DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE WASHINGTON

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

MAR 1 4 1966

HANDLE VIA BY

CONTROL SYST

115

MEMORANDUM FOR DEPUTY DIRECTOR, MOL PROGRAM

SUBJECT: MOL Priorities

Attached for your information are copies of recent correspondence addressing the MOL priorities question. Dr. Flax has advised me informally that he feels no sense of urgency at this time for a decision on the Brick Bat .OL/DX matter; he has therefore taken no action with respect to the implementing paperwork.

One aspect of the priorities question which particularly concerns me arises from the recent impact of Southeast Asia requirements on lead times for certain materials and vendor items. Of particular interest in this regard are forgings, extrusions, electronic components, gears, copper wire and cable, bearings, and alloys of molybdenum, vanadium, tungsten, and chromium. Lead time quotes by suppliers of these items and materials have increased substantially over mid-1965 levels; there is some evidence that the situation is still deteriorating.

The MOL Program Office has conducted a preliminary review of this situation during the past week. This survey, while not wholly conclusive, indicates a possible need for a review in depth of current and projected lead time requirements for MOL. Contacts with the Business and Defense Services Administration (BDSA) of the Department of Commerce, the Joint Aeronautical Materials Activity (JAMAC), and other agencies responsible for administration of the national priorities system, have elicited the following ROM lead time requirements for new orders:

Forgings and Extrusions (requiring new dies)52 weeksForgings and Extrusions (from existing dies)40 weeksPrecision Gears32 weeksElectronic Parts17 weeks

Bearings

DORTAN



Page 1 of 2 pages Copy 1 of 4 copies SAF-SL BYE 21054-6

SAF-SL BYE 21054-66 EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC REGRADING;

17 weeks

DOD DIR 5200.10 DOES NOT APPLY





Request you test your schedules and procurement planning against these typical lead times. Should this procedure indicate a potential impact on MOL schedules or the present MOL funding structure, please advise me without delay.

If it is at all possible to isolate and identify priorityrelated problems, I believe that it is important to do so now. Your message MP-4 22506 Feb 66 discusses potential problem areas in general terms. It would be useful in the present circumstances if you could develop these, or any other, areas of concern into specific and reasonably well-substantiated deficiencies, either actual or projected. Your discussion of such deficiencies, if any exist, should include an evaluation of how a DX rating for MOL would improve the situation.

HARRY L. / EVANS Brigadier General, USAF Vice Director, MOL Program

3 Atchs
1. Memo for Dr. Flax fr
Gen Schriever, dtd Feb 3,
1966
2. Prop memo for DepSecDef
fr Dr. Flax
3. Talking paper on MOL
priorities





Page 2 of 2 pages Copy 1' of 4 copies SAF-SL BYE 21054-66 EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC PER

EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC REGRADING; DOD DIR 5200.10 DOES NOT APPLY



EANDLE VIA BYERNAN CONTROL SYSTEM

1906

FED 3 19

MENORANDUM FOR DR. FLAX

SUBJECT: MOL Priority

DORLAN/GAME, T

HANDLE VIA BYEMAM CONTROL SYSTEM

The present status of the Manned Orbiting Laboratory Program with respect to DOD Master Urgency List category, and accounted industrial priority, is deemed inconsistent with the scope and urgency of the NOL effort. I recented your signature on the attached memorulum to Mr. Vance requesting assignment of Category S (BRICK-BAT .01) military urgency status, and DX industrial priority, to MOL.

SIGNEE

B. A. SCIRIEVER General, USAF Director, MOL Program

> Page 1 of 1 proje Copy 1 of 3 conter CAFBL NUN 21025-66

> > Ser A, Cy 1

atch 1

BANDLE VIA BYER. CONTROL SYSTEM

MERIORANDUM FOR MR. VANCE

SUBJECT: Manned Orbiting Laboratory Priorities

The Manned Orbiting Laborabory (MOL) Program is presently carried in DOD Master Urgency List Category 1 (CUE-CAP), Unlisted. In my judgment, the scope and urgency of the MOL effort warrant assignment of Category 5 (ENHOK-BAT .01) military urgency designator, and DN industrial priority, to MOL.

I have elected to approach you directly in this matter rather than proceed as outlined in DOD Instruction 4410.3 principally because of the unusual security environment currounding MOL. The rationale for DENCK-BAT .01/DE recognition for the program is largely derived from the National Reconnected and the MOL reconnaissance function and the publicly-announced MOL Program clearly must be protected. Within the constraints of this protection, I believe it essential that priorities consistent with those assigned to other space systems supporting the National Reconnaissance Program be assigned to the MOL/DONIAN effort.

It seems clear, in view of the openly amounced aspect of MOL, that it will not be practical to establish a wholly covert priorities structure, as has been the case with GAUDIT. Meither is it practical, in my opinion, to prepare a viable justification for top national priority without reference to the MOL recommaissance mission.

In addition to the security aspects of the MOL priorities question, I am concerned about the relative priorities of the Apollo and MOL programs. As you know, the Air Force is committed to the fullest possible use of existing MASA capabilities and hardware. One result of this commitment

DORIAN/GAMBIT

HANDLE VIA HYPERAR

CONTROL SYSTEM

of 2 paged Page 1 D Copy Ar 3 covies SAFEL DIE 21007-66

招頭

SerA, Cy1

atch 2

HANDLE VIA 5

CONTROL SYSTEM

will be large-scale joint where of contractors, subcentractors, wenders, test support r measured and facilities. The existing wide disparity a usen NOL and Apollo priorities might well, in these dimensionees, result in curtous impact upon NOL schedules and costs. I believe it essential to maintain a reasonable priorities balance between the two progress. I do not, however, believe that the national interest is served by submitting this question to open examination and debate at the various approval levels montally involved in processing a nomination for DENCK-BAT .01/FX priority.

In light of these circumstances, I request your assistance in attabliching BRICK-BAT .OL/DN status for MOL. I can attaching for your reference extracts from applicable correspondence addressing the urgency of the MOL/DONIAM effort, and a short paper dealing with the extent of joint use of resources " between MOL/DORIAN and the GAMBIT and Apollo prograss.



NRO APPROVED FOR RELEASE, 1 JULY 2015

TOP SEGNET

HANDLE VIA

/1 page 3 copies

Ser A, Cy 1

SAFCL BYE 21024-C6

D(0)

Copy

CONTROL SYSTEM

EXERACTS FROM MOL COMPRESSORDENCE

Memorandum for the President from the Secretary of Defense, dated August 24, 1965, subject: Manned Orbiting Laboratory:

"I believe that there is a vital national need for reconnaissance photography at a resolution of The The first and obvious need is for technical intelligence. There is also a clear need, particularly during times of crisis, for high resolution photography of tactical objectives. Closely related to these applications is the potential use of high resolution photography to assist in the policing of arms control agreements."

Letter to Honorable Alexander H. Flax, Assistant Special Assistant for Science and Technology, dated November 22, 1965:

"The vanel is convinced that a high resolution orbital reconnaissance system is a high priority national goal."

DORIAN

CONTROL SYSTEM

HANDLE VIA

HANDLE-VIA

CONTROL SYSTEM

Atch 3

A.C.

NRO APPROVED FOR RELEASE[®] 1 JULY 2015

 TAT
 TAT

 NOL 1
 12222

The emisting MOL priorities convironment can be surveyized as follows: Every MOL associate contractor, and most paesenblyidentified subcontractors, have contractual constituents not only to MOL, but to ERICKEAT .01/DX progress controlled by equipies outside the MOL management structure. In these electronics, MOL charge respurees, capabilities, and facilities for all phases and facate of system acquisition with progress having substantiably higher precedence. Of particular interact in this regard are the relative priorities of MOL and Apollo.

The remarks which follow are not intended to imply that specific resource allocation problems have been identified, or that NOL schedules are presently endangered as a result of priorities difficulties. The contrary is the case. The NOL contractor structure was selected, at least in part, on the basis of capacity to produce to MOL schedules in spite of higherpriority consistents. Honotheless, an environment has been created within which any MOL/Apollo allocations problem controlled by the national priorities system will impact first, and most severaly, upon HOL. In view of the scope and urgency of the HOL/DORFAN effort, this situation would appear to be inseculated with the mational interest. The mational space progress would be

TOPEAS

CONTROL SYSTEM

HANDLE VIA K

> better corved by a balanced priorities system in which NOL/Apollo priorities problems could be resolved consciously, rather than mechanically. As a practical matter, such balance is most easily achieved by assignment of BRICKDAT .OL/DX priorities to MOL.

OF GUIL

HANDLE VIA

CONTROL'SYSTEM

The following matrix is intended to convey an appreciation for the extend of the industrial interface between MOL and Genend/Apollo. The MOL laboratory module contractor organization provides a typical set of relationships; similar eiroprestances can be defined for Gemini B, the Titan XIT booster, crew equipment, and test operations resources.

MOL/APOILO INDUSTRIAL INTERACE

TVB AEHICTE

1901. Riemant	Supplier	Apollo/Comini Electoni
Laboratory Vehicle	Douglas, Hanting- ton Boach Facility	Saturn V Development S IVB stage
Autibude Con trol & Translation Bebsystem	Minneapolis- Honeyvell	Apollo Stabilization & Control System
Eavironnental Control & Life Support Sub- system		Apollo (LEI) Liñe Support Equipsont
Electrical Power Subsystem	United Aircraft Corporation	Apollo Facl Coll Powerplant
Communications Seturation	Collins Radio	Apollo Conventestions & Data Subsystem
DODALI	<u>(</u>	Leto Copy Let 3 contains



Ser A, Cyl

NRO APPROVED FOR RELEASE 1 JULY 2015----

POREM

CONTROL SYSTEM

HANDLE VIA

The situation depicted above is in fact only a partial recounting of the whole MOL/Apollo industrial relationship for the Leb Vehicle. The priorities atvacture will extend from the major subcontractors shown through several titre of venders and suppliers.

HANDLE VI

CONTROL S

Ser A, Cyl

A second major area in which present NOL priorities appear to be inconsistent with the nature and objectives of the program is in the ordeting disparity between NOL and CAUDET priorities. The BOURAN payload is essentially dependent upon the same resources which support Program 205. In the event of resource allocation problems, and in the absence of mative intervention by the cognizant NRO agency, NOL requirements will defer to CAUDET requirements. It seems clear that it may not always be in the mational interest to determine precedence in this automatic fashion. The National Reconnaissance Program would be better served by a balanced priorities system relating NOL and GANDET. Again, the simplest approach to the required balance is to provide NOL with ERICKDAT .OI/DX status.