MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD

SUBJECT: Program Review, MOL Systems Office, April 2, 1966

The meeting was officially called to order when General Schriever arrived at 1000. The Executive Session had been held from 0830-1000 with the Generals and key Aerospace personnel in attendance. Some of the key personnel present were: Generals Schriever, Evans, Berg, Funk, Martin, and Cooper, Dr. Getting, Dr. Donovan, Dr. Leonard, Dr. Williams, and others including Frank Ross of Dr. Flax's office. The following are summaries of the agenda items in the order they were presented at the Program Review.

A. Weights Review. This item was presented by Mr. Weeks, Mr. Moss and Mr. Strong of Aerospace. The design objective for MOL was stated to be 32,600 pounds, 80/155 nautical mile ephemeris, 80° inclination. The 32,600 pounds is made up of a weight budget of 28,561 pounds plus 4,010 contingency to make a grand total of 32,571 (32,600) pounds. The design weight goals have been apportioned as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contingency</th>
<th>Weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crew Equipment</td>
<td>413 lbs. + 80 lbs. = 493 lbs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gemini plus Crew</td>
<td>6,160 lbs. + 290 lbs. = 6,450 lbs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mission Module</td>
<td>8,433 lbs. +1,700 lbs. = 10,133 lbs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory Module</td>
<td>13,555 lbs. +1,940 lbs. = 15,495 lbs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>26,561 lbs. +4,010 lbs. = 32,571 lbs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At the present time the program people associated with the laboratory module are driving hard to reduce their weight by 1,000 lbs. They were weighing 15,456; they presently weigh 14,502 and their objective is 13,555 pounds. (The weight of the mission module structure is included in the weight budget charged to the Laboratory Module.)

The history of the weight problem in the mission module is as follows:

- September 1965 - 6,160 lbs.
- December 1965 - 6,675 lbs.
- January 1966 - 8,910 lbs.
- February 1966 - 9,360 lbs.
- April 2, 1966 - 9,178 lbs.
- Aerospace Target - 8,433 lbs.
B. Program Planning. Dr. Leonard and Dr. Williams discussed in great detail the need for design and development of a "fat" TITAN—an improved, Large Diameter Core (LDC). The strength of their arguments were predicated on the eventual need for long duration MDL systems, capable of becoming cost effective through rendezvous techniques. The whole basis of their argument rested on the need to bring cost of manned reconnaissance operation down from an estimated high of $3.1 million per day (30 N.M. perigee) and $4.5 million per day (70 N.M. perigee) to a figure close to the estimated cost of $2.0 million per day (70 N.M. perigee), unmanned.

The cost of this LDC development was estimated by Dr. Williams to be $50.0 million from go-ahead. He stated that Phase IB should be initiated as soon as possible to achieve for a test launch of the first LDC in 1970.

General Schriever stated that the requirement for extended duration of man in orbit for the period of time to make initiation of this development attractive required some detailed study. He stated he would meet with Aerospace on April 5 to attempt to understand more completely the proposal being made by Aerospace, and deferred any action on this item until that time.

C. Data Retrieval. Mr. Stan Strong of Aerospace introduced this subject and Bob Brandel gave the presentation. The same presentation had been given to Dr. Flax on the 28th of March. Mr. Strong stated he believed Dr. Flax generally supported the positions taken on this effort.

1) Readout. A secure link was believed essential for any data readout. The two ways to achieve this security were:

   a. A 3' diameter directional antenna on the MOL vehicle.

   b. Standard 20mb (SGLS) transmitter plus encrypting.

The latter method was favored due to the desire to delete any requirement for an extra antenna on the MOL vehicle. This system includes the CBS scanner, modified, the [redacted] which is presently under contract, and the 20mb (SGLS) transmitter. The three reasons given for requiring security were:

   a. Protect the sensitivity of man's role in reconnaissance from space.

   b. Protect the knowledge of the HRO capability.
c. Protect the knowledge of the users interest in specific tests.

(2) Film Return. For data retrieval in the manned MOL, Dr. Flax has asked that provisions be made for a single 33 inch re-entry vehicle to dump some film after a week's operation. Provisions must also be made to include a possible second re-entry vehicle. The GEMINI is being designed to bring back three separate cans containing rolls of film weighing 60 pounds each. Also to be included is a small container for 30-50 pounds of secondary data, such as IR, color, film packs and processed film, previously readout over the data readout link.

(3) Cameras. The present Eastman Kodak work statement calls for two cameras. Because of space limitations in the laboratory, it is intended to design the system with only one camera with a platen capable of accepting alternate films and filters. The objective would be to permit the astronaut to change film in the same way that film packs are changed on the backs of Speed Graphics. The MOL Program Office is also considering the use of 5-inch instead of 9-inch film for the data readout photography. This is possible in the manned system due to the fact that man will be able to center the target and all that will be lost is the inferior data at the edges of the 9-inch diameter lens.

(4) Unmanned System. The design has been selected for this system. It will include six re-entry vehicles and will be designed for an operational life of 40 days.

D. Roles and Responsibilities. Colonel Knolle gave a short presentation on this subject. He stated there was now firm agreement on the factory-to-pad issue. Douglas would fabricate and ship the mission module shell to GE. GE, with the aid of an EEC simulator, would install its 3,000 pounds of equipment and put the doors on the shell. GE would then remove the EK simulator and ship the mission module to EEC. There, Eastman would install and test the HRO and ship to Douglas, 20 weeks after receipt of the shell from GE. Douglas would then mate the Lab Vehicle and Mission Module, perform system tests and ship to the WTR pad for launch.

E. Eastman Kodak Presentation. Mr. John Sewell of EEC covered the following areas:

(1) Roles and Responsibilities. These were as originally planned with the exception that the mission payload assembly and optical test would be accomplished at EEC and shipped directly to Douglas. A flow plan showing a 20-week assembly and functional check-out was presented.
(2) **Facilities.** It was shown that the total of new facility construction required had been reduced from 160,150 square feet to 141,200 square feet since submittal of their facility proposal in December.

(3) **Review of Personnel, Cost Estimates, Schedules and Program Status:**

a. **Personnel.** Difficulty was being encountered in obtaining fast clearance of personnel and they requested assistance of the Program Office.

b. **Cost.** EK estimates that there will be an 8 per cent cost reduction in their January 1966 estimate.

c. **Schedule.** EK stated that there had been a two-month slip in the delivery of the FM-1 optical system from July 15, 1969 to September 15, 1969 because of Air Force delay in authorization of facilities and long lead time items and an EK underestimate for time to debug the optical test chambers. A representative list of the pacing schedule items and the delay attributable to each was shown. EK showed a composite milestone schedule showing the delivery dates of FM-1 through FM-7.

F. **General Electric Presentation.** Mr. George Miller of GE made the presentations of Impact of Hardware, Roles and Responsibilities, Impact of Factory to Pad Flow Decisions, Status and Plans and Overall Appraisal. No significant problem areas were raised and it was indicated that GE could handle their responsibility satisfactorily.

G. **Insertion Ship.** The insertion ship status was presented by Colonel Creighton (NRD). The presentation included the following recommended positions:

**FIRST CHOICE**

- Modify two T-2's $1.0M
- Both programs accept schedule constraints

**SECOND CHOICE**

- Modify two T-2's as above
- Plan T-2 support for first four tests
- Minimum mods to existing ship for later tests $3.0M
THIRD CHOICE

- Modify one T-2 $0.5M
- Modify AGM-6 $11.5M

After some discussion General Schriever decided that the second choice was his selection and that NRD should work out the necessary arrangements with NASA.
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Colonel, USAF
Chief, Program Control Division
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