

Policy: 18 Points
STIC: Chronology
STIC: Gemini
STIC: MOL ✓



2 Dec 65

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: USUN Msg 533

On 2 December, Colonel Marshall Sanders called concerning subject message. I extracted a copy from the file and phoned Sanders. His problem:

1. Scott George of State was ready to relay the contents of this message throughout State but had some reservation about the language in the second paragraph--starting on page 1:

--He felt that we would get into discussion on definition of "weaponry."

--By committing ourselves now, we deny U.S. flexibility in case we should want to alter position two or three years hence.

I advised Sanders:

--The language looked good to me, albeit a bit repetitive of the first paragraph.

--We would not object to deletion.

Sanders said he would advise George to delete the entire second paragraph.

RICHARD S. QUIGGINS
Lt. Colonel, USAF

Atch:
USUN 533

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

AF IN : 58026 (19Sep65) P/rda

STIC: GEMINI
STIC: MOL ✓
Policy: MOL

AF DIST : XOPX-C, NIN-4, XPD-3, JAG-1, RDC-4 (24)
SAF-OS-3

TELETYPE UNIT / DEPARTMENT OF STATE

INDICATE correct
 changes to

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

CALL 53337
FOR POLICE/IC
SERVICE

86

Orig

ACTION: USUN NEW YORK

533

9 19 04 38 Z
27 3 03 PM '65

L

Info

SS

C

SUBJECT: Outer Space Meetings -- MOL or Gemini Issues

ST

SAH

H

AF

ARA

ENE

FE

BRA

TO

Following are instructions to deal with possibility Soviets will raise issue of MOL or Gemini:

1. MOL. Soviets may charge MOL is program to develop possible use of space orbital labs as platforms for dropping bombs, that program aimed at testing orbit nuclear weapons

carriers. TASS concluded that GA Res 1884 (XVIII) which banned orbiting nuclear or any other kinds weapons of mass destruction in outer space in effect covered both carriers and warheads proper.

DEL should point out MOL will not repeat not carry any weaponry whatsoever, that is space laboratory only which is intended conduct defense research primarily but will doubtless produce important benefits in terms scientific knowledge and advancement space exploration generally. There no relationship MOL and weapons in space. Fact program being run by Dept Defense irrelevant to charge: many of both SOV and US astronauts are military

TO	CYS
OS	
OSA	
OSP	
USR	
BRA	
TEA	
TEB	
TEC	
TEL	
TEM	
TEN	
TEO	
TEP	
TEQ	
TER	
TES	
TEU	
TEV	
TEW	
TEX	
TEY	
TEZ	
TEA	
TEB	
TEC	
TEL	
TEM	
TEN	
TEO	
TEP	
TEQ	
TER	
TES	
TEU	
TEV	
TEW	
TEX	
TEY	
TEZ	

Telegraphic transmission and classification approved by

David H. Pappas

TELETYPE UNIT: HRGaither:mab:9-16-65

L - Mr. Meeker
SOV - Mr. MacCracken
G/PM - Mr. George

INR - Mr. Halsted
L/EUR - ~~CONFIDENTIAL~~
SCT - ~~CONFIDENTIAL~~
UNP - Miss Brown
~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

DOD/ISA - Col. Sande
ACDA - Mr. Naidie
REPRODUCTION FROM THIS COPY IS
PROHIBITED UNLESS "UNCLASSIFIED"

Page 2 of telegram to USUN NEW YORK

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

military personnel and space activities both countries have had military as well as nonmilitary components. Question is not whether program is military or nonmilitary, question is whether program is legitimate under international law.

Size of MOL immaterial. Natural that as scientific progress in space proceeds, size space lab also increases. Could not require two men work one month in Gemini size craft. In terms size, MOL anticipated to be 40 ft long by 10 feet diameter.

Design such that Gemini capsule will effect re-entry astronauts. MOL would burn up on re-entry. Furthermore, there is no need develop orbital bombardment capability, since would offer no military advantage over operational ICBM's.

Re Res 1884, President Johnson when announcing MOL reaffirmed US intention not RPT not place weapons mass destruction in orbit.

2. Gemini. Should SOVs rep Gemini program as having military or non-peaceful aims, DEL should quickly brush aside as preposterous. Point to 1) world-wide publicity accompanying progress and achievement of program, 2) invitation of President Johnson to SOVs have rep next launch.

Should SOVs reopen spying from satellite issue, DEL may reiterate US position that observation from space is consistent with international law, just as is observation from the high seas. END

CP-5

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

RUSK