system, 7 tests of the 66" recovery satellites, 4 tests of the digital ferret system and 3 tests of the analogue ferret system .. To conduct this program AFBMD estimates that \$160 million will be required in FY 1960 and \$200 million in FY 1961. To insure that there will be an operational capability at the completion of the R&D program it is also desired to purchase long lead time items for the operational program starting with \$7.7 million in FY 1960 and \$26.1 in FY 1961. The latter is the program which has yet to be approved by the Department of Defense. The total availability of the FY 1961 estimated fund requirement is also in doubt. We therefore cannot perceive to plan for a sensible program for SAMOS until we have received guidance from the Department of Defense.

> W VALS NOT U OMALICALLY TE VALS NOT U OMALICALLY PECLASSIFIED DOD DIR 5200.10

1 1

÷.,

tea

Hen B's KA

MEMORANDUM FOR THE SECRETARY OF THE AIR FORCE

Intelligence System SAMOS

REFERENCES:

SUBJECT:

Memo from SecDef to SecAF, dtd 17 Nov. 59; subject "Transfer of the SAMOS Development Program to the Department of the Air Force."

On 10 April 1958 certain parts of the SAMOS program were assigned a national priority in order to establish an early operational capability. An attempt to gain this early capability will, undoubtedly, interfere with the research and development program and can only have the effect of delaying the overall program. At the present time many questions are unanswered about the capabilities of SAMOS that could have been answered by now if the development project had been carried out as originally conceived. Apparently, both the contractor and the technical support committees have been confused and slowed down by the impact of operational requirements before the actual capabilities of the system have been established on a developmental basis. This condition has led to advance planning, including facilities ar I hardware procurement. **Development** tion of hypothentical outputs and needs for the SAMOS system.

It is necessary that the elements of the system be thoroughly tested in order to evaluate this particular method of reconnaissance. In order to concentrate funds where most sorely needed, i.e., in the development area and to speed up the program, it is recommended that steps be taken at the earliest possible date to stop the expenditure of funds for operational aspects of SAMOS. This would include the training of personnel; acquisition of land and new facilities, including the SAMOS aspect of the rehabilitation of the Martin Bomber Plant, Offutt AFB; all data links including the R&D data link from Vandenberg to Sunnyvale; operational launching facilities; operational data and materiel; as well as any equipment needed to process the R&D outputs in an attempt to provide operational warning.

In preparation of the revised development plan under the referenced memorandum, it has been requested that the program be reoriented. It is further recommended that funds presently available for SAMOS be appropriately programmed to emphasize research and development in such a manner as to obtain proven feasibility and reliability of the system at an earlier date than now envisaged. The revised development plan should provide for continued R&D in the following areas, listed in order of priority:

& by Bruchen

- a: Recoverable photo package (B-5). 17EK Adult, JU to 70" } Jft. E-2
- b. E-1 and X-E R&D read-out packages. 6" E'2; 36" } waterif
- c. E-3 study completed. study , RCA tope rather than film guring
- d. Read-out photo package completed & Sukeys E grand eggs
 - One set of research and development ground photo read-out equipment, consisting of the minimum required to evaluate E-1 and E-2 package, completed.
- 1. Only one tracking and acquisition station equipped with a minimum number of "E", "\$" and "H" components, without data links as planned, established at Vandenberg AFB.
- g. F-1, F-2 and F-3 packages continue on the present R&D basis. Read-out for these to be processed by a limited Subsystem "I" program with no emphasis on quick processing to obtain warning a this time.

h. Subsystem "T' completely reoriented and limited to the use of existing resources to exploit the intelligence wherever possible. Equipment developed for processing, storage, recall and viewing must improve equipments now in use that perform the same functions but do not have the capacity to handle the SAMOS collection capability. Individual equipments will be integrated as a system using existing facilities and at a place that will allow for expeditious R&D evaluations as well as maximum exploitation of the end results.

The staff of the Office of the Assistant Director for Special Projects, DR&E, will be available for advise and assistance in regard to the above reorientation.

Herbert F. York

URRENT STATUS REPORT

Date April 1960

Section 8. Research and Development Mission Area

1 1 1 V

Ŋ

SANOS/117-K system

BRIEF OPERATIONAL REPUTRIMENT

BOR #00, dated 16 March 1955, and revised on 26 September 1958, established the requirement for a strategic reconnaissance satellite system. The GOR #00-1 specified the requirement for a visual reconnaissance sub-system which would provide resolution of photographic images of low contrast objects from 20 ft. down to 1 ft. on a side on the ground as an ultimate. GOR #00-2 specifies the requirement for an electronic sub-system which should provide electronic reconnaissance intercept equipment in the band of frequency between 30 mcs and 40 kmcs. This sub-system should have a direction finding capability to locate emitters to within 5 miles. GOR #00-4 specifies the requirement for a wapping and charting sub-system which would be able to identify and locate points or objects on the photography to within plus or minus 1,000 ft. of their true location in relation to the world geodetic grid.

PROGRAM/ STATUS

Twenty-five satellites are scheduled to be launched for the purpose of RiD from September 1960 through December 1962. The first 3 vill have components of both the early photo and ferret subsystems. At the conclusion of this series, 8 launches of satellites with the E-2 or 36" focal length camera are planned commencing in April 1961 and 7 launches of the F-2 and F-3 ferret subsystems commencing in June 1961 are planned. The first of 7 launches of the E-5, high resolution recommissance camera is scheduled for launch in August 1961. The payload for this E-5 series will be recovered after re-entry into the earth's atmosphere. All of these launches will be conducted from the Pacific Missile Range and will be fired into circular polar orbits to an altitude of 261 mautical miles.

Two tracking and acquisition stations are planned for this system during the RAD phase. One of these will be located at Vandenberg Air Force Base, and the other at New Boston, New Hampshire. Another two stations are required for the operation of the program; one will be constructed at Ottumum, Iowa, and the other at Pt. Stevens, Oregon. These stations will perform the function of tracking the satellite and the reading out of the data when the satellite is interrogated. The data collected will be released from the TAM stations to a Space Operations Center to be located at SAC Hq, Omaha, Nebruaks. At the Space Operations Center military personnel will perform the function of preparing programmed commands which will be transmitted to the satellite via the TAM stations. Additionally, a wilitary organisation will process the recommissence information obtained from the satellites into usable intelligence data for appropriate dissemination.

The program presented was approved by the Air Force Ballistic Missile Committee in a meeting on 10 February 1960. The objectives of the program are that the system be capable of flying some satellites for intelligence exploitation during a development/operational phase starting in August 1962. Turn-over of the system

SECRET

DOWNGRADED AT 12 YEAR NIERVALS NOI AUTOMATICALLY DECLASSIFIED DOD DIR 5200.10

			/
		\sim	
- I - I - I - I - I - I - I - I - I - I		se al	
		· 3	•
		38 4 8	
8			
	11.041a 1963 1967.0 107.1		
		Patrima 1	
		Pier Pierren.	
		PROB Property The the the the the the the	
8		ALR CONTINUES	
		develo develo program represent	
	HOW E	troved als of 1960 a 1960 a sound bus bus bus bus bus bus bus bus bus bus	
		1 24 2 5 2 4 5 5 1 1 1 1 2 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	
	•		
	i bi	• 9 (C

- -

AIR RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT COMMAND United States Air Force Andrews Air Force Base Washington 25, D. C.

12 April 1960

RDL

User Participation

AFEMD (WDG) AF Unit Post Office Los Angeles 45, Calif

1. This Headquarters is sponsoring strong participation by the using commands during the development and acquisition of weapons systems. The using commands are being urged to establish authoritative representation at the ARDC Division/ AMC Center locations to make, or obtain promptly, the decisions which are the responsibility of the using commands.

2. Representatives of the Development Divisions met at this Headquarters on 18 February to formulate a policy which would guide the establishment of working relations with the using command elements at the Division Headquarters. This draft policy was discussed with representatives of Headquarters AMC and Headquarters ADC on 11 March. It was nearly suitable for use by Headquarters AMC and, therefore, has been modified slightly so that the Commanders of ARDC Development Divisions and AMC Centers will be guided by identical policies. Attachment 1 is a copy of the policy.

3. This policy provides an adequate basis for establishing local procedures and retains both the ARDC and the AMC responsibilities for development and acquisition of weapons systems.

/s/ JAMES FERGUSON JAMES FERGUSON Major General, USAF Vice Commander

X V V

1 Atch Policy Statement

PARTICIPATION BY USING COMMANDS MURING THE

DEVELOPMENT AND ACQUIDITION OF VEAPONS EXETIMS

1. The efficient management of aerospace system development, acquisition and delivery is dependent upon day-to-day decisions on the technical, logistical, and operational features of the system. The not effect of these decisions is a useful system. The inter-relationship of these decisions requires complete understanding of the technical, logistical, and operational aspects at the management location. Both AHDC and AHC have authoritative representation at the system management location. AHC and ARDC went strong user particigation at the same location

2. The AMC/ARDC objectives in sponsoring strong user participation are to:

- a. Fill the gap between submission of a QOR by the using command and issuance of a Development Directive by Headquarters USAF with local participation in preparation of the Development plan (AFR 20-11).
- b. Increase the stability of USAF programs from the initial phase onward by basing programming actions on the combined technical competence, operational experience, and logistics knowledge of the agancies concerned.
- c. Achieve concurrency of action that reflects full integration of planning, programming, and budgeting of the operational, development, and logistics aspects of our program.
- d. Encourage using command organizations located at AMC Centers, ARDC Divisions to make day-to-day decisions for their command which will permit ARDC and AMC to carry out their development and logistic responsibilities as rapidly and efficiently as possible.
- e. Insure the validity of proper time phasing of advance plans for material, personnel, facilities, and equipment (AFR 5-47).
- i'. Insure the users' cooperation in inter-system and latra-system integration and phasing.
- g. Simplify and expedite the conduct of necessary tests
- h. Expedite the attainment of operational capabilities.

/s/ JANES FERGUSON JANES FERGUSON Major General, UBAF Vice Commander

20 April 1960

MEMORANDUMFORIES SECRETARY OF THE AIR FORCE

SUBJECT: SANCO, MIDAS and DISCOVERER Research and Development Frograms and Development/Operational Plans for SAMOS and MIDAS Programs

Reference: Memorandum for Secretary of Defense from Under Secretary of the Air Force, subject: Transfer of the SAMOS MIDAS and DISCOVERER Development Programs to the. Department of the Air Force, dated 18 Feb 1960

The referenced memorandum submitted for review and approval proposed Research and Development Programs for SAMOS, MIDAS and DISCOVERER and proposed Development/Operational Plane for the SAMOS and MIDAS programs. The Development/Operatic al Plane have been referred by the Secretary of Defense to the Point Chiefs of Staff. The Development/Operational Plans will be reviewed and guidence. provided by separate action.

The Research and Development Programs were submitted on the basis that there were available to these programs, as presented, totals of \$262.4 million in FY 1960 and \$255.0 million in FY 1961. These amounts are stated by the Air Force to be less than required to accomplish the Research and Development Programs as submitted. The additional amounts required for FY 1960 are stated to be \$20.6 million for MIDAS, and understood to be \$5.0 million for DISCOURER. The programmed in the FY 1961 budget estimates. However, it is recognized that theremounts for these programs are involved and the Air Force reprogramming proposal now pending before the Congress. In view of these circumstances, the amounts for dunding used hereinare for program guidance purposes only and actual funding will be determined through usual fiscal chantels.

The MIDAS Research and Development Program, as submitted by the Air Force; has been reviewed and the recommanded program, estimated at \$51.9 million for FY 1960 and \$106.8 million for FY 1961, is approved in principle. It is understood that steps are under way within the Air Force to implement the MIDAS program in accordance with the objectives of the recommanded Research and Development Program, as presented, and that related reprogramming actions are being taken.

> DOWNGRADED AT 12 YEAR TERVALS NOI AUTOMATICALLY CLASSIFIED DOD DIR 5200,10

The DISCOVERER Research and Development Progan has been reviewd. In accordance with subsequent discussions held with this office, it is understood that the Air Force concurs in extending the DISCOVERER program b six additional vehicles and will prepare a revised plan reflecting this extension/ Fund requirements as presented are stated to be \$76.1 million for FY 1960 and the Month of FY 1961 amount is subject to adjustment contigent upon the submission and approval of a revised Plan b the Air Forcea/ and reprogramming action results.

The SAMCS Research and Development Progra has been reviewed. As outlined in subsequent discussions hild with this office, At is apparent that the SAMCS RESearch and Development Program as presented must be further adjusted. You are suthorized to proceed on an interim basis within funding availability of \$160.0 million for FY 1960 and a planning stringte of \$189.9 million for FY 1961. It is desired thet current effort cosure that andiloble rescue of ers applied to fully achieve relevanthingd development objective, including schedule, in order of priority; securing slippopus as necessary in the lower priority items. The priority catabliched for the Recearch and Development Program provides for emphasizing photographic paylodes over forrer, and within the photographic node, fecovery over residuel . Add distant required due to the revised planning estimate for FY 1961 should be coccompacted to the extent possible within the fortes ares. It is desired that Air Force recommendations for programs adjustments be provided jfor further revis.

- None of the foregoing should be construed as approval of anymilitary constructions items, which are to be handled separately.

/Signed/ Rerbert F. York

a: ASD (Comptroller)



MISSILE SYSTEMS DIVISION . SUMMYVALE, CALIFORNIA

In reply refer to: LMSD-360726

Ι.



Subject:

AFEMD

Augmented Re-Entry and Recovery Program

To:

DJGtpm

DOWNGRADED AT 12 YEAR INTERVALS: NOT AUTOMATICALLY DECLASSIFIED. DOD DIR 5200:10

N

Attn: WDZY Col. F. C. E. Oder Air Force Unit Post Office Los Angeles 45, California

Enclosure: (a) "Augmented Re-Entry and Recovery Program," SECRET, LMSD-360733

Recent events have underscored the necessity for recovering 1. reconnaissance and other payloads from orbit at an accelerated pace and with the utmost of reliability and security. The timeliness of this capability is such that the Air Force and industry should re-examine the best ways to exploit present technology to achieve both an early and a long term capability for recovering valuable payloads from orbit. Of these, the early capability should receive special emphasis.

At LMSD we have continuously analyzed various techniques for re-entering the earth's atmosphere and recovering capsules at the earth's surface. These methods involve everything from ballistic re-entry with parachute recovery represented by the early Discoverer experiments to combinations of drag and gliding recovery. While we firmly believe that the programs now being sponsored by the Air Force at LMSD will lead to success, other methods with more flexibility and potential must also be exploited. We are enclosing a proposed program of effort which will reinforce the Air Force's capabilities, both in the near future and over a longer term.

Work at LMSD on the proposed program will be initiated in 3. those areas authorized by Exhibits AFBM 60-6 and 60-31 of the Discoverer and Samos contracts. The broad activity, which is outlined in Enclosure (a), should be formalized by amendments and changes to existing contracts. Your concurrence in this plan of action is requested.

> LOCKHEED AIRCRAFT CORPORATION MISSILES AND SPACE DIVISION

Gribbon, Manager tellite System

INT CONTAINS INFORMATION NATIONAL DEFENSE OF MEANING OF THE ESM


LMSD-360733 Enclosure (a) to LMSD-360726 Page 1 Ś., .:

TRUSSED ALL CONTAINS INFORMATION A NUMBER OF THE CONTAINS INFORMATION A STUTS TO ALL DEFENSE OF THE STUTS TO ALL OF ALL DEFENSE OF THE ESPIC LAWS, THE ALL OF ALL STUTS AND S TRUSSED TO ALL OF ALL OF ALL OF ALL ALL OF ALL

F-1

PROPOSED AUGNENTED RE-ENTRY & RECOVERY PROGRAM

INTRODUCTION

The program for the accomplishment of de-orbiting and recovery of satellite payloads is of high national urgency. Successful bio-medical recovery and ultimately the recovery of reconnaissance payloads from orbit will have important national and international import.

The first successful recovery of payloads from orbit is planned through the program of capsule recovery tests now underway in the Discoverer Program. The engineering development of this system will permit reliable bio-medical recovery in a timely, useful fashion. The recovery system for heavier reconnaissance payloads is planned for development and test in the Samos E-5 Program.

OBJECTIVE

The importance of the system for de-orbiting and recovery of satellites payloads prompts the need for a program which will provide feasibility, development data and hardware for various more flexible and hence more operationally useable recovery techniques and systems. The primary objectives of the proposed program will be to:

a. Determine and develop various techniques and systems for backup to present recovery concepts.

b. Determine and develop an augmented program for de-orbiting and recovery systems which will provide greater operational and mission flexibility and growth potential.

PROPOSED WORK

LMSD will conduct a program of design study work leading to the development of various re-entry and recovery techniques and systems. Work will be initiated under AFBM Exhibits 60-6 and 60-31 of Discoverer and Samos contracts. The broad activity will be formalized by amendments and changes to these contracts. In directing the program toward the system characteristics as listed in the Technical Plan, LMSD will:

Establish requirements for various techniques and systems.

Prepare work statements providing the criteria for feasibility studies.

Award subcontracts for the conduct of selected studies.

Integrate and evaluate both LMSD and subcontractor studies to determine optimum course of system development.

Initiate complete system or component designs, where required.

Prepare detailed equipment lists, and appropriate schedules for their time of requirement.



LMSD-360733 Enclosure (a) to LMSD-360726 Page 2 À.

Establish ground and flight test objectives for development program.

Prepare a firm Program Development Plan, outlining approaches leading both to an early capability and to longer term system advancements.

Conduct hardware procurement program.

Conduct development and ground test program.

Design, develop and/or procure appropriate GSE.

Conduct flight test, data processing, and recycle programs as required.

Conduct follow-on developmental/operational programs as required.

The Work Statement will be prepared defining the requirements and criteria to be used for various suggested approaches. Subcontractors will be selected based on their past experience, know-how and/or actual manufacturing capability in performance analysis, capsule design, and re-entry and recovery system development. Paid small study contracts will be awarded to several sources for feasibility studies on an accelerated basis (4-6 weeks). The studies will require budgetary estimates for development to be furnished as well as technical solutions.

Concurrent with the subcontractor studies LMSD will conduct an in-house effort to permit rapid and thorough evaluation of proposed techniques, design, analytical methods, and programming considerations. This monitoring will be done by a specially created LMSD team which will also participate in subcontractor selection and evaluation of the final reports.

The following companies, in light of their past experience in fields related to this program, will be solicited for feasibility studies.

AVCO Vidya-Itek Cook Electric

In the event that certain of these sources decline, other qualified sources may be considered. Some of these are Goodyear Aircraft Co., CBS-Space Recovery, Inc., Chance-Vought, General Mills, Bendix Aviation, CALAC, Aeronutromics, McDonnell Aircraft, and STL, if the latter is contractually feasible.

As a result of these studies a development program proposal will be presented to BMD/BMC by 15 July 1960.

TECHNICAL PLAN

System Characteristics

Alternate re-entry and recovery techniques and the augmented system resulting from this program shall include the following general characteristics:



OF OF	
, 1	
with shares	
 N 	1.1



THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS INFORMATION AF ING THE MATIONAL DEFENS. 5 THE U STATES WITHIN THE MEANING (* THE BERO LAWS, TITLE 16 U.S.C., SECTIC 13 'YS AND 'PT TRANSMISSION OR THE REVELA 2 OF MS

LMSD-360733 Enclosure (a) to LMSD-360726 Page 3

.

.....

a. The availability of the re-entry and recovery system components should be such that they can be mounted in Agena vehicles which can be used as alternates in the present Discoverer Program flight schedules.

b. The systems should have application to both the Discoverer and Samos E-5 Programs.

c. The systems should permit de-orbit from considerably higher altitudes than those encountered in any of the present re-entry programs to provide for space ferry and high altitude reconnaissance missions.

d. The re-entry body should be adaptable for controlled maneuvering reentry to arrive at a designated spot.

e. The proposed systems should have growth potential for heavier payloads.

. .

()

Q

f. The re-entry body should be adaptable for both bio-medical and inert payloads.

Study Areas

A number of studies have been and are currently being conducted at LMSD concerning alternate re-entry and recovery techniques of space capsules. Results of these studies will be made available to the participants of the paid study phase.

Following is a partial list of systems pertaining to the re-entry and recovery sequence that will be investigated in this program.

-- High Altitude De-orbit

. Multiple restart main engine . Resta:

. Restartable capsule retro-rocket

- Orientation of the vehicle in preparation for de-orbit

. Agena attitude sensors and control system

- . Capsule attitude sensors and control system
- -- Capsule separation
 - . Pyrotechnic . Mechanical springs . Pneumatic impulse

-- Retro velocity source

- . Agena engine restart . Drag modulation below 140 miles
- . Solid or liquid pusher or tractor system on capsule



THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS INFORMATION AFFE ING THE NATIONAL DEFENSE S THE UNIT STATES WITHIN THE MEANING BEFORM LAWS, TITLE IS U.S.C. SECTION, NO 74, TRANSMISSION OR THE REVELAT. IF ITS CC TENTS IN ANY MANNER TO AN UNAUTHORIC PERSON IS PROHIBITED BY LAW.



. Vector control of the retro motor

. Combination of spin and retro firing

. No spin requirement (Capsule stabilized)

LHSD-360733 Enclosure (a) to LHSD-360726 Page 4

JEUNEI

Retro velocity control

- . Torque bar spin up
- . Other stored energy
- . Spin retro motor only
- . Despin by changing roll moment of inertia
- . Inertial stabilization of the retro motor mount
- . Capsule spin up and/or spin down with solid propellent motors
- . Spin retro motor and differential spin of capsule
- -- Reorientation for approach to the atmosphere
 - . Attitude control system . Residual spin
 - . Aerodynamic drag brake . No requirement
- Re-entry
 - . Lifting re-entry . Zero lift with aerodynamic stability
 - . Ablation heat protection . Combined drag brake and maneuvering re-entry
 - Ballistic re-entry at low w/CoA drag brake (Ref AVCO Research Report #64, 1959)

-- Recovery

- . Ballistic and glide . Inflatable structure
- . Chute deployment, air snatch with water backup
- . Ground impact (Chute, air bags, spike, skids, wheels)





THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS INFORMATION AFFECT-ING THE CATIONAL DEFE IS THE UNITED STATES WITHIN THE MEACHING THE BENONAGE LAWS, TITLE IS U.S.C., SPCTION OF AND THE ITS TRANSMISSION OR THE REVELA OF ITS CON-TENTS IN ANY MANNER TO AN UNAUTHORIZED

....

Assistant Deputy Comm Tolonel, USAF REDERIC C E ODER 160 (perinder if further (Signature, If required) STLLL GNY SHAN (MA **CIGNED** TOUMA in the second ويتجاجب والمراجع والمراجع

DECLASSIFIED TOT THE SAME D INTERVALS, NOT AUTOMATICALLY DOWNGRADED AT 12 YEAR

DOSL

LAY 2

700 1.5 5

11

exerce date General Schridterer desires on/or about 1A June 60. Journal you advise as to time such study will be completed to allow review by General Schriever tion for the said Fregram. It is now fait that sufficient the effort underney to plan for sugnetization and/or accelera--stremerative execute whith DORDING WE WE CHERY ADDRESS OF And the best our message which they deter and the best of Matter a your manage they be the to be they "EDHIE MINE SERVICE" L68 H - XMEN MOME ZENEDER

VIEC VIEWER VIE

0

101

, And E		4	V	510MIS	LINN	3006					Cution Notic
		- SHLLN	VCCON	· 1999	2) 90H	HAL		12.20			
. 1	- 	De	e E		.						
NX	1960 MA	1 22 1	JM :	15			•••			<u> </u>	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	WELENIE N	CALINO NOLLYS	n N	20 201 0	- <u></u>	Aona	Dras				
n f	JIG 10	<u>eve</u> int			• • •	84	GIID	Vesin	I LNIOI		1
			. ALINIAD	19. Carter 1.	s	en en in	(Yest				

SECRET

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE HEADQUARTERS UNITED STATES AIR FORCE WASHINGTON 25, D.C.



AFDSD-AT

(U) Exploitation of Initial SANOS Data

INN 1 1950

ARDC

ht ca

INFO TO: CINCSAC ADC AMC AFEND

1. By letter of 20 April 1960, the Director of Defense, Research and Engineering approved in principle the Research and Development Plans for DISCOVERER, SAMOS and MIDAS, dated 15 January 1960. Separate correspondence as to specific changes and funds pertaining to this approval is being prepared; however, questions of operational command, operational facilities and user relationships for the SAMOS reconnaissance satellite continue to be matters of considerable discussion.

The Under Secretary of the Air Force directed on 27 May 1960 that the R&D exploitation and operational plans for SAMOS be re-evaluated. The Under Secretary stated that there is considerable technical uncertainty as to the character and quality of the information that may be obtained by the different payloads of this system and that the operational interest and the character of the initial operational programs will be strongly conditioned by the results of the R&D program. He noted that a very elaborate plan had been originally conceived for the operational control, data handling, data utilization, data volume, and data display elements of the SAMOS and MIDAS operational systems. but that approval of such a plan with authorisation for expenditure of funds has not been forthcoming. This delay has occurred because of concern that the assumptions on such items as technical capabilities, schedules, data quality, frequency of coverage, payload reliability and lifetime, computer requirements, optimum camera types, etc., are open; to considerable question and can affect in a major way the type of operational system that will ultimately be required. If, as a result of the R&D experimental flight program, recovery rather than readout : turns out to be the best primary means for satisfying the bulk of the operational requirements, then the ground complex required for handling such data will be enormously simpler than if complete reliance is placed on readout to meet these requirements.

3. It is directed that a revised SANOS Development Plan be prepared and submitted as soon as possible within the ground rules specified below. Deviations for valid reasons will be considered and may be presented as alternate plans.

> DOWINGRADED AT 1 INTERVALS, NOT AUTO DECLASSIFIED. DOD AT

a. In order to have parallel R&D tests of readout and recovery systems, re-examine all applicable camera equipment, both on the shelf and in development, and make recommendations for the introduction of an additional recoverable psyload development program with associated schedules and cost. 11

b. Endeavor to achieve the earliest flight dates for the different payloads with priorities in this order: photographic recovery, photographic readout, ferret. Consideration is to be given to possible delaying elements and added insurance against such delays.

c. Make provision for the minimum essential capability to handle in a reasonable fashion any operational take from the R&D flights:

(1) Include facility details, schedules, costs, manpower, and subsystem descriptions.

(2) Initial readout is limited to two sites.

9

(3) No wide-band data links authorized except Vandenberg-Sunnyvale.

(4) No provision is to be made for alternate satellite control centers: control to be exercised originally from Sunnyvale center.

(5) Capability will be limited to that required to handle one operating readout satellite at a time.

(6) System should be planned to permit growth capacity if RAD program results are promising and decision is made later to use readout primarily.

(7) Processing capability should be adequate for recovered as well as readout data.

(8) Personnel staffing and training should be geared to the modified program. The present activities in this regard appear to be completely out of scale and out of phase time-wise.

(9) Provision will be made only for essential elements of subsystem I. Complexity and computer requirements introduced into this subsystem as a result of ferret payloads should be carefully reassessed. The very limited value of possible data from F-1 and F-2 militates against sophisticated data handling system. Subsystem I supparts to have been greatly over-engineered, at least for the ferret aspects of the program.

(10) Computer programming problems, schedules and computer requirements for photographic readout payloads will be re-evaluated to determine whether it is reasonable to anticipate extensive pre-determined selective area coverage on request by intelligence in the R&D flights now scheduled. The interim facility requirements should be planned accordingly. d. Determine the effects of the above on MIDAS and prepare necessary revisions to the MIDAS plans.

4. The proposed BAMOS interim operational capability should be located in the area where it is desired to establish the final operational facility and control center, if appropriate, or can consist of an augmentatinn to the R&D capability with Air Force personnel rather than contractor personnel responsible for the operation. The plan for this capability must include recommendations for its location with justification for the choice. The current plan is to use a very small portion of the old Martin bomber plant in Omaha with overlapping control systems for MIDAS and SAMOS. The Under Secretary questions the desirability of this plan and reports that CINCNORAD believes this is unacceptable and that MIDAS control, readout, display, etc., must be integrated in a common location with other defense subsystem elements such as those related to BMEWS. Therefore, questions of the following types must be considered in making a new plan for the interim operational capability:

a. Should the Air Force plan ultimately to establish the complete operational data handling, display and control elements of SAMOS and MIDAS at the old Martin Bomber Flant?

b. If not, or if there is serious question as to such desirability, is it sound to reactivate a minute area of this large plant for the interim operational equipment?

c. Should the entire complex be considered as basically a "peacetime" operation with survivability of all or part of the equipment of little importance?

d. Is it necessary or desirable to co-locate data handling and processing facilities with future control centers and should the SANDS and MIDAS control centers be integrated?

5. Request this headquarters be notified of the earliest possible date that the requested plans can be formally submitted for appropriate briefings and presented to the Air Force Ballistic Missile Committee. ARDC is to act as team captain for the preparation of these plans with other commands participating as necessary. Further guidance will be provided by this headquarters on the questions in paragraph 4.

/signed/ Roscoe C. Wilson

9

ROSCOE C. WIL SON Lt General, USAF Deputy Chief of Staff, Development

PRIORITY

 \bigcirc

6 8 V

15271 1000 Ptk 1. 4. Bo-Entry and Bouwary Program dated 19 May 60 (1000 360726) and inclouence (a) themeter (Inter Sfor33). Article similaries in prior plane of action measuring to the admitted, of a devicing proposal to stand/mill by 15 July 40 an Indiana në m yano 2, incloses (a) of subscore with the fallowin 167: (A) , (a), Techny "Mentalant). Muse - Spirlants Charine nie Ser a no your porvait in yithering t i ingratuat. an-additing from any higher althings than regulated b i (ii) interio ink Chiman p physical "second **11** (1) 38

	a harristan					ä•1704
		-	Aller Aller			X
	DOWNGRADED	T 3 YEAR I	NTERVALS:	SIGN		
and the second	DECLASSIFIEL	AFTER 12	YEARS.			••
	000	ND 5200 10			distant and the	

1 1

9 5. 9 5.

				1/+75 mila	
CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER	Sector Se	A MARGAN	HIGH	، به توت ب ، ، سبر	LINCI
NAME (SIGNATURE)			Della B		
THIS TO UN					
and the second		1.12	1		





P RESIDENT & DEVILATION OF GRANT MERCIN STATES ALL POINT AND DE ALL POINT DATE MARENDER S. D.C.

1) malestanting of Intellig Add Inte

n: 1947 (Aynam-Ay) Main 29, D. 4.

0

ģ

1.

THE TO: CINCLE

· 1018

ATIO

24 Jan 1960

11

0

an an the second se

00-13

1. Indernate your lotter dated 1 June 1960, subject as dere. In compliance with the actions directed therein, a monting was hold at the Air Junce Ballistic Minetle Mysica, Les Angeles, California en 2-3 June 1960, at which there was representation from this benignerters, AFGIN, AFAN, My SAC and AFAND. In consequence thereof, the Shiel Development Flam is being revised as mean as possible within the ground rules specified in the reference Letter.

2. In addition, action is being taken to re-cummine Schepeters I to determine whether it is possible to simplify this Schepeters by separating the ferret aspects from the photo. Continuous is being taken of the limited value of the date from early ND flights of the P-1 and 7-2 Debuyetters. Further, the minimum epsential equipment list for the proceeding of intelligence date which may neeres from the early ND flights is being propared.

3. It is requested that the separate correspondence participing to specific changes and funding manthemat in the first paragraph of the shows referenced inter and the forther guidence referred to in jurigraph 5 thereaf, be furnished this backgebrains as seen as passible in order that the information may be integrated into the particul Development Flat.

4. The photosology "Many" interim operational appliiting" in the first perturns of perspect t of the laste standictivities is interpreted to near "appleitation of the take during the 200 flights."

> DOWNGRADED AT 12 YEAR INTERVALS; NOT AUTOMATICALLY DECLASSIFIED. DOD DIR 5200.10



1 JUNE GUIDANCE (Gen Wilson ltr)

AF UNDER SECRETARY DIRECTS RE-EVALUATION OF R & D EXPLOITATION AND OPERATIONAL PLANS ----

A. CONSIDERABLE TECHNICAL UNCERTAINTY AS TO CHARACTER AND QUALITY OF INFORMATION THAT MAY BE OBTAINED BY THE DIFFERENT PAYLOADS.

B. CHARACTER OF THE INITIAL OPERATIONAL PROGRAMS WILL BE STRONGLY CONDITIONED BY R & D RESULTS.

2.4

REVISED SAMPS DEVELOPMENT PLAN REQUIRED ASAP.

2.3

GROUND RULES FOR DEV. PLAN REVISION

(GEN WILSON LTR.)

A. PARALLET R & D RESTS OF READOUT AND RECOVERY

REWEXAMINE ALL APPLICABLE CAMERS EQUIPMENT AND MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS FOR INTRODUCTION

OF AN ADDITIONAL RECOVERABLE PAYLOAD EQUIPMENT.

B. ACHIEVE EARLIEST FLIGHT DATES FOR THE DIFFERENT PAYLOADS WITH PRIORITIES

PHOTO RECOVERY

PHOTO READOUT

FERRET

- C. MAKE PROVISIONS FOR "MINIMUM ESSENTIAL CAPABILITY" TO HAUDLE ANY R & D "TAKE".
- D. CONSIDER EFFECT ON MIDAS PROGRAM

OTHER "KNOWN" GUIDANCE

- A. DD/RAE STUDY DR. BILLINGS
- B. CONGRESSIONAL ACTION TO PROVIDE ADDITIONAL FUNDS TO SUPPLEMENT SAMOS PROGRAM

8. PRESIDENTIAL CONCERN

 $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}$

 $\widehat{}$

> 101

DR. BILLINGS PROPOSED PROGRAM CHANGES

DD / R&E STUDY

A. LIMIT E-2 TO A FOUR SHOT RAD PROGRAM

B. INTRODUCE AN ADDITIONAL RECOVERY PROGRAM WITH E-2 RESOLUTION AND A 180 MILE SWATH WIETH

675

<u>8</u>08

C. SIMPLIFY THE GROUND COMPLEX TO MATCH THIS PROGRAM

÷.

D. PROVIDE A JOINT INTELLIGENCE FACILITY FOR PRE-STRIKE SAMOS DATA PROCESSING

E. EXAMINE POST-STRIKE BAC REQUIREMENTS



DR BILLINGS' CONCLUSIONS OF DD/3&E STAFF STUDY

- A. NATIONAL REQUIREMENTS ARE FOR GROSS PHOTO COVERAGE OF THE SOVIET UPION ONCE EVERY SIX MONTHS
 - B. A RECOVERY PROGRAM WITH E-2 RESOLUTION IS THE OPTIMUM WAY TO ACCOMPLICH THIS.
 - C.E-5 CAN HAYDLE SPECIFIC TARGET COVERAGE

- D. A READOUT PROGRAM OF ANY TYPE IS COSTLY, INFLEXIBLE & COMPLICATED
- E. IN THE PRE STRIKE ERA THIS DATA SHOULD BE PROCESSED THROUGH THE ORGANIZATIONAL SYSTEM NOW IN EXISTANCE.
- F. THE POST STRIKE SITUATION SHOULD BE STUDIED.

3.

FEATURES OF THE REVISED PROCRAM

- 1. PROGRAM DIRECTED AT INSURING TECHNICAL SUCCESS
 - * DEVOID OF CLASSICAL OPERATIONAL ASPECTS
- 2. RECOGNIZES & PROGRAM "IN BEING" WHICH CAN PROVIDE THE EARLIEST FLT. TESTING AND RAD TAKE
 - * IDMEDIATE CHAN ES TO EXISTING PROCRAM TO D'CREASE CONFIDENCE IN SCHEDULES AND SUCCESS

697

- * R & D DATA HANDLING OPLY
- 3. RECOGNIZES REQUIREMENT FOR EARLIEST GROSS COVERAGE SYSTEM
 - * INTRODUCES COMPREMENSIVE BACKUP RECOVERY SYSTEMS COMPLEMENTARY TO EXISTING CAPABILITITE.

4. FLEXIBILITY ACHIEVED

1.08

- * PADS, VEHICLES, PAYLOADS
- 5. INTRODUCES CONTRACTOR COMPETITION
- 6. AERO SPACE COPR. USED IN TECHNICAL ROLE
- 7. ADDITIONAL FUNDING REQUIRED

CHANGES TO PROGRAM "IN BEING"

1. LESS SOPHISICATED TESTS ** BUT SIGNIFICANT

A. REMOVE F1 FROM INITIAL FLTS INTIL E1 FEASIBILITY IS DETERMINED

B. MINIMUM COMMANDS TO VEHICLE AND PAYLOADS INUTIALLY FOR E2 (STEVRING, IMC, BTC.)

C. INITIATE ONLY TWO ADD'L E2 PROCUMEMENTS (TOTAL OF 5)

ACCEPT 0.8 PROBABILITY OF DETERMINING FEASIBILITY (PROTECT LEADTIMES ON ADD'L THREE PAYLOADS)

D. INTRODUCE EARLIER RECOVERY TESTS OF E-5 CAPSULE MAY OR JUNE '61 DIAGNOSTIC FLTS (2)

E. MAKE E A STEP FUNCTION DEVELOPMENT INITIALLY, ELDNIMATE STERBO, STEERING, ORBIT ADJUST, ETC.

ž

S 8 U

R E

E

R L Y

F Ľ

SUC

. . . .

2. FLEXIBILITY THRU A. ORDER ADD'L ATLAS & AGENAS NOW TO BE ON HAND FOR SPECIAL APPLICATION

B. INITIATE THOR (SOLLD ROCKET ASSIST) DEVELOPMENT FOR USE WITH SMALLER PAYLOADS - RELIEVE PADS

C. ALIGNMENT OF TEST WING BUILD UP IN ACCORD WITH APPROVED PROGRAM

ALIGNMENT OF SUB SYSTEM "I"

3

.

699

_____)

(

10276

SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

16 June 1960

11

I

- MEROBANDUM FOR SECRETARIES OF THE ARMY, NAVY AND THE AIR FORCE

J

SUBJECT: Coordination of Satellite and Space Vehicle Operations (U)

Reference is made to the Secretary of Defense's wemorandum of September 18, 1959, subject as above.

The decisions set down in the referenced semorandum are reaffirmed. Additionally, it is desired to emphasize the establishment of a joint military organization for control over operational space systems does not appear necessary or desirable at this time.

With specific reference to the first full; aragraph on page 3 of the September 18, 1959, memorandum, the appropriate Military Department will include in its detailed plans for a particular system not only the user relationships with unified and specified commands and other appropriate agencies, but also, where applicable, provision for the exercise of appropriate operational authority by the unified and specified commanders responsible for the functional areas concerned.

S/Gates

DPLBC/Ef Col Mullin 34224/15 Jun 60/ft

1650060

11



Lt General Bernard A. Schriever Commander Air Research & Development Command Andrews AFB Washington 25, D. C.

Dear Benny:

Attached for your information and retention is a copy of a letter that I have forwarded to General White as a result of General Wilson's letter of 1 June 1960, subject, "Exploitation of Initial SAMOS Data." (U)

I would appreciate having your comments on the attached letter and in particular your support in convincing " General White that the USAF must develop a basic, operationally useable, SANOS system at the earliest possible time. Sophistication and any required increased scope of activities should come later. (5)

This correspondence is classified SECRET because it reveals planning information concerning the SAMOS reconnaissance system. (U)

SECRET

Sincerely,

g

DOWNGRADED AT 12 YEAR INTERVALS; NO1 AUTOMATICALLY DECLASSIFIED. DOD DIR 5200.10

Control Vo. 3224

Sector (

1 Atch Cy of Ltr to Gen White (8)

Legisterey

23132,

SECRET

Bund

16 JUN 1960

HEADOUARTERS STRATEGIC AIR COMMAND Offult Air Force Base Nebraska

General Thomas D. White Chief of Staff United States Air Force Washington 25, D. C.

Dear Tommy;

BAC DASMC Control No.

General Wilson's recent letter to ARDC with SAC as an information addressee, directed ARDC to develop a revised Development Plan for Samos. Ground rules were laid down to develop a program reduced in scope and along less sophisticated lines. This same letter also went on to stress that questions concerning command and control, operational facilities, and user relationships still continue to be a matter of considerable discussion. (8),

During the past year and as recent as 21 May 1960, I have expressed to you on several occasions, my grave concern about the lack of progress in the Samos program and the urgent necessity for this system in the Strategic Air Command. In view of SAC's requirements for priority intelligence and the potentiality of Samos for improving the operational effectiveness of this command, it is inconceivable to me that we are still questioning the operational requirement for or the assignment of this system. (S)

I recognize that the questions on system reliabilities, readout versus recovery, degree of sophistication, program scope, etc., are all certainly understandable. By the same token, however, these same questions are not going to be resolved to everyone's satisfaction for years to come. There always will be new ideas and suggestions for product improvements. Recognizing this, it appears absolutely essential to me that we should vigorously concentrateour entire efforts on obtaining a basic, operational usable system at the earliest possible date, in order to obtain usable intelligence data for employment of the ICEM and manned aircraft force. Later on, after the initial system

SECRET

11

11

has started to operate and has been turned over to SAC, the Samos system can be expanded as required in the form of product improvement. This procedure, of course, is the same one that has been and is currently being utilized in transitioning manned aircraft and ICBM systems from ARDC to SAC. (S)

Specifically I recommend that the basic system to be developed by ARDC for SAC be defined essentially the same as that described in paragraph 3 of General Wilson's letter. In this connection, it will be necessary for ARDC to plan for and install an operational control center in an existing facility on Offutt AFB. At this juncture, since the question of where to locate the data processing facility and the operational control center still seems to be under discussion, in some areas, I would like to review past actions on these facilities. (S)

The Strategic Air Command, on 5 August 1959, was delegated by the Vice Chief of Staff the responsibility for operational planning for employment and control of the Samos system. Further, in a letter from General Wilson to General Schriever, 7 August 1959, it was directed that the control and data processing capability for Samos would be established at Offutt AFB. Accordingly, SAC has conducted its preliminary operational planning in response to the above directives. As a result of numerous planning conferences, ARDC, AMC, ADC and SAC have unanimously agreed and each command has published mutually supporting detailed plans specifying that: (S)

a. The operational control and data processing elements of Samos should be installed in existing facilities on Offutt AFB. (S)

b. An interim data processing capability should be installed in existing facilities on Offutt AFB. This facility is required in conjunction with the very first R&D launch. (S)

c. Data handling must be co-located with the operational control for efficiency of operation and to permit close day to day coordination of efforts between these two integral parts of the systems. (8) d. One integrated Samos and Midas control center located in existing facilities on Offutt AFB is essential in view of the highly inter-related use that the Samos and Midas make of common ground support equipment. Co-location of these two facilities will permit considerable monetary savings. (S) 11.

Subsequent to the above actions, Hq USAF, on 25 Feb 1960, submitted to the Secretary of Defense operational plans for Samos and Midas. These USAF plans were in complete consonance with the jointly developed plans of ARDC, AMC, ADC and SAC. Subsequent to 25 Feb 1960 the Secretary of Defense forwarded the USAF plans for Samos and Midas to the JCS for comments and recommendations. (S)

In view of the above actions and recognizing that ARDC (AFBMD) has previously briefed the AFBMC as to why the operational control centers for Samos and Midas should be co-located at Offutt AFB, adjacent to the data processing facility, it is strongly recommended that no action be taken to change existing plans' to locate these facilities at Offutt AFB. Any indecision on the part of the USAF, at this time, as to where to locate these facilities will undoubtedly be used to great advantages by external agencies. (8)

I am sure that you recognize the long range benefits that will accrue to the USAF from locating the operational control and data processing features of Samos at Offutt AFB. These operational facilities will without a doubt expand, over the years, into control of other instrumented satellites and manned space systems. Accordingly these facilities should be firmly integrated into the command and control structure of the USAF, by locating them at Offutt AFB. (S)

In conclusion I wish to emphasize that during the past year the Samos and Midas programs have been subjected to numerous reviews, repeated re-direction of efforts, continual reexamination of technical efforts, etc. To date, none of these exercises have resulted in a clear cut course of action. Consequently, it has not been possible to go ahead with long lead time items required for early operational facilities. This situation simply cannot be allowed to continue, in view of the U-2 incident and the dire need

Selkei

for strategic intelligence and tactical warning. I am sure that you will agree with me that it is absolutely essential that the Samos and Midas programs be gotten off of dead center. Maximum efforts must be taken to develop, as soon as possible, a basic, operationally useable system. The sophistication and any required increased scope of activities

This correspondence is classified SECRET because it reveals planning information concerning the SAMOS reconnaissance system. (U)

Sincerely,

ARDC Comdr. 10pe 54-30 jan

14

11.

THOMAS S. POWER General, USAF Commander in Chief



1

POMPERADAS IN THE STAN

ENELS Green I SEE

Sile

SFERE

In reply refer to:

LASD/362526

61-12

1 (

LOCKHEED AIRCRAFT CORPORATION

MISSILE SYSTEMS DIVISION . SUNNYVALE, GALIFORNIA



20 June 1960

Subject: Augmented Re-entry and Recovery Program Tor DOWNGRADED AT 12 YEAR AFED Attn: WDZY, Col. F. C. E. Oder INTERVALS: NOT AUTOMATICALLY DECLASSIFIED. DOD DIR 5200.10 Air Force Unit Post Office Los Angeles 45, California Reference: (A) IMSD-360726 Letter, "Auguented Re-entry and Recovery Program" to AFBMD from D.J. Gribbon, Manager Satellite Systems, dated 19 May 1960 with enclosure (a), LMSD 360733, "Proposed Auguented Re-entry and Recovery Program."

> (B) TWX for D.J. Gribbon, LMSD Sunnyvale, California, from WDZY 5271 AFHMD Los Angeles, California, P 0118452

0

N

-

- 4

Enclosure: (a) Subcontract Work Statement, LMSD/362527

In compliance with your authorisation in Reference (B), LMSD is proceeding with action necessary to the submittal of the Development Plan. LMSD is also currently letting study contracts to three selected subcontractors: AVCO, Cook Electric, and Vidya-Itek. Enclosure (a) represents our work statement as submitted to subcontractors.

While the above sources were selected primarily on the basis of 2. their ready familiarity with the basic recovery problem, it has been recognized since the receipt of Reference (B) that the time span allocated for study is insufficient in view of the scope of the problem. In addition, the current activity on Revised Samos is presently requiring the major effort of personnel normally involved in re-entry and recovery system analysis. IMSD, therefore, intends to submit the Development Plan on 15 August 1960 in order to allow the incorporation of subcontractor study results and their evaluation as well as LMSD internal feasibility studies.

IMSD concurs with your decision to eliminate the requirement for de-3. orbit from significantly increased altitudes. There exists, however, requirements in the Discoverer program for recovery from orbit altitudes in excess of the current nominal 130 nautical miles. Also, there are indications that the target selectivity of the Samos E-5 system with roll steering can be enhanced by orbit control meneuvers regulting in orbit altitudes in the vininity of 300 nautical miles at the time of de-orbit. It is, therefore, felt that advanced system considerations should not exclude the possible need for recovery from these slightly increased altitudes or eccentric orbits.

SECRE

6 THE HATIONAL DEFENS

LAWS, THE IS MAC. SACT

TENTS MA. AN

4 13HE MA

SECRET

IMSD/362526 61-12

I

11

11.

4-4 1.... 1.....

Page 2

Subject: Augmented Re-entry and Recovery Program

Te: AFHND/Atta: WDZY, Col. F. C. E. Oder

4. LMSD will comply with your directive not to consider biomedical re-entry requirements for this development plan beyond those currently planned for the Discoverer.

> LOCKHEED AIRCRAFT CORPORATION MISSILES AND SPACE DIVISION

B. J. Gribbon, Manager Satellite Systems

DJG:AKE:IM

ょ

0

II

cc: AF Plant Representative Sunnyvale, California



THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS INFORMATION AFFEG-TING THE NATIONAL DEFENSE OF THE UNITED STATES WITHIN THE MEANING OF THE ESPIONAGE LAWS TITLE TO USE SECTIONS 793 AND 794, ITS TRANSMISSION OF THE NEVELATION OF ITS CON-TENTS INC. ASY, MAXIME, ID. AN, UNAUTHORIZED PERION IS PROVIDENTED BY LAWS

IMSD/362527 Dept, 61-12 Date: 20 June 1960

Copy No. E-1

Page 1

This document consists of 7 pages classified SEGRET

STATEMENT OF WORK FOR

44.44

THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS INFORMATION AS CTING THE MATIONAL DEPENSE OF THE UNITED STATES WITHIN THE MEANING OF THE ESSIONAGE LAWS. TUDE

RE-ENTRY AND RECOVERY STUDIES

DOWNGRADED AT 3 YEAR INTERVALS DECLASSIFIED AFTER 12 YEARS. DOD DIR 5200.10

Enclosure to LMSD/ 26

:

it and a second

ž

Such

Ì

т. 1



1

1

()

J

61-12

Fage 2

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION .I.	INTRODUCTION
SECTION II	WCRK/COST ELEMENTS (DIRECT)
Itam 1.	Specific Study Areas
Item 20	Technical and Management Reports

DOWNGRADED AT 12 YEAR INTERVALS; NOT AUTOMATICALLY DECLASSIFIED. DOD DIR 5200.10



THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS INFORMATION AFFECTING THE NATIONAL DEFENSE OF THE UNITED STATES WITHIN THE MEANING OF THE ESPICINAGE LAWS, TIT 18, U.S.C., SECTION 793 and 794 ITS TRANSMISSION OF REVELATION OF ITS CONTENTS IN ANY MANNER TO AN UNAUTHORIZED PERSON IS PROVIDENTED BY LAW

61-12

Page 3

SECTION I - INTRODUCTION

In order to insure reliable recovery of satellite payloads from orbit, with the highest possible confidence level, it is desirable at this time to re-examine the total re-entry and recovery problem for possible selection of an alternate approach to the Air Force Discoverer and Samos recovery programs. In addition to providing alternate approaches to the currently tested recovery systems for these programs this study should examine means to provide added flexibility and potential beyond the nominal performance of these current systems. Studies performed are to be aimed at the synthesis of a single integrated system and shall define the initial design characteristics of such a system. These design characteristics shall be used for possible celection of a system for flight testing within the Discoverer and/or Samos Frogram.

The subcontractor shall perform a study of 4-6 weeks duration which will define the initial design characteristics of a complete remembry and recovery system applicable to hold Discoverer and Samos and estisfying objectives and requirements outlined under Section II. Them 1.

The subcontractor shall demonstrate by analysis or other means that proposed techniques are feasible and require no major component development inconsistent with proposed schedules for delivery of flight item.

The subcontractor will sumit analysis showing the capability of his proposed system to meet the requirements of the present Discoverer and Jamos missions. The possible extension of the system to satisfy the other objectives mentioned under Section II_1 from I_2 will be discussed.



THIS OCCUMENT CONTAINS INFORMATION APPECTING THE NATIONAL DEFINIS OF THE UNITED STATES WITHIN THE MEANING OF THE ESPIONAGE LAWS, THE